

STATE SENATOR KATHY BERNIER
TWENTY-THIRD SENATE DISTRICT



State Capitol • P.O. Box 7882 • Madison, WI 53707
Office: (608) 266-7511 • Toll Free: (888) 437-9436
Sen.Bernier@legis.wi.gov • www.SenatorBernier.com

From: Senator Kathy Bernier
To: The Senate Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform and Ethics
Re: Testimony on Senate Bills 934, 935, and 936
Relating to: legislation responding to the issues raised by the Legislative Audit Bureau's review of the November 2020 election.
Date: February 7, 2022

Thank you committee members for allowing me to provide testimony on Senate Bills 934, 935, and 936 today. I am grateful for the opportunity to work with several of my colleagues in the Senate and Representatives Dittrich and Macco on this important legislation.

To provide a basic overview of the three, SB 934 looks primarily at pre-election issues, such as clerk training and data sharing. Electronic equipment must be pre-tested before the public test and data from Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC) must be regularly requested and implemented by the Election Commission.

SB 935 deals with Election Day topics. It clarifies the role of a Special Voting Deputy to ensure there can be no repeat of the confusion caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in nursing homes. The bill also bans the use of private resources for election administration. It prohibits "ballot curing" but brings statewide fairness and transparency by stating directly in statute what specific information must be included on an absentee ballot certificate and requires that clerks notify the voter if their envelope must be corrected.

SB 936 handles post-election issues. Formal complaints must be resolved within 60 days unless extended by a vote of a majority of Election Commissioners. The post-election audit practice will become more robust and the Legislative Audit Bureau will have a standing review of the audit already done by WEC.

Together, these three bills address nearly all of the legislative considerations presented by the Legislative Audit Bureau following their review of the November 2020 General Election. Several of the recommendations from the Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty's similar report are also included. Addressing these issues is why I ask you to please support the passage of these bills. Thank you again for allowing me to provide testimony today.



Testimony of Matt Rothschild

Executive Director, Wisconsin Democracy Campaign

To the Senate Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform, and Ethics

In opposition to SB 934, SB 935, SB 936, SB 937, SB 939, SB 940, SB 941, SB 943, and SJR 101

Feb. 7, 2022

Distinguished Chair and other Distinguished Members of this Committee:

I'm Matt Rothschild, the executive director of the Wisconsin Democracy Campaign. Since 1995, we've been tracking and exposing the money in Wisconsin politics, and we've been advocating for a broad range of pro-democracy reforms.

Before I get going, I would be remiss if I failed to acknowledge the tremendous public service that the chair of this committee has rendered in her career, first as a county clerk, then as a member of the Assembly, and most recently here in the Senate.

We may not agree on a lot of ideological issues, Madame Chair, but we certainly agree on the need to defend our democracy. I really appreciate your outspokenness on this bedrock principle, and your frank acknowledgment of the severity of the threat posed to our democracy by those who refuse to accept the legitimacy of the 2020 elections and instead peddle one lie after another and one smear after another for their own political gain or personal gratification.

You've been a profile of courage, and you'll be missed, and I wish you all the best in your retirement.

I've got some specific problems with many of these bills, as well as with the Joint Resolution.

But rather than go tediously through that itemization, let me instead make a few general remarks and then offer just a couple germane points, if I might.

First, I would like to underline an observation that Republican Senator Rob Cowles has made about our elections. He noted that our elections are “safe and secure.”

Second, there has been a drumbeat of baseless accusations and character assassinations against the dedicated administrator and the tireless staff of the Wisconsin Elections Commission, which has got to stop. It’s grossly unfair to them, and if it keeps up, we won’t be able to attract any talented people to administer our elections in this state.

And third, the endless fishing expedition being conducted by Michael Gableman and the constant smoke machine that some other partisans keep revving up about the November 2020 elections only serve to undermine the faith of the Wisconsin public in our elections and in our democracy.

That’s not healthy. And that’s got to stop, too.

And frankly, I worry that, when taken as a whole, the barge carrying all these new bills today may also be billowing out more smoke.

This is not to say that I disagree with everything in all these bills. Not at all. For instance, the bills by the Chair clarify a lot of processes and terms that needed clarification.

And I certainly agree that we should set clear rules for our elections, but let’s make sure that those rules are fair.

And let’s protect our freedom to vote rather than erect one barrier after another to the exercise of that fundamental freedom.

Unfortunately, some of these bills do erect such barriers.

First of all, two bills would make voting by absentee ballot more difficult for all voters in Wisconsin.

SB 935 would render an absentee ballot null and void for the pettiest of reasons. For instance, if I’m a witness for the absentee voter and I print my name, and I sign my name, and I put Madison, WI, down as my residence but I neglect to put my street down, should the voter I’m witnessing be disqualified because of that omission? The bill says yes, and that seems ridiculous to me. Even requiring a witness seems like a stretch to me, since the voter already is swearing about his or her identity. Now to make the witness have to fill out everything just right or the voter’s ballot is disqualified just adds another way to toss a perfectly good ballot into the waste basket.

SB 939 would prohibit the Wisconsin Elections Commission or any local clerk from sending out absentee ballot applications, en masse, to registered voters, as was prudently done during the pandemic. Our ability to exercise our freedom to vote by mail should not be needlessly curtailed by this blanket prohibition. Why shouldn't the Elections Commission be allowed to do this? If we want more people to be able to exercise their freedom to vote in our democracy, sending everyone an absentee ballot application makes sense, in general. And in specific, it makes a whole lot of sense during a pandemic. But this bill would nix both those options.

Second, one bill would make voting by absentee ballot especially more difficult for those in residential care facilities or retirement homes.

SB 935 would paternalistically require the notification of relatives of residents in long-term care facilities or retirement homes as to when special voting deputies are going to be there. Residents don't need their relatives looking over their shoulders when they're voting. This is an invasion of their privacy. Unless they have a legal guardian, residents should not have their freedom to vote interfered with in this obnoxious manner. What if they don't get along with "the relatives for whom the home or facility has contact information"? What business is it of the relatives, seriously?

SB 935 would also needlessly prohibit a personal care voting assistant from helping any resident of a residential care facility or qualified retirement home to register to vote. If the personal care voting assistant is there to help the resident fill out an absentee ballot, why can't the assistant help the resident register to vote? That distinction makes no sense. Plus, nursing homes that receive Medicare or Medicaid funding are required to support the residents' right to vote. That should include supporting residents who want to register to vote.

Third, one of the bills, SB 934, could erroneously toss voters from the voting rolls. This bill would have the Wisconsin Elections Commission rely on the Electronic Registration Information Center (otherwise known as ERIC) to determine whether a voter has moved. Following that determination, the Commission must send a letter or a postcard to the voter. If the voter doesn't respond, the voter becomes unregistered. The problem with this is that the Wisconsin Election Commission's own data in 2020 showed that 7.07 percent of the voters who became unregistered because of ERIC's data actually had never moved and were wrongly deactivated. Such a high error is not acceptable when it comes to our freedom to vote.

