## CHAPTER 940

### CRIMES AGAINST LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY

	LIFE	940.24	Injury by negligent use of weapon
940.01	First-degree murder.	940.25	Injury by intoxicated use of a vehicle.
940.02	Second-degree murder	940.28	Abandonment of young child.
940.04	Abortion	940.29	Abuse of residents of facilities
940.05	Manslaughter	940.30	False imprisonment
940.06	Homicide by reckless conduct.	940.305	Taking hostages
940.07	Homicide resulting from negligent control of vi-	940.31	Kidnapping
7,3	cious animal	940.32	Abduction
940.08	Homicide by negligent use of vehicle or weapon.	940.33	Violation of certain restraining orders or
940.09	Homicide by intoxicated user of vehicle or firearm.		injunctions
940 12	Assisting suicide.	940.41	Definitions
	BODILY SECURITY	940.42	Intimidation of witnesses; misdemeanor
940.19	Battery; aggravated battery	940.43	Intimidation of witnesses; felony
940.20	Battery: special circumstances	940.44	Intimidation of victims; misdemeanor
940.201	Abuse of children	940.45	Intimidation of victims; felony.
940.203	Sexual exploitation of children	940.46	Attempt prosecuted as completed act.
940.21	Mayhem	940.47	Court orders.
940.225	Sexual assault.	940.48	Violation of court orders
940.23	Injury by conduct regardless of life.	940.49	Pretrial release

#### LIFE

940.01 First-degree murder. (1) Whoever causes the death of another human being with intent to kill that person or another is guilty of a Class A felony

(2) In this chapter "intent to kill" means the mental purpose to take the life of another human being.

1977 c. 173. History:

Conviction of 1st degree murder upheld where, in the course of a robbery, defendant severely and repeatedly hit the victim with a heavy bottle. State v. Wells, 51 W (2d) 477, 187 NW (2d) 328.

Evidence sufficiently supported defendant's conviction of first-degree murder (party to a crime) under proof that the victim was murdered by another with a weapon and ammunition supplied by defendant, who prior thereto, knowing his accomplice was looking for the victim and intended to kill him, not only furnished the murder weapon and demonstrated its use, but supplied his confederate with gasoline money for a car into which defendant, under pretext, lured the victim, and after the murder, defendant caused the weapon to be thrown

into a lake in an attempt to hide his involvement. Clark v. State, 62 W (2d) 194, 214 NW (2d) 450.

Evidence warranted the jury in reasonably concluding defendant possessed the requisite intent to kill, contrary to his claim of intoxication based on his prior ingestion of liquor, the record disclosing he later, accompanied by a friend, knocked at the door of the victim's dwelling, and after a short conver-sation between the two, lunged at the door, pulled it open and fired his gun point-blank at the victim's head, his sobriety being further made manifest by his verbal recognition of his culpable plight and the manner in which he immediately thereafter maneuvered his car when he drove away. State v. Nemoir, 62 W (2d) 206, 214 NW (2d) 297.

Defendant's denial of intent to kill is refuted by the record

establishing that after beating his victim about the head with the butt of his gun, defendant almost fatally injured the victim Fells v. State, 65 W (2d) 525, 223 NW (2d) 507

Trial court omission to instruct on intoxication cannot be

urged on appeal to invalidate defendant's 1st-degree murder conviction, absent any request for an instruction on that defense or objections to the instructions given Lee v. State, 65 W (2d) 648, 223 NW (2d) 455

Where a person discharges a weapon at a vital body part and death ensues as a natural and probable result, a rebutta-ble presumption arises that he intended to take a human life, the burden of rebutting which is upon the defendant to bring forth evidence raising a reasonable doubt as to his intention to take life or as to whether such taking was justifiable or excusable. Smith v. State, 69 W (2d) 297, 230 NW (2d) 858.

Person convicted under this section is eligible for probation. State v. Wilson, 77 W (2d) 15, 252 NW (2d) 64.

Conviction of 1st degree murder was upheld where defendant's confession was corroborated by independent evidence in the record, including the defendant's own testimony Schultz v. State, 82 W (2d) 737, 264 NW (2d) 245.

Psychiatric testimony which purports to prove or disprove specific intent is inadmissible during guilt phase of bifurcated trial. Court doubts whether such testimony is competent, relevant or probative in any criminal case. Steele v. State, 97 W (2d) 72, 294 NW (2d) 2 (1980).

