(608) 266-0620 FAX: (608) 282-3615 Toll-Free: (888) 534-0015 Rep.Sanfelippo@legis.wi.gov

P.O. Box 8953 Madison, WI 53708-8953

June 11th, 2019

State Rep. Joe Sanfelippo's Testimony on Road to Sustainability Package

Chair Kulp and committee members, thank you for holding a hearing today on Assembly Bills 273, 275, 277, 283, 284, and 285 regarding transportation reforms here in Wisconsin. As legislators, we have heard loud and clear from our constituents that this state's transportation infrastructure needs our attention, and I am grateful for your willingness to move forward on finding solutions to address this ongoing problem. The bills before you today are part of a broader set of legislation known as the Road to Sustainability Package (RSP), which lays out a roadmap for making meaningful long-term changes to how we fund and deliver horizontal infrastructure here in Wisconsin.

The issue plaguing our roads here in Wisconsin isn't simply a lack of resources, although the additional funds recently allocated to transportation by the Joint Finance Committee in the upcoming budget will no doubt be helpful. A broader problem is making sure that the finite funds we do have are allocated wisely and spent efficiently. To that end, we must look to how the Wisconsin Department of Transportation administers infrastructure projects in our state. The Legislative Audit Bureau recently completed a comprehensive audit and review of WisDOT and identified numerous opportunities for improvement, making several recommendations that would help the Department operate more efficiently. The RSP seeks to implement many of those recommendations to help ensure that WisDOT is the best possible steward of taxpayer money. For instance, if WisDOT receives only a single bid for a project, and that bid exceeds the Department's estimate by more than 10%, Assembly Bill 285 requires the Department reject the bid and readvertise the contract in a manner likely to generate more bids. This encourages WisDOT to perform better diligence on contract estimates and stimulate competitive bidding. Similarly, Assembly Bill 284 incents WisDOT employees to look for ways to deliver projects at lower costs by creating a discretionary merit award for WisDOT employees who identify cost savings, efficiencies, and innovations within the Department. By providing a financial incentive to employees who best know the inner workings of the agency, we will encourage Department staff to ask questions and challenge existing processes when more efficient strategies are possible. Re-aligning agency employee incentives towards efficiency will allow the Department to course correct on its own — without the Legislature imposing mandates on the agency.

Part and parcel of spending our infrastructure funds more wisely is finding ways to leverage opportunities to save money when they become available. Material costs represent a large portion of road construction project spending. Assembly Bills 273 and 277 offer ways to source materials at lower cost. Creating a Subgrade Efficiency Program will allow contractors to review WisDOT's list of approved, structurally-equivalent alternatives for subgrade construction and propose whichever construction approach is lowest cost for that contractor to bid and construct. Allowing contractors to figure out which equivalent materials to use in order to deliver the project for the lowest cost is a sensible way to make our tax dollars

go further. Similarly, by streamlining regulations for aggregate and concrete production sites to match existing rules for other, similar sites on construction projects, we will help reduce the costs and procurement challenges for road materials.

Design-build is an alternative delivery method for how construction projects are shepherded through the bidding process, offering significant cost savings and quicker turnarounds for projects where this method is used. The RSP included legislation overhauling the design-build process, and that language was added to the current budget. Assembly Bill 275 is an important supplement to that provision by requiring WisDOT to increase the number of design-build projects that it has in its pipeline in order to ensure that it has projects ready to go at every stage when resources become available for use. This will help reduce potential delays and allow the Department to seize opportunities that present themselves. Finally, Assembly Bill 283 requires any new wheel taxes proposed by municipalities be put up for a referendum before enactment, ensuring that taxpayers are satisfied with the accountability and spending priorities of their local governments.

The bills before you this morning represent a clear path forward towards improving Wisconsin's transportation infrastructure and putting it on a sustainable path for the future. By making the process more efficient and eliminating unnecessary obstacles, we can help ensure that projects are completed quicker and for less money, allowing us to stretch our transportation funding as far as possible. We need fresh ideas to address Wisconsin's transportation infrastructure needs, and these bills will help encourage better processes. I encourage you to pass Assembly Bills 273, 275, 277, 283, 284, and 285. Thank you for your attention to this important matter.



131 W. Wilson St., Suite 505 Madison, Wisconsin 53703 phone (608) 267-2380; (800) 991-5502 fax: (608) 267-0645 league@lwm-info.org; www.lwm-info.org

To: Assembly Committee on Transportation

From: Curt Witynski, J.D., Deputy Director, League of Wisconsin Municipalities

Date: June 11, 2019

Re: AB 277, Exempting Certain Aggregate and Concrete Production Sites from Local

Zoning Ordinances

The League of Wisconsin Municipalities opposes AB 277, adding aggregate sites and concrete production sites to current law exemptions from local zoning ordinances that apply to borrow sites and material disposal sites. The existing exemptions from local zoning that apply to borrow sites and material disposal sites were created without a public hearing by budget motions. This is the first opportunity local governments have had to express opposition to this type of preemption of local land use powers.

We oppose expanding the existing preemption and further interfering with local land use controls because it obstructs the ability of municipalities to respond to resident concerns about such intense uses being located near single family residential districts and other non-compatible uses. This bill, if enacted, completely undermines local planning and zoning policy decisions.