Fourth, several of these bills would hog-tie the Wisconsin Elections Commission.

SB 940 would allow the Joint Finance Committee to gouge the staff or the funds of the Elections Commission if Joint Finance, on its own, says that the Elections Commission or the Department of Transportation or the Department of Corrections or the Department of Health Services

failed to comply with any election law. That would give Joint Finance a huge whip over the heads of the Elections Commission, with no decent check on that unilateral power.

SB 941 would give the Joint Finance Committee and the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules the authority to block federal funds and federal guidance, which will make it very difficult for the Commission to do its job. It's also of dubious constitutionality: States aren't allowed to disregard federal guidance on the conduct of federal elections, for instance.

SB 941 would also inject hyper-partisanship at the staff level by mandating that each major political party gets its own legal counsel on the staff of the Wisconsin Elections Commission. The last thing we need is more partisan haggling at the Wisconsin Elections Commission.

SB 943 would require the Elections Commission to be nit-picked and hyper-monitored by the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules. Every week, the Elections Commission would have to give to JCRAR "all documents and communications from the commission that the commission issued in the previous week that are applicable to municipal clerks generally and qualify as guidance documents." Are you going to allow the Elections Commission to do its job, or are you going to kill it by a thousand cuts?

So these are some of my biggest concerns.

Above all, I would appreciate it if we could all agree that:

- 1) The November 2020 elections were legitimate and move on,**
- 2) The staff of the Wisconsin Elections Commission has been doing an admirable job under incredibly difficult circumstances, and**
- 3) In Wisconsin, and in America, we all should have our freedom to vote protected.**

Thanks for considering my views, and I welcome any questions you might have.



February 7th, 2022

Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform and Ethics
Senator Kathy Bernier, Chair
State Capital, Rm 319 S
Madison, WI 53707

Dear Senator Bernier and members of the Committee:

The Wisconsin Board for People with Developmental Disabilities appreciates the opportunity to provide testimony on the numerous proposals related to elections and voters. Our comments focus on SB 934, SB 935, SB 937, SB 939, and SB 941.

While some bills contain positive changes, which we specifically note in our testimony, other proposed changes will make it harder for people with disabilities to vote and will disproportionately disenfranchise this part of the electorate.

Common problems many voters with disabilities face when trying to vote

- Many people experience unpredictable disabilities, meaning they do not know from one day to the next if they will be able to leave the house for activities such as voting.
- Many have no way to get there. Many voters with disabilities are non-drivers and have few or no transportation options. Rides may need to be scheduled in advance and may not show up at all or on time.
- Many people with disabilities live in a group home or place with many other people where ability to independently leave, get information, or get online is limited or restricted.
- Many voters with disabilities rely on friends, neighbors, extended family, care workers and the community for help. Voters with sensory or physical disabilities may need help marking a ballot, dropping off or mailing an absentee ballot, and getting information about when and how to register and vote.
- Many have no reliable access to the internet because of a lack of broadband infrastructure, no internet subscription, and/or no devices that connect to the internet.
- Polling places and voting documents are not always accessible.

Senate Bill 934 voter registration list

Under the bill, the Wisconsin Elections Commission would be required to mark the voter as ineligible and change their voter registration status if they change addresses and move within a municipality. The bill would insert an unnecessary administrative burden upon the voter to register again. Many voters may not understand they are no longer registered to vote after moving, leading to confusion and potential disenfranchisement the next time they attempt to vote. Registering to vote requires voters to have proof of residence documentation which voters may not have with them if they discover they are

unregistered at the polls or may not have at all if their move has been recent. People with disabilities are often non-drivers and most have a hard time getting where they need to go routinely. An extra trip to the polls or to a government agency to get documentation of proof of residency may not be able to be accomplished, especially in a short time-frame.

Additionally, many people with disabilities have insecure housing and move frequently. Especially for people with I/DD living in congregate settings, people may be moved on short notice, may move multiple times in a short period, and may not have options on where they move. This is becoming more common as staffing shortages are resulting in facility closures or less bed capacity. The bill makes it more likely for people with disabilities to lose their existing voter registration because of unstable housing.

BPDD notes three positive changes the bill makes to reduce the time voters wait in line to vote, and improve election forms for accessibility, usability, clarity, and readability and improve training for clerks.

Senate Bill 935—Absentee ballots

The creation of personal care voting assistants in the event of public health emergency or infectious disease outbreak and the moved-up date by which Special Voting Deputies (SVD) must arrange to visit are positive.

The timing of SVD visits is an improvement on current law and provides at least a chance for absentee ballots to be sent and returned for those unable to participate in SVD visits. BPDD urges SVD visits be completed no less than 10 days prior to an election and ballots sent out to those missing the visits the following day. This would provide at least nine days to receive, complete, and return the ballot. BPDD also notes there is no guarantee SVD will be available at every facility. We remain concerned residents who do not have access to voting information and who do not know what to ask may be disenfranchised by virtue of where they live.

The bill makes a positive change by requiring the clerk to post a notification of the absentee ballot defect on the voter's voter information page on MyVote Wisconsin. However, BPDD notes many people with disabilities do not have internet access or devices to connect to the internet and may not find this notice. If they do not know their ballot is defective, it may result in their vote not being counted. If a voter with a disability can access the notice, it may not be clear what corrections need to be made or how to correct the ballot.

BPDD is concerned minor mistakes which a clerk can correct under current law would result in more voters with disabilities' absentee ballots being marked defective and uncounted. The bill expands the number of required fields that must be completed correctly. Any mistake by voter or witness results in ballot being uncounted. The bill specifies the voter or witness is the only individual who can correct their mistake. For voters with transportation barriers and who used witnesses who may not be readily available to coordinate corrections, the added layer of complexity may result ballots with minor mistakes remaining uncorrected and uncounted.

Senate Bill 937 Indefinitely confined voters.

Wisconsin's indefinitely confined statute provides an important safeguard to ensure that many voters who are disabled or have chronic health conditions can cast a ballot. The bill includes several positive changes, including:

- Clarifying what it means to be indefinitely confined as a voter "who cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year." Advocates have supported the need to clarify the language.
- Providing a way for some (but not all) indefinitely confined voters who have photo ID to meet the requirement by providing the number of their driver's license or state ID.
- Providing that a voter who fails to vote a ballot the voter receives as a result of his or her indefinitely confined status may be removed from the indefinitely confined status list only if he or she fails to vote the ballot at the spring or general election.

BPDD has several concerns with the proposal.

Voters who have a photo ID and do not have access to the internet are NOT provided with an accommodation to meet the photo ID requirement. They are expected to provide a copy of their ID without accommodation for their status as an indefinitely confined voter. BPDD recommends indefinitely confined voters be allowed to provide their ID numbers on the application, the same standard as used for voters who have access to MyVote.