See note to 907.02, citing State v. Dalton, 98 W (2d) 725, 298 NW (2d) 398 (Ct. App. 1980).

Trial court erred in refusing to submit verdict of endangering safety as lesser included offense on attempted murder charge where defendant admitted shooting victim in stomach but claimed self-defense. State v. Cartagena, 99 W (2d) 657, 299 NW (2d) 872 (1981).

See note to 903.03, citing State v. Schulz, 102 W (2d) 423, 307 NW (2d) 151 (1981).

See note to 939.05, citing State v. Stanton, 106 W (2d) 172, 316 NW (2d) 134 (Ct. App. 1982)

Where jury was instructed that persons are presumed to intend probable consequences of acts and where defendant was precluded from offering psychiatric testimony as to inability to form intent required for first-degree murder, prosecution was unconstitutionally relieved of proving intent element of crime. Hughes v. Mathews, 576 F (2d) 1250 (1978).

Evidence of diminished capacity inadmissible to show lack of intent 1976 WLR 623

Beck v. Alabama: The right to a lesser included offense instruction in capital cases. 1981 WLR 560.

Restricting the admission of psychiatric testimony on a defendant's mental state: Wisconsin's Steele curtain. 1981 WLR 733.

940.02 Second-degree murder. Whoever causes the death of another human being under either of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class B felony:

#### 940.02 CRIMES-LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY

- (1) By conduct imminently dangerous to another and evincing a depraved mind, regardless of human life; or
- (2) As a natural and probable consequence of the commission of or attempt to commit a felony.

1977 c. 173.

As to 2nd degree murder the reference is to conduct evincering a certain state of mind, not that the state of mind actually exists. Ameen v. State, 51 W (2d) 175, 186 NW (2d) 206.

See note to 940 01, citing State v. Wells, 51 W (2d) 477, 187 NW (2d) 328.

It is not correct that provocation may reduce a homicide to 2nd degree murder even though the provocation is not suffi-cient to reduce the offense to manslaughter. State v Ander-

son, 51 W (2d) 557, 187 NW (2d) 335

Trial court refusal to give defendant's requested definition of the depraved mind necessary for second-degree murder as defined by the supreme court in State v. Weso, 60 W (2d) 404, did not constitute an abuse of discretion where Weso neither changed the law with respect to this element of the crime nor held that the standard instruction thereon was e ther unclear or inadequate. Hughes v. State, 68 W (2d) 159, 227 NW (2d) 911

Beating and kicking smaller, unconscious victim constitutes conduct imminently dangerous and evincing a depraved mind. Wangerin v. State, 73 W (2d) 427, 243 NW (2d) 448.

Where victim, known by defendant to be violent, attacked defendant with a knife and defendant shot victim 5 times, allegedly by accident, trial court did not err in instructing jury on lesser charge of second-degree murder on grounds that defendant did not intend victim's death McAllister v. State, 74 W (2d) 246, 246 NW (2d) 511.

Sexual molestation of nine year old girl resulting in fatal traumatic shock constituted conduct presenting an apparent and conscious danger of producing death. Turner v. State, 76 W (2d) 1, 250 NW (2d) 706.

Where defendant was drag racing along street while intoxicated but apparently swerved in attempt to avoid hitting victim, the proof was insufficient in respect to conduct imminently dangerous to another Wagner v. State, 76 W (2d) 30, 250 NW (2d) 331

See note to 940.05, citing State v. Klimas, 94 W (2d) 288,

288 NW (2d) 157 (Ct. App. 1979)

Where defendant is found guilty of homicide occurring during commission of a felony he may be sentenced for both offenses although separate verdicts were not submitted. Patelski v. Cady, 313 F Supp. 1268.

- 940.04 Abortion. (1) Any person, other than the mother, who intentionally destroys the life of an unborn child may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than 3 years or both.
- (2) Any person, other than the mother, who does either of the following may be imprisoned not more than 15 years:
- (a) Intentionally destroys the life of an unborn quick child; or
- (b) Causes the death of the mother by an act done with intent to destroy the life of an unborn child. It is unnecessary to prove that the fetus was alive when the act so causing the mother's death was committed.
- (3) Any pregnant woman who intentionally destroys the life of her unborn child or who consents to such destruction by another may be fined not more than \$200 or imprisoned not more than 6 months or both.
- (4) Any pregnant woman who intentionally destroys the life of her unborn quick child or who

consents to such destruction by another may be imprisoned not more than 2 years.