We urge you to vote against recommending passage of AB 283. Thanks for considering our comments.

Dane County Cities & Villages Association



President:

Bob Wipperfurth President Village of Windsor (608) 846-2703

Vice President:

Judd Blau Village President Village of DeForest (608) 846-0228

Secretary:

Paul Esser Mayor City of Sun Prairie (608) 825-1164

Treasurer:

Bill Burns Finance Director City of Middleton (608) 821-8356

Member-At-Large

Gurdip Brar Mayor City of Middleton (608) 821-8359

Public Affairs:

Forbes D. McIntosh Government Policy Solutions (608) 255-0029

Address:

14 W. Mifflin St., Suite 206, Madison WI 53703 TO: Assembly Committee on Transportation

FROM: Dane County Cities and Villages Association Executive Committee

Robert Wipperfurth, President, Village of Windsor

Judd Blau, President, Village of DeForest Paul Esser, Mayor, City of Sun Prairie Gurdip Brar, Mayor, City of Middleton

Bill Burns, Finance Director, City of Middleton

DATE: Tuesday, June 11, 2019

RE: Assembly Bill 277 & Assembly Bill 283

Dear Rep. Kulp and Committee,

As the Executive Committee and on behalf of the Dane County Cities and Villages Association, we would like to express our concerns with Assembly Bill 277, which will add aggregate sites and construction batch sites to the types of sites used for transportation projects that are exempt from local zoning ordinances.

The DCCVA has concerns with the bill, which would seriously limit the local citizen input and local regulation of quarries. Quarries and residential homeowners in more urban settings (cities, villages) may in certain circumstances peacefully co-exist, but there needs to be a balance that includes the voices of the local residents and other local businesses. However, there are also times when locating these types of operations near residential, retail or commercial settings is unreasonable. Local governments and local residents should be allowed to have a voice when it comes to reviewing operations within their boundaries that might lead to additional noise and truck traffic.

We are also concerned about the impact quarries might have on Agricultural Enterprise Areas and the reclamation of such lands after they have been mined. Once a site has been mined, its future uses might be diminished, causing uncertainty from both an economic and environmental standpoint. This is another reason why local review/zoning is so important.

DCCVA is opposed to AB 277 and asks that you vote against this legislation.

Also, DCCVA is opposed to Assembly Bill 283, which would require a local

referendum to establish a wheel tax. As you know, street and road construction and maintenance is costly for municipalities, and the wheel tax is one of the few means for local governments to fully cover this significant expense.

Wisconsin Assembly Committee on Transportation

Hearing on Assembly Bills 273, 275,283, 284, 277

Testimony by Glen R. Schwalbach, P.E.

June 11, 2019

My name is Glen Schwalbach. I reside at 1090 Moonriver Drive, De Pere, WI. I am a Registered Professional Engineer, a P.E. in Wisconsin.

Following is a summary of my comments on each bill.

AB 273: It's not clear why this bill is needed; it would be confusing to implement. Sub-base requirements are decided by engineers or designers and depend upon the existing soil types. The DOT Facilities Development Manual shows ten sub-base equivalents but, in some cases, only a couple may be appropriate. In many cases, no sub-base is required. The bill could say "when sub-base is required, the department should designate as many equivalent options as appropriate for each project".

AB 275: This bill includes a definition of a design-build project which includes reference to "by low bid or by best value". Design-build projects include professional services such as architectural, surveying and engineering. Such services should be procured with a qualifications-based selection (QBS) process. For Federal projects, the Brooks Act requires QBS. Using QBS results in projects being the best quality at the least life-cycle costs. By the way, without using QBS, a design-build project will require more DOT monitoring, inspection, etc. Note that many states require warranties and maintenance agreements when using design-build.

AB 283: Referendums are just the fairest way to implement new taxes. Whether a wheel tax or a county sales tax, the taxpayers should decide. It is up to the government officials to provide the compelling arguments if they think the tax is needed. In my county, there is a lawsuit opposing a new county sales tax. If that tax had been voted for by referendum, there would be no lawsuit.

AB 284: Discretionary merit awards are a bad idea. Good managers don't use them. They cause many unintended consequences. They put the focus on just cost instead on safety, less congestion, long-life, etc. They may optimize a sub-process at the expense of sub-optimizing the whole system. They require a lot of administrative costs. They will be unfair. They don't encourage teamwork and create hard feelings among employees. My former employer, Wisconsin Public Service Corp. had such a program. We dropped it in the 1980's when we got smarter — thanks to Dr. Deming, the guru of process improvement and business management. The process analysis techniques, implemented by former Secretary Gottlieb in 2011, are the proper methods for improving process efficiencies and reducing costs through teamwork. Those teams documented over \$1.5 billion in savings from 2011 through 2016.

AB 277: This bill just adds two more types of facilities to current law for exemptions to local ordinances. But, in all cases, the law should be clear that consideration should be given to local governments to minimize the impact on their residents and on their local roads.

Glen R. Schwaibach, P.E.

Email: glenschwalbach@netzero.com, Cell: 920-680-2436