Voters who do not have photo ID are required to provide the last 4 digits of their social security number to verify their identity. However, the bill also requires the voter to provide an affirmation of a US citizen 18 or older that the elector is indefinitely confined. The purpose of the signature should be to affirm the person's identity – not their health status. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has determined it is up to the voter to make this determination – it is not a medical diagnosis. This requirement does not appropriately accommodate the voter and creates a different higher standard for those who do not have a driver's license or state ID. BPDD recommends resolving this issue by requiring indefinitely confined voters provide the last 4 digits of their SSN on their absentee ballot application. This information along with their birthdate should suffice to affirm their identity.

The bill would require an application for Indefinitely Confined Voter status that is separate from the absentee ballot applications which is widely available and familiar to voters. A separate form creates another administrative step for indefinitely confined voters and may cause confusion or unawareness of this option for people who need this status. At a minimum, the absentee ballot application should continue to include language about the indefinitely confined voter status and direct voters to the other form; BPDD recommends continuing to have one form.

Senate Bill 939 absentee ballots

Many people with disabilities rely on absentee voting to exercise their right to vote because of barriers to independently getting around in their community, including to the polls. These barriers are consistent from election to election. Many non-drivers, people with chronic or intermittent health conditions, people with sensory disabilities and others face such significant mobility challenges that absentee voting

options are the only way they can do the advance planning necessary to guarantee they can exercise their right to vote.

SB-939 would create the new restrictions listed below that would make it more difficult for voters to cast a ballot including:

- Requiring absentee voters to provide proof of identification every time they apply for an absentee ballot even if they have not moved or changed their name.
- Requiring voters to apply for absentee ballots for every election rather than for all elections in a calendar year.
- Requiring all in-person absentee voters to complete an absentee ballot application, even if they already have such a request on file
- Restricting who a voter may choose to return their absentee ballot

Repeatedly asking for the same information already on file and verified is unnecessary and burdensome especially for voters who have transportation, technology access, and mobility barriers. Voters with disabilities face the same challenges every election, which can cause significant difficulties applying for an absentee ballot—including lack of internet access to use MyVote Wisconsin, ability to get to the clerk's office, obtaining a copy of their ID to send via mail.

Federal law allows any voter who requires assistance to vote by reason of blindness, disability, or inability to read or write may be given assistance by a person of the voter's choice, other than the voter's employer or agent of that employer or officer or agent of the voter's union.

This bill would restrict who can return an absentee ballot on a voter's behalf to the voter's immediate family or legal guardian or designate a registered Wisconsin voter who has not delivered more than two absentee ballots on behalf of absentee voters.

Current law recognizes a wide network of people who are willing to help their neighbors and allows people to choose who they trust to carry out important tasks. This approach better reflects the reality of people's lives and support networks. Many absentee voters with disabilities rely on friends, neighbors, extended family, and other community members to assist with routine errands and administrative tasks, including turning in their completed and secured absentee ballot.

Many absentee voters with disabilities do not have family members living close by that fit the definition of "immediate family" in the bill or guardians. Cousins, nieces, nephews, stepparents, in-laws, and other familial relationships are part of voter's familial networks. Even when absentee voters with disabilities have "immediate family" members, they may not live close by and may not be available or willing to return a voter's absentee ballot.

The ability to designate another registered voter to deliver an absentee ballot is insufficient and adds burden for absentee voters with a disability rather than providing a remedy. The burden is on the absentee voter to identify another registered voter who has not already delivered another absentee ballot and to designate that person in writing. Checking and verifying another person's registration status would be a barrier to many voters, and it is unclear why a person's registration status makes them better qualified to deliver paperwork. Many other important legal and governmental documents may be dropped off on behalf of someone else without any criteria imposed on the deliverer.

Senate Bill 941 administration of elections.

The US Department of Justice and other federal agencies issue guidance to protect the fundamental right to voting to all Americans, including specifically addressing the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to the ballot. These rights are protected by federal laws including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Voting Rights Act (VRA), the Help American Vote Act (HAVA), and other civil rights law.

This proposal creates a mechanism whereby the legislature institutes an automatic delay implementing federal guidance until a legislative committee has given approval. State action which impedes the operation of the federal statutes (or regulation) are in direct conflict with the Supremacy Clause, which establishes that the federal constitution, and federal law generally, take precedence over state laws, and even state constitutions. Arbitrary delays in implementing federal guidance that facilitates equal access to the ballot for voters with disabilities risks disenfranchising this population of voters.

BPDD is charged under the federal Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act with advocacy, capacity building, and systems change to improve self-determination, independence, productivity, and integration and inclusion in all facets of community life for people with developmental disabilities¹.

Thank you for your consideration,



Beth Swedeen, Executive Director,
Wisconsin Board for People with Developmental Disabilities

¹ More about BPDD https://wi-bpdd.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Legislative_Overview_BPDD.pdf.

February 7, 2022

Good Morning Senator Bernier,

We **all** want free and fair elections. I hope that you will continue to work with the disability and aging communities to address barriers to voting, protect voter rights, and ensure equitable access to voting for voters with disabilities.

AB934

In part, this Bill addresses long waiting lines to vote. Many people want to vote in person because the act of going to the polls and voting has personal meaning for them. However, people with disabilities and older adults often are physically unable to stand in line for an extended period of time. By the time of election day, if they get to their polling place and there is a long waiting line, it is too late for them to choose another voting option. That is why this Bill should ensure that access to curbside voting is available and that the law requiring curbside voting is enforced at all polling places.

Regarding the purging of voters: The ERIC system has proven to have a fairly high error rate. People have been removed from the voting rolls in error, ERIC indicating that people have moved when they **have not** moved. To use this flawed data system more frequently to remove people from the voting rolls is increasing the error rate and negatively impacting the voters who are wrongfully purged.

Many people with disabilities and Wisconsinites who have low incomes, by necessity, move often. If their rent is increased to the point that they cannot afford to live in their current home, they move. If people move within their municipality, they should not have to re-register to vote. These inter-municipality address changes are easily remedied by local election officials. The current process provides a reasonable way for local election officials to maintain current registration information without requiring community members to re-register.

AB935

As a result of the "pandemic" elections, it has become abundantly clear that more state funding dedicated to election administration is needed. All of these proposed additional requirements on election administration being discussed today will also require more funding. Crystal clear.

Regarding errors that voters make on applications for absentee ballots and on the absentee ballot certificate envelopes: A redesign of these documents is necessary so that voters and witnesses can understand and **SEE** what information is required. With more education and a redesign, fewer errors will likely occur.

Where there are errors, please allow local Clerks to complete witness address information when possible.

AB937

Regarding Indefinitely Confined Voter Status:

While applicants with a photo ID who have access to the internet and thus to MyVote are able to upload a photo of their ID through MyVote, this Bill does not accommodate those who do not have such access. It requires that a copy of their ID be sent with their request for Indefinitely Confined status. This adds another burden for those least able to meet the requirement.

Currently, those without a photo ID are required to use the last 4 digits of their Social Security number to verify their identity. This Bill requires voters to provide affirmation of citizenship and age over 18, as well as indefinitely confined status.

The purpose here is to provide identity, not health status. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has ruled that "indefinitely confined" status is for the voter to determine -- it is not a medical diagnosis.