- (5) This section does not apply to a therapeutic abortion which:
  - (a) Is performed by a physician; and
- (b) Is necessary, or is advised by 2 other physicians as necessary, to save the life of the mother; and
- (c) Unless an emergency prevents, is performed in a licensed maternity hospital.
- (6) In this section "unborn child" means a human being from the time of conception until it is born alive

Aborting child against father's wishes does not constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress. Przybyla v. Przybyla, 87 W (2d) 441, 275 NW (2d) 112 (Ct. App. 1978).

This section cited as similar to Texas statute which was held to violate the due process clause of the 14th amendment, which protects against state action the right to privacy, including a woman's qualified right to terminate her pregnancy. Roe v. Wade, 410 US 113

State may prohibit first trimester abortions by nonphysicians. Connecticut v. Menillo, 423 US 9

Viability of unborn child discussed. Colautti v Franklin, 439 US 379 (1979).

Any law requiring parental consent for minor to obtain abortion must ensure that parent does not have absolute, and possibly arbitrary, veto. Bellotti v. Baird, 443 US 622 (1979)

See note to art. I, sec. 1, citing Harris v. McRae, 448 US 297 (1980)

See note to art. I, sec. 1, citing Babbitz v. McCann, 310 F

Supp. 293.

Where U.S. supreme court decisions clearly made Wisconsin antiabortion statute unenforceable, issue in physician's action for injunctive relief against enforcement became mooted, and it no longer presented case or controversy over which court could have jurisdiction. Larkin v McCann, 368 F Supp 1352

State regulation of abortion 1970 WLR 933.

- 940.05 Manslaughter. Whoever causes the death of another human being under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class C felony:
- (1) Without intent to kill and while in the heat of passion; or
- (2) Unnecessarily, in the exercise of his privilege of self-defense or defense of others or the privilege to prevent or terminate the commission of a felony; or
- (3) Because such person is coerced by threats made by someone other than his coconspirator and which cause him reasonably to believe that his act is the only means of preventing imminent death to himself or another; or
- (4) Because the pressure of natural physical forces causes such person reasonably to believe that his act is the only means of preventing imminent public disaster or imminent death to himself or another.

History: 1977 c. 173

Uniform instruction No. 1140 as to self-defense approved. Mitchell v State, 47 W (2d) 695, 177 NW (2d) 833.

Failure to negate the intentional nature of the killing or establish adequate provocation requires the refusal of a manslaughter instruction State v. Lucynski, 48 W (2d) 232, 179 NW (2d) 889.

Where there was no evidence which would constitute either first or second degree murder a finding that defendant acted in the heat of passion will not sustain a conviction of manslaughter. Boissonneault v. State, 50 W (2d) 662, 184 NW (2d) 846.

A defendant is not entitled to submission of a manslaughter (self-defense) verdict when he testified that he did not intend to do the act which resulted in death. Day v. State, 55 W.

(2d) 756, 201 NW (2d) 42.

An instruction as to self-defense and one in regard to manslaughter are not mutually exclusive. Self-defense may be either a complete defense or a mitigation of murder. Ross v. State, 61 W (2d) 160, 211 NW (2d) 827.

Driveway incident took place 5 days prior to the shooting.

Such anger would not constitute adequate provocation under (1). Marks v. State, 63 W (2d) 769, 218 NW (2d) 328.

Court declines to abandon the established objective test

applied in manslaughter-heat of passion cases. Hayzes v. State, 64 W (2d) 189, 218 NW (2d) 717.

Instruction under (2) is proper only if, under some reasonable view, the evidence is sufficient to establish guilt of causable view. ing the death of another in the exercise of self-defense. Bed-ford v. State, 65 W (2d) 357, 222 NW (2d) 658. Where defendant testified to being beaten continually by 2

officers after dropping gun and repeatedly asking officers to stop, trial court erred in refusing to instruct jury on possible "imperfect self-defense" of defendant in grabbing police re-volver used in the beating and shooting both officers. State v. Mendoza, 80 W (2d) 122, 258 NW (2d) 260. State of mind which distinguishes manslaughter from

second-degree murder must necessarily be heat of passion required by (1), not depravity of mind evinced by conduct constituting second-degree murder State v. Klimas, 94 W (2d) 288, 288 NW (2d) 157 (Ct. App. 1979)