The requirement to have another person sign a statement affirming the indefinite confinement status of another person, with penalty threatened to anyone who falsely asserts this indefinitely confined status,

opens the door for two unnecessary problems: Reluctance to sign such an affirmation statement on behalf of an indefinitely confined voter due to threat of penalty, and making Social Security numbers (which we have been told repeatedly to keep to ourselves) available to others in the process of filling out the indefinitely confined affirmation status form.

The solution is to just require the last four digits of the Social Security number along with birthdate to affirm the identity of the applicant.

SB939

This Bill would require that absentee voters provide proof of identification for every election. It would also reduce the number of elections for which a voter can apply to receive ballots with a single application. Once again, for those with disabilities and older adults who have physical limitations that make uploading documents near impossible, this is adding herculean challenges. Also please always keep in mind that not **all** Wisconsinites have access to a computer and the internet, or the skills to use them in performing what these Bills will require.

The Bill requires that each time a person votes in-person absentee ballot, the voter will have to fill out a new absentee vote application form, even when there is one on file with the local Clerk. How inefficient.

And again, regarding voters with disabilities and older voters who are the most frequently challenged groups with these types of added restrictions, you are mandating, under threat of penalty, who can deliver and how many completed ballots can be put in a mailbox or secure drop box or delivered to the election Clerk. Please bear in mind that many voters live in facilities or are homebound, making it difficult for them to travel out of these facilities/their own homes and who rely on caregivers to help them with their daily activities. Now under threat of penalty you are limiting the ability of people to direct the return of their completed ballots.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Sincerely,

Janie Riebe
2965 Siggelkow Road
McFarland, WI 53558
jkriebe@frontier.com

Date February 7, 2022

To Senator Bernier, Chair; Senator Darling, Vice Chair; members of the Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform and Ethics

From Barbara Beckert, DRW Milwaukee Office Director and Director of External Advocacy for Southeastern Wisconsin

Re: Senate Election Committee February 7, 2022 Public Hearing

- Against - SB-941 **Elections Administration** Overseeing the administration of elections
- Information Only - SB-934 **Voter Registration List** Maintenance of the voter registration list, training of municipal clerks, data sharing agreements, pre-election procedures, lines at the polls on election day, and granting rule-making authority.
- Information Only - SB-935 **Election Fraud** Certain kinds of election fraud, private resources and contracts for election administration, who may perform tasks related to election administration, defects on absentee ballot certificates, returning absentee ballots to the office of the municipal clerk, appointment of election officials, allowing an employee of a residential care facility or qualified retirement home to serve as a personal care voting assistant during a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease, and providing a penalty.
- Information Only - SB-937 **Indefinitely Confined Voters** Status as an indefinitely confined voter for purposes of receiving absentee ballots
- Against - SB-939 **Absentee Ballots** Absentee ballot applications, unsolicited mailing or transmission of absentee ballot applications and absentee ballots, secure delivery of absentee ballots, canvassing absentee ballots, voter registration requirements, electronic voter registration, and providing a penalty.

As the federally mandated Protection and Advocacy system for our state, Disability Rights Wisconsin (DRW) is charged with protecting the voting rights of people with disabilities and mandated to help "ensure the full participation in the electoral process for individuals with disabilities, including registering to vote, casting a vote, and accessing polling places." (Help America Vote Act, 42 U.S.C. § 15461 (2002)). DRW staffs a Voter Hotline and assists voters with disabilities and older adults, family members, service providers, and others.

In coordination with the Wisconsin Disability Vote Coalition, we provide training and educational resources to voters with disabilities, their families, and service providers. The hotline and trainings provide us with a frontline understanding of the barriers experienced by many voters with disabilities.

Voters with Disabilities

A significant number of Wisconsin voters have a disability. The CDC indicates that 26% (1 in 4) of adults have some type of disability. According to the American Association of People with Disabilities (AAPD), approximately 23% of the electorate in November election were people with disabilities. Many older adults have disabilities acquired through aging, although they may not formally identify as a person with a disability.

Historically voters with disabilities are underrepresented at the ballot box. Many experience barriers to voting including the following:

- Polling place and voting documents are not always accessible.
- High percentage are non drivers and lack access to transportation, especially accessible transportation
- Lack of photo ID and difficulty obtaining it because they don't have transportation to get to DMV, and DMV hours are very limited
- Limited information about their voting rights including disability related accommodations.
- Legally required accommodations such as curbside voting and ballot assistance are not uniformly available; some voters experience discrimination and denial of accommodations.
- Lack of access to the internet and/or devices that connect to the internet, and to equipment to copy photo ID.
- May live in a group home or place with many other people where ability to independently leave, get information, or get online is limited or restricted.

Federal Law and Voting Rights

As referenced in this testimony, the US Department of Justice and other federal agencies issue guidance to protect the fundamental right to voting for all Americans, including specifically addressing the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to the ballot. These rights are protected by federal laws including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Voting Rights Act (VRA), the Help American Vote Act (HAVA), and other civil rights law. It is important that that these bills align with the protections afforded to people with disabilities by federal law.

SB-941 Elections Administration - Against

DRW has the following concerns about SB-941:

- Federal civil rights laws, as well as guidance from the US Department of Justice and other federal agencies, protect the fundamental right to voting for all Americans, and specifically address the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to the ballot. These rights are protected by federal laws including the ADA, the VRA, HAVA, and other civil rights laws. It should not be optional to comply. Any state action that would impede the operation of the federal statutes (or regulation)

would raise constitutional issues, and fall under the Supremacy Clause, which establishes that the federal constitution, and federal law generally, take precedence over state laws, and even state constitutions, and prohibits states from interfering with the federal government's exercise of its constitutional powers.

- The bills adds significant reporting requirements for municipal clerks without providing any funding to provide clerks with support to comply with these requirements.
- This bill requires that legal counsel for the commission be partisan and chosen by the legislative leadership of the two major political parties. Under current law staff positions are not partisan and are not selected by the Legislature.

Based on these concerns, we ask you to oppose SB 941.

SB-934 **Voter Registration List** Information Only

Based on DRW's review of the bill, we are very concerned about some provisions in this bill related to maintenance of voter registration lists. We also noted some provision that would be helpful for others with disabilities. For that reason, our comments are for Information Only. The following provisions in the bill merit comment because of their impact voters with disabilities.

- **Changes in status for Voters Who Move.** The bill would require that people who move within a municipality be marked ineligible to vote. Clerks would no longer be allowed to send the voter a confirmation notice, and update the information without requiring the voter to re-register.

Concern: Many people with disabilities experience housing insecurity and may move often. The current process initiated by the clerk provides a reasonable way for local election officials to maintain current registration information without requiring community members to re-register.