Heat of passion has both objective (provocation) and subjective (state of mind) facets. State v. Williford, 103 W (2d) 98, 307 NW (2d) 277 (1981)

Conviction was supported by evidence that accused fired 3 shots at waist level through closed bedroom door. State v. Kelley, 107 W (2d) 540, 319 NW (2d) 869 (1982)

# 940.06 Homicide by reckless conduct.

- (1) Whoever causes the death of another human being by reckless conduct is guilty of a Class C felony.
- (2) Reckless conduct consists of an act which creates a situation of unreasonable risk and high probability of death or great bodily harm to another and which demonstrates a conscious disregard for the safety of another and a willingness to take known chances of perpetrating an injury. It is intended that this definition embraces all of the elements of what was heretofore known as gross negligence in the criminal law of Wisconsin.

History: 1977 c. 173

When death results from illegal race on public highway, each driver directly commits homicide by reckless conduct, regardless of which automobile causes death. State v. McClose, 95 W (2d) 49, 289 NW (2d) 340 (Ct. App. 1980).

Conviction under this section does not require proof of intent to kill. See note to 853.11, citing in Matter of Estate of Safran, 102 W (2d) 79, 306 NW (2d) 27 (1981).

940.07 Homicide resulting from negligent control of vicious animal. Whoever knowing the vicious propensities of any animal intentionally allows it to go at large or keeps it without ordinary care, if such animal, while so at large or not confined, kills any human being who has taken all the precautions which the circumstances may permit to avoid such animal, is guilty of a Class C felony.

History: 1977 c 173.

940.08 Homicide by negligent use of vehicle or weapon. (1) Whoever causes the death of another human being by a high degree of negligence in the operation or handling of a vehicle, firearm, airgun, knife or bow and arrow is guilty of a Class E felony.

(2) A high degree of negligence is conduct which demonstrates ordinary negligence to a high degree, consisting of an act which the person should realize creates a situation of unreasonable risk and high probability of death or great bodily harm to another

History: 1977 c. 173.

High degree of negligence is determined by objective "reasonable person" test; subjective intent is not an element of the offense. Victim's contributory negligence is no defense. Hart v. State, 75 W (2d) 371, 249 NW (2d) 810.

- 940.09 Homicide by intoxicated user of vehicle or firearm. (1) Any person who does either of the following under par (a) or (b) is guilty of a Class D felony:
- (a) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a vehicle, firearm or airgun and while under the influence of an intoxicant or a controlled substance or a combination of an intoxicant and a controlled substance;
- (b) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a vehicle, firearm or airgun while the person has a blood alcohol concentration of 0.1% or more by weight of alcohol in that person's blood or 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of that person's breath.
- (c) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon an information based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b) in the information, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both pars. (a) and (b) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343 305. Paragraphs (a) and (b) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require.
- (2) The actor has a defense if it appears by a preponderance of the evidence that the death would have occurred even if the actor had not been under the influence of an intoxicant or a controlled substance or a combination thereof or did not have a blood alcohol concentration described under sub (1) (b).

(3) An officer who makes an arrest for a violation of this section shall make the report required under s. 346.635.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1981 c. 20, 184, 314, 391.

NOTE: For legislative intent see chapter 20, laws of 1981, section 2051 (13).

See note to art. I, sec. 11, citing State v. Jenkins, 80 W (2d) 426, 259 NW (2d) 109.

See note to art. I, sec. 11, citing State v. Bentley, 92 W (2d) 860, 286 NW (2d) 153 (Ct. App. 1979).

See note to art. I, sec. 8, citing State v. Rabe, 96 W (2d) 880, 108

48, 291 NW (2d) 809 (1980)

940.12 Assisting suicide. Whoever with intent that another take his or her own life assists such person to commit suicide is guilty of a Class D felony.

History: 1977 c. 173

#### **BODILY SECURITY**

940.19 Battery; aggravated battery. (1) Whoever causes bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause bodily harm to that person or another without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class A

(1m) Whoever causes great bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause bodily harm to that person or another without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class E felony.