- **The bills would require that information received from ERIC be considered reliable for purposes of changing voter status to ineligible.** .
Concerns: The accuracy of ERIC data has been the subject of litigation, because of proven error rates in the range of 5 – 10 percent. In addition, ERIC relies in part on DMV data. In most states, the DMV has a role in assisting with voter registration, as required by the NVRA. This is not the case in Wisconsin, so DMV data is not as reliable a source as it may be in other states

- **Lines at Polling Places.**
This bill would require chief inspectors to report and document each occurrence of voters waiting in line for at least one hour before voting. It would require that

municipalities who report this take all necessary steps, including establishing additional polling places, to ensure that voters do not wait in line for an hour or more at future elections.

Long lines at the polling place are especially problematic for some voters with disabilities and older adults who are not able to wait in line for a long time. We support provisions in SB-934 to address this barrier.

An additional recommendation regarding long lines would be enforcing the Wisconsin law which requires that curbside voting be available for voters who because of disability are not able to enter the polling place. This accommodation is not consistently available, and we have received multiple reports of voters who have been denied this accommodation and are not able to wait in line. We ask policy makers to also take steps to ensure access to curbside voting.

- **Clerk Training.** The bill would create additional training requirements for municipal clerks including and requiring a clerk to complete at least three hours of training prior to conducting an election for the first time. We support this provision to address adequate training for clerks. Such training provides important information about voting rights, including the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to voting, and disability related accommodations required by state and federal law.

SB-935 Election Fraud - For Information Only

DRW has appreciated the ongoing discussion with Senator Bernier to ensure the voting rights of care facility residents. Though DRW is unable to support the bill in its current form, our comments note specific provisions that we support as well as our concerns about SB-395.

Voting In Care Facilities

- This bill would establish a new process to provide residents of nursing homes and other eligible care facilities with assistance needed to vote when a facility will not admit Special Voting Deputies (SVDs) because of a pandemic or other public health issues (flu, mrsa, etc). DRW supports the creation of a statutory language to ensure residents receive the needed assistance, although the process proposed in SB 935 is more restrictive than we recommend.
- DRW also supports allowing facility staff to be appointed as Personal Care Voting Assistants who would be trained and certified to conduct in person absentee voting, when SVDs are unable to enter due to public health restrictions. Training facility staff on voting including rights is helpful and will ensure that the assistance they

provide is informed by an understanding of residents' rights as protected by Wisconsin and federal law.

- SB 935 moves up the date by which SVDs must make arrangements to visit and requires SVD visits to be completed by no later than the "sixth working day preceding the election" instead of the current Monday, preceding the election.
Recommendation While this is an improvement on current law and provides at least a chance for absentee ballots to be sent and returned for those unable to participate in SVD visits, we would urge that SVD visits be completed no less than 10 days prior to an election and that ballots be sent out to those missing the visits the following day. This would provide at least nine days to receive, complete, and return the ballot. The current process does not provide sufficient time for ballots to be mailed to residents, and for residents to complete and return them.

Concerns:

1. Residents Need Assistance with Voter Registration.

When individuals move to a nursing home, they need to re-register to vote. It is important that they receive this assistance. The personal care voting assistants are not allowed to register voters and most SVDs are not allowed to register voters.

Other staff may be afraid to offer assistance with voter registration, as this bill would make it a felony if an employee "coerces" a resident to register to vote. We adamantly oppose any coercion. That being said, "coercion" is not defined and could be more broadly interpreted as offering assistance. Such a severe penalty is likely to result in staff being unwilling to take the risk of providing any assistance with voter registration and leave residents disenfranchised.

CMS requires nursing homes that receive Medicare or Medicaid funding to affirm and support the residents right to vote. That should include supporting residents with registering to vote if they wish to do so.

Recommendations:

- Give personal care voting assistants and SVDs the training and authority to register voters, as clerks can do at in-person absentee voting.
 - Include voter registration as part of the intake process. New residents should be asked if they need assistance with registering to vote, and if they wish to request an absentee ballot. This process would also help to ensure more of the smaller care facilities meet the requirement to participate in the SVD program.
- #### **2. Limiting assistance with voting to only the two assistants** may restrict the residents from getting the support they need to register to vote, to complete an absentee ballot to return a ballot.

Federal law requires election officials to allow a voter who is blind or has another disability to receive assistance from a person of the voter's choice (other than the voter's employer or its agent or an officer or agent of the voter's union). In addition, Federal law requires that Medicare/ Medicaid certified long term care facilities affirm and support the right of residents to vote. "nursing homes are required to support a resident in the exercise of their right (§483.10(b)(2)) to vote, such as assisting with absentee or mail-in voting, or transporting residents to polling locations or ballot drop-boxes in a safe manner."

Recommendation. Align Wisconsin law with the federal law to permit people with disabilities, including nursing home residents, to receive assistance from a person of their choice with completing their ballot, and to allow staff to assist residents with voting, as requested by the resident.

3. The bill would provide notice of the times and dates of absentee voting to each relative for whom the facility has contact information. Such notifications must be respectful of resident rights to privacy, and should only be done with the residents consent.

Recommendation: Ensure notification of relatives and any observation of the voting process complies with the residents' rights and protections. Residents should have to consent to notification of family members or others.

Use of Private Resources for Election Administration

- The bill would prohibit municipalities from applying for or accepting donations or grant moneys for purposes of election administration. Grant funding has provided support for some municipalities to improve accessibility concerns at polling places. In addition, during the pandemic, grant funding helping to address health and safety concerns at polling places. We heard positive comments from community members about the safety precautions taken using grant funding.
- **Recommendation:** If grant funding is not allowed, the Legislature should allocate funding for municipalities to address polling place accessibility, curbside voting, health and safety concerns, and other election administration expenses.

Absentee Ballot Certificates

- This bill would prohibit a municipal clerk from correcting a defect on the completed absentee ballot certificate envelope. Under current law, if the witness certificate is missing certain address information, the clerk receiving the ballot may complete that address information if known. Alternatively, the clerk may return the ballot to the voter so they may contact the witness and correct the defect if time permits.

Under the bill, if a clerk received an absentee ballot with missing information, the clerk would be required to return the absentee ballot to the voter. This would be required regardless of how much time remains to correct the issue or to cast a different ballot before polls close. The clerk would also be required to post a notification of the defect on the voter's voter information page on MyVote Wisconsin.

Concerns about SB 935

- We are concerned about the harmful impact on some voters with disabilities and older adults. The certificate envelope has very small print, is crowded, and **is not accessible** for many voters who have some vision loss. It's not a surprise that there are often mistakes in completing it correctly.
- Based on the experience of voters we assist, it has been very helpful for clerks to correct a defect on the absentee ballot certificate envelope, such as completing the witness address, and honoring the voter's intent. If this process changes and clerk must return the ballot to the voter, it is highly probable that there will not be enough time for the voter to correct the problem and return the ballot. While posting information on MyVote may be helpful for those with internet access and who see the posting, it would result in inequitable access as many voters do not have ready access to the internet and to device. In addition, unless MyVote sends a notification to the voter, they are not likely to be aware of the post.

Recommendation:

- Redesign the certificate envelope with guidance from national usability and accessibility experts. Provide more public education, and conduct usability testing on the instructions for absentee voters, and including older adults and voters with disabilities in the usability testing.
- Allow clerks to complete witness address information when possible.

SB-937 Indefinitely Confined Voters - For Information Only

DRW has appreciate the ongoing discussion with Senator Bernier about changes to update the Indefinitely Confined Voter Status and to protect this important option for disabled voters. Though DRW is unable to support the bill in its current form, our comments note specific provisions that we support as well as concerns.

Background. This bill makes changes to the Indefinitely Confined Voter Status. Wisconsin's indefinitely confined statute has been on the books for decades, and provides an important safeguard to ensure that many voters who are disabled, or have chronic health conditions can cast a ballot. An indefinitely confined voter is a person who, because of age, physical illness, or disability, has difficulty voting at their polling

place, and always wants to cast an absentee ballot. The Wisconsin Supreme Court affirmed that “indefinitely confined” status is for the voter to determine – it is not a medical diagnosis.

Wisconsin has been a leader in expanding community based long term care; over 80,000 people with disabilities and older adults are enrolled in community based long term care programs. Participants qualify for these programs by meeting a nursing home level of care, meaning their support needs are significant and similar to nursing home residents. The increasing number of individuals with long term health conditions such as Cerebral Palsy, Multiple Sclerosis, Muscular Dystrophy, ALS, and quadriplegia who live in the community rather than in a nursing home has increased the need for the indefinitely confined voter provision.

SB 937 addresses the following:

1. Further defines what it means to be “indefinitely confined.” An elector.....”who cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year.” Advocates have supported the need to clarify the language. The bill removes “age” as age in and of itself should not qualify someone – it requires frailty, physical illness, or disability.

Although this language provides some clarification, we continue to believe the term “indefinitely confined” is problematic. Voters who need this accommodation have shared that they are hesitant to apply because the terminology infers that they are “bed-bound” and unable to leave their home. We recommend the language used in some other states of *Permanent Absentee Voter Due to Disability*.

2. Provides a way for some (but not all) indefinitely confined voters who have photo ID to meet the requirement by providing the number of their driver’s license or state ID. This is a helpful accommodation but it is unfortunately limited to voters who can provide this electronically using MyVote Wisconsin.
3. Provides that a voter who fails to vote a ballot the voter receives as a result of his or her indefinitely confined status may be removed from the indefinitely confined status list only if he or she fails to vote the ballot at the spring or general election. Turnout among all voters is lower for primary elections and this change would prevent indefinitely confined voters from having to reapply to maintain their status due to not voting in a primary election.

Concerns about SB 937

1. Voters who have a photo ID and do not have access to the internet and to MyVote are NOT provided with an accommodation to meet the photo ID requirement. They are expected to provide a copy - no accommodation is made to their status as an indefinitely confined voter for whom this can create an undue burden. DRW does not support this provision.

Recommendation: allow these voters using a paper application to provide their ID numbers on the application, the same standard as used for voters who have access to MyVote.

2. Voters who do not have photo ID are required to provide the last 4 digits of their social security number to verify their identify. This could be an effective way of verifying their identity. However, the bill also requires the voter to provide an affirmation of a US citizen 18 or older that the elector is indefinitely confined and cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year. DRW does not support this provision.

This requirement is problematic for two reasons:

- The purpose of the signature should be to affirm the person's identify – not their health status. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has determined it is up to the voter to make this determination – it is not a medical diagnosis. Because of the subjective nature of this status, other citizens may feel unqualified to make such a determination and be unwilling to sign an affirmation. This requirement does not appropriately accommodate the voter and creates a different higher standard for those who do not have a drivers license or state ID.
- The legislation establishes a new crime for anyone who "Falsely make any statement for the purpose of qualifying as indefinitely confined". The new crime could be interpreted as applying to the person who makes the makes the affirmation and make them unwilling to sign.
- The requirement for another person to sign their application form may put the voter at risk for fraud because it will include the last 4 digits of their social security number.

RECOMMENDATION: Require these voters provide the last 4 digits of their SSN on their absentee ballot application. This information along with their birthdate should suffice to affirm their identify. This information should be sufficient to confirm the voter's identity. In addition, voters must receive assurances that that social security numbers will be guarded in a manner that will protect the voter from fraud or abuse.

3. Requires the Elections Commission to facilitate the removal of the indefinitely confined status of each voter who received that status between March 12, 2020, and November 6, 2020. A voter whose indefinitely confined status is so removed must submit a new application for indefinitely confined status in order to continue receiving absentee ballots automatically.

This requirement should not be needed as municipals clerks were already asked to

contact indefinitely confined voters after the 2020 election and advise voters who are not indefinitely confined to update their status.

Recommendation: Rather than remove these voters, they should receive notification that clarifies the requirements for indefinitely confined status and states their responsibility to update their status if they do not qualify. This will minimize confusion and ensure that those who qualify for this status do not need to re-apply. The process of reapplying can be difficult for voters with significant disabilities.

- 4. Requires a separate application form from the absentee ballot application.** The absentee ballot application is widely available and well known. If a separate form is required, it will decrease awareness of the Indefinitely Confined voter status for citizens who need this status. Electors in need of an indefinitely confined status may not know to request this application. At a minimum, the absentee ballot application should continue to include language about the indefinitely confined voter status and direct voters to the other form.

Recommendation: Continue to have one form to ensure that voters who need this accommodation are aware of it. The current form clearly states that anyone who makes a false statement may be fined or imprisoned. This language is right next to the box that a voter must check to self certify as “indefinitely confined” and is very visible.

SB-939 Absentee Ballots - Against

Absentee voting is heavily utilized by disabled voters because so many have barriers to voting in person including lack of transportation, polling place accessibility issues, and/or disability related or health concerns that limit their ability to vote in person. Many also have limited access to technology and to the internet or disability related barriers to using technology.

SB-939 would create the new restrictions listed below that would make it more difficult for many disabled Wisconsinites to cast a ballot.

- Absentee voters would need to provide proof of identification for every election. Under existing law, a voter who submitted a copy of their photo ID when applying for an absentee ballot once, and has not moved nor changed their name, need not submit a copy of their ID again when they apply.

Concern: The voters we assist often struggle to provide a copy of their photo ID on My Vote or My Mail. Requiring this be mailed or uploaded to My Vote for every election would be a significant burden.

- Reduce the number of elections a voter can apply to receive ballots for with a single application to a single primary and general election pair. Under existing law, a voter can apply to receive ballots for every election in a calendar year.
Concern: A high percentage of voters with disabilities vote absentee and request absentee ballots for the year. Because of limited access to technology, and in many cases limited mobility, it would be a significant burden for many disabled voters to have to repeatedly reapply to vote absentee. Having to repeatedly complete and resubmit absentee ballot applications will create a burden for voters who disabilities such as blindness, MS, spinal cord injuries, cerebral palsy that make it difficult to complete forms either by hand or on line.
- Require all in person absentee voters to complete an absentee ballot application, even if they already have such a request on file.
Concern: Requiring voters who already have an absentee ballot request on file to complete the form again is unnecessary, inefficient, and will lead to longer waiting times. In addition, many individuals with disabilities may require assistance to complete the absentee ballot application, and will require election officials to provide this accommodation.
- Prohibit clerk from sending absentee ballot applications to anyone who has not requested them
Concerns: Sending absentee ballot applications to registered voters provides equitable access to absentee voting for all voters, including those who do not have access to the internet or a device to complete the form online or to download and print it. This restriction is especially troubling because the bill requires voters to repeatedly complete and submit their absentee ballot request. Many voters with disabilities will struggle with these new restrictions. It is truly a public service for our municipal clerks or the Wisconsin Election Commission to mail the absentee ballot applications to voters, as so many voters with disabilities and older adults are isolated and not able to easily obtain or print an application.

Absentee Ballot Return

Many voters with disabilities rely on a person of their choice to return their absentee ballot. Because of disability they may be unable to place their completed ballot in a mailbox, in a secure drop box, or return it to their clerk. Existing law does not restrict who may deliver a ballot for a voter. This bill would restrict who a voter may choose to return their ballot and create a felony to punish a person who return a voter's ballot in violation of these restrictions.

Concerns

While less restrictive than a 2021 proposal, there are several provisions which are very concerning.

- No one can return more than two ballots not their own for anyone not immediate family per election
- The person cannot be compensated to return the ballot.

Our concerns include the following:

Many people with disabilities and older adults live in a congregate setting. This includes Adult Family Homes (AFH), community based residential facilities (CBRF), supported housing, or apartment buildings. Because of lack of transportation, mobility restrictions, as well as unreliable mail delivery, many residents rely on paid staff to return their ballot. Those paid staff often assist multiple residents. In addition, volunteers often assist residents with voting including ballot return.

In addition to those living in congregate settings, these restrictions would impact many disabled and elderly individuals who live independently in their own home or apartment. Many are isolated and do not have access to family or other community members to assist them. They rely on paid staff to assist them with activities of daily living, including voting, and in many cases to assist with absentee ballot return.

If paid staff are no longer able to assist with absentee ballot return, it will disenfranchise many people with disabilities and older adults. Federal law allows any voter who requires assistance to vote by reason of blindness, disability, or inability to read or write may be given assistance by a person of the voter's choice, other than the voter's employer or agent of that employer or officer or agent of the voter's union.

For the reasons noted, we ask you to oppose SB 939.

DRW welcomes the opportunity to work with policy makers to ensure that every eligible disabled voter has the opportunity to register to vote and cast a ballot, no matter where they live or how they vote. We are committed to ensuring that that Wisconsin elections are accessible and inclusive, and protect the rights of Wisconsinites with disabilities and older adults.

- For additional information or questions, please contact Barbara Beckert at barbara.beckert@drwi.org or 414-292-2724.

Disability Rights Wisconsin is the federally mandated Protection and Advocacy system for the State of Wisconsin, charged with protecting the rights of individuals with disabilities and keeping them free from abuse and neglect.

DRW is charged with protecting the voting rights of people with disabilities and mandated to help ensure the full participation in the electoral process for individuals with disabilities. DRW staffs a Voter Hotline and assists voters with disabilities and older adults, family members, service providers, and others.



BARBARA DITTRICH

STATE REPRESENTATIVE • 38th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

February 7, 2022

Senate Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform and Ethics

Rep. Dittrich Testimony on SB 934 – maintenance of the voter registration list, training of municipal clerks, data sharing agreements, pre-election procedures, lines at the polls on election day, and granting rule-making authority.

Thank you Chairwoman Bernier and members of the Senate Committee on Committee on Elections, Election Process Reform and Ethics for taking testimony on this important legislation today. Like most of you, I have continued to hear from a flood of constituents regarding our electoral process since both the spring and fall elections of 2020. Many of those constituents have felt disenfranchised and have lost all confidence in Wisconsin's elections.

Thankfully, the Joint Legislative Audit Committee made the prudent decision to launch a forensic audit through the non-partisan Legislative Audit Bureau in February of 2021. That audit produced a 168 page report with thorough, thoughtful recommendations, detailing actionable steps to make Wisconsin's elections more reliable, transparent, and trustworthy.

With those recommendations in mind, I have joined forces with Senator Bernier, an experienced former clerk, to introduce legislation addressing the mostly pre-election procedures and maintenance of data surrounding Wisconsin's elections. These steps would assure that voter rolls are properly maintained in a timely manner, consistency of training and procedures are maintained with clerks, and the reliability of equipment is ensured prior to elections.

SB 934 implements a regular schedule for data to be requested from the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC) and makes it clear that said information may be used by WEC to update state voter rolls. Additionally, this bill PROHIBITS all voting machines from being connected to the internet, with the exception of ONE point-to-point contact between the machine and county election server after polls closed. Under the bill, WEC must promulgate rules for additional clerk training related to certain aspects of electronic equipment usage. Municipal clerks must notify both the county clerk and WEC whenever election equipment is rented.

The steps detailed in SB 934 are neither radical nor onerous and should give every Wisconsin voter an extra measure of confidence in the integrity of their vote, regardless of which political party they choose. We legislators need to show leadership in unifying Wisconsin's voters by taking their concerns seriously and taking wise steps to address those concerns.



Wisconsin

Holding Power Accountable

152 W Johnson Street
Suite 212

Madison WI 53703

608.256.2586

**Testimony of Jay Heck,
Executive Director of Common Cause in Wisconsin**

Monday, February 7, 2022

**Wisconsin State Senate Committee on Elections, Election Process
Reform and Ethics**

Common Cause in Wisconsin (CC/WI) is the state's largest non-partisan political reform advocacy organization with more than 9,000 members and activists residing in every county in Wisconsin. We strongly support free and fair elections in this state and nation and oppose attempts to make voting more difficult and burdensome.

Much to the disservice to Wisconsin voters, the measures being considered by this State Senate Committee on Elections today were formulated without bipartisan cooperation or consultation, without any collaboration or consultation with CC/WI, or with little to no input from other non-partisan voting rights organizations in Wisconsin.

Furthermore, these measures were devised and made public only late last week and are being subjected to a "fast track" process in which the outcome has already been preordained. These measures have hardly been able to be viewed, digested and understood by the public and additionally by important, trusted election administrators like municipal and county clerks whose jobs are directly related to the bulk of the issues addressed in these bills have not been consulted nor had time to review these bills in this timeframe. Yet they are expected to be passed along party lines and sent to the full State Senate for a vote, as soon as this Wednesday, February 9. Such a process is disrespectful of the voters of Wisconsin who have a right to expect a fairer and more transparent legislative process.

Additionally, CC/WI believes that the following measures being considered today would have a detrimental effect on voter participation in Wisconsin and make it more difficult and burdensome to vote in a state that already has among the most extreme and restrictive voting laws of any state in the United States today.

Accordingly, CC/WI strongly opposes the following measures up for consideration in this committee today. We urge a “No” vote against them and further expect and will encourage Gov. Tony Evers to veto them should they be advanced and passed through the Wisconsin Legislature.

Senate Bill 935: This “catch all” measure purports to address certain kinds of election “fraud” and prohibits private resources and contracts for election administration without providing public resources, restricts who may perform tasks related to election administration, restricts the ability to correct minor mistakes/defects on absentee ballot certificates, restricts returning absentee ballots solely to the office of the municipal clerk, appointment of election officials, imposes restrictions on allowing an employee of a residential care facility or qualified retirement home to serve as a personal care voting assistant during a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease, and imposes severe penalties.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is a vast overreach. It imposes unnecessary restrictions on providing assistance to voters who are residents of nursing care facilities, reduces resources available to provide safe voting without providing additional public resources, restricts ability for election clerks to make common sense address corrections to absentee ballot envelopes which will result in qualified ballots not being counted, imposes unreasonable restrictions on who can be a poll worker and provides for unreasonably severe penalties. It will result in the disenfranchisement of voters for minor, technical mistakes.

Senate Bill 937: This measure severely restricts who can be considered or who qualifies to be an “Indefinitely Confined Voter” for purposes of receiving absentee ballots automatically and provides severe penalties for non-compliance.

Why CC/WI is opposed: It should be up to each Wisconsin voter to determine whether or not they want to receive an absentee ballot in the mail to utilize and not up to a partisan politician. This measure does not even consider a global pandemic, whether it be COVID or similar pandemics in the future, to be a sufficient reason to request an absentee ballot as an indefinitely confined voter. It would also require voters to apply for an absentee ballot for every election instead of just once, for subsequent elections as is now the case. The penalties for “violation” of this unnecessary measure are ridiculously and unreasonably punitive.

Senate Bill 938: This measure provides for the utilization of information from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation to verify U.S. citizenship and would require the designation: “not to be used for voting purposes” to be stamped on state issued driver’s licenses and other state issued photo ID.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is completely unnecessary and xenophobic. Currently, only U.S. citizens may vote in elections and this additional classification is completely unwarranted and divisive. Furthermore, this measure will potentially disenfranchise qualified electors and relies on unreliable sources for citizenship information.

Senate Bill 939: This measure severely restricts the absentee ballot application process, restricts and prohibits the unsolicited mailing or transmission of absentee ballot applications and absentee ballots, restricts the secure delivery of absentee ballots, restricts the canvassing for absentee ballots, further restricts voter registration requirements, electronic voter registration, and provides unreasonable penalties for “violation.”

Why CC/WI is opposed: This unwarranted measure makes the entire process of voting by absentee ballot much more onerous and difficult. Absentee voters would need to provide proof of identification for every election. Under this bill, a voter must submit a separate application for each primary and the election associated with that primary for which the voter wishes to receive absentee ballots automatically. It would require the WEC to prescribe the form and instructions of the absentee ballot application and also unnecessarily require the absentee ballot application to be separate and distinct from the certificate envelope in which voters must seal and submit absentee ballots, the outer portion of which includes certifications of both the voter and a witness. Additionally, the bill requires that the application requires the voter to certify facts establishing that he or she is eligible to vote in the election and must include excessive and unnecessary information. In short, this measure discourages voting by absentee ballot, even for voters who have relied on this method of safe and lawful voting for years.

Senate Bill 940: This measure would require the Wisconsin Elections Commission to identify and seek a correction to any new or changed voter registration that contains any information different than what is contained by information compiled by the Department of Transportation within ten days by mailing a notice to the voter.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is unreasonable and could result in the disenfranchisement of many voters because of a simple error or discrepancy in the information compiled by the DOT and on a voter registration form.

Senate Bill 941: This measure dilutes and diminishes the role of the non-partisan professional staff of the Wisconsin Elections Commission and provides for micromanagement of election-related decisions by partisan legislators. It would forbid WEC staff from taking any action to implement federal election guidance and procedures without the approval of partisan state legislators who are on the Joint Committee for the Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) with some exceptions.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is very possibly illegal under federal law and it is nothing less than a power grab by partisan legislators seeking to seize control of the decision-making process from WEC staff and Commissioners. It also injects more partisanship into basic questions of election administration in the state.

Senate Bill 942: This measure requires the Wisconsin Elections Commission to submit an annual report to the Legislature's Joint Committee on Finance (JCF) detailing "all failures" of WEC and the Department of Transportation, Department of Corrections and Department of Health Services to comply with "certain election-related activities." It would abolish one or more full time positions in each of those agencies and lapse up to \$50,000 per day for "non-compliance" or for providing "erroneous guidance" as determined by JCF.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is completely unreasonable and extremely punitive in both the reporting requirements and the penalties imposed by highly partisan legislators seeking to control the financial and policy-making process involved in Wisconsin elections. It is a power grab of the very worst kind and it is completely unwarranted.

Senate Bill 943: This bill requires the Elections Commission to weekly submit to the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules all documents and communications from the commission that the commission issued in the previous week that are applicable to municipal clerks generally and qualify as guidance documents. If JCRAR determines that such a document or communication satisfies the definition of a rule under current law, JCRAR must notify the commission of that determination and the commission must notify the municipal clerks that the document or communication is withdrawn and no longer applicable.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure is micro-management in the extreme by partisan legislators over the everyday operations of the non-partisan WEC staff and is tantamount to a complete state legislative takeover by partisan legislators of Wisconsin elections.

Senate Bill 934 and Senate Bill 936: While CC/WI finds there are portions of these bills that are legitimately good ideas, they nevertheless are attached to other measures that fail to improve Wisconsin election law. For example, in SB 934, there are good provisions on security and list maintenance, but these are rolled into a bill that requires WEC to treat ERIC data that someone moved as 'reliable', when in 2019 the data proved to be unreliable and this treatment of voter registration information will result in a purge of eligible voters. The audit provision in SB 936, while not a Risk Limiting Audit as CC/WI supports, is an improvement on current statutes by requiring the audit to be pre-certification and done by hand. While there are reasonable provisions and fixes in some of these bills, they are attached to measures that harm voters, do nothing to further election integrity, and continue to erode confidence in our elections and democracy. For these reasons, CC/WI is opposed to SB 934 and SB 936.

Senate Joint Resolution 101: This constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2021-22 legislature on first consideration, does all of the following:

1. Provides that no state agency or officer or employee in state government and no political subdivision of the state or officer or employee of a political subdivision may apply for or accept any donation or grant of private resources for purposes of election administration.

2. Prohibits the use of a donation or grant of private resources for purposes of election administration.
3. Prohibits any individual other than an election official designated by law from performing any task in election administration.

A constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive legislatures and ratification by the people before it can become effective.

Why CC/WI is opposed: This measure would prevent any city, town, village or municipality from applying or accepting any private donation for the purpose of mitigating the effect of COVID such as for masks, cleaning products, plastic shields, hiring poll workers or for any other reason even if there are insufficient public resources available for the purpose of making voting safe and free from possible infection and disease. There is no provision in this measure to provide increased public resources to replace private support for safe voting practices and would likely result in decreased voter participation.

Thank you for your respectful consideration of our views.