- (2) Whoever causes great bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause great bodily harm to that person or another with or without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class C felony.
- (3) Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to another by conduct which creates a high probability of great bodily harm is guilty of a Class E felony A rebuttable presumption of conduct creating a high probability of great bodily harm arises:
- (a) If the person harmed is 62 years of age or older; or
- (b) If the person harmed has a physical disability, whether congenital or acquired by accident, injury or disease, which is discernible by an ordinary person viewing the physically disabled person.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 111, 113. See note to 939.22, citing La Barge v. State, 74 W (2d) 327, 246 NW (2d) 794

Under facts of aggravated battery case, trial court erred both in finding "great bodily harm" as a matter of law and in refusing to instruct jury in lesser included offense of battery. Flores v. State, 76 W (2d) 50, 250 NW (2d) 720

## 940.20 Battery: special circumstances.

(1) BATTERY BY PRISONERS. Any prisoner confined to a state prison or other state, county or municipal detention facility who intentionally causes bodily harm to an officer, employe, visitor or another inmate of such prison or institution, without his or her consent, is guilty of a Class D felony.

- (2) Battery to law enforcement of-FICERS AND FIRE FIGHTERS. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a law enforcement officer or fire fighter, as those terms are defined in s 102.475 (8) (a) and (c), acting in an official capacity and the person knows or has reason to know that the victim is a law enforcement officer or fire fighter, by an act done without the consent of the person so injured, is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (3) Baitery to witnesses and jurors. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a person who he or she knows or has reason to know is or was a witness as defined in s. 940.41 (3) or a grand or petit juror, and by reason of the person having attended or testified as a witness or by reason of any verdict or indictment assented to by the person, without the consent of the person injured, is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (4) BATIERY 10 PUBLIC OFFICERS. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a public officer in order to influence the action of such officer or as a result of any action taken within an official capacity, without the consent of the person injured, is guilty of a Class E felony.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 30, 113, 221; 1981 c. 118 s. 9. Resisting or obstructing an officer (946 41) is not a lesser-included crime of battery to a peace officer. State v. Zdiarstek, 53 W (2d) 776, 193 NW (2d) 833.

Battery to prospective witness is prohibited by 940 206, 1975 stats. [now 940 20 (3)] McLeod v State, 85 W (2d) 787, 271 NW (2d) 157 (Ct. App. 1978).

County deputy sheriff was not acting in official capacity under 940.205, 1975 stats [now 940.20 (2)] when making arrest outside county of employment. State v. Barrett, 96 W (2d) 174, 291 NW (2d) 498 (1980).

940.201 Abuse of children. Whoever tortures a child or subjects a child to cruel maltreatment, including, but not limited, to severe bruising, lacerations, fractured bones, burns, internal injuries or any injury constituting great bodily harm under s. 939.22 (14), is guilty of a Class E felony. In this section, "child" means a person under 16 years of age.

History: 1977 c. 173, 355

Section is not unconstitutionally vague or overly broad. State v. Killory, 73 W (2d) 400, 243 NW (2d) 475

Physical injury is not an element of crime of cruel malatment. State v. Campbell, 102 W (2d) 243, 306 NW (2d) 272 (Ct. App. 1981).

# 940.203 Sexual exploitation of children.

- (1) No person may knowingly employ, use, persuade, induce, entice or coerce any child to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of photographing, filming, videotaping, recording the sounds of or displaying in any way the conduct.
- (2) No person may photograph, film, videotape, record the sounds of or display in any way a child engaged in sexually explicit conduct.

- (3) No parent, legal guardian or other person exercising temporary or permanent control of a child may knowingly permit the child to engage in sexually explicit conduct which is filmed, photographed, videotaped, recorded for sound or displayed in any way.
- (4) No person may knowingly produce, perform in, profit from, promote, import, reproduce, advertise, sell, distribute, or possess with intent to sell or distribute, any undeveloped film, photographic negative, photograph, motion picture, videotape, sound recording or other reproduction of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct.
- (5) Whoever violates this section is guilty of a Class C felony.
  - (6) In this section:
- (a) "Child" means any person under the age of 18 years.
- (b) "Sexually explicit conduct" means actual or simulated:
- 1. Sexual intercourse, including genitalgenital, oral-genital, anal-genital or oralanal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
  - 2. Bestiality;
  - 3. Masturbation;
- 4. Sexual sadism or sexual masochistic abuse, including but not limited to, flagellation, torture or bondage; or
- 5. Lewd exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person.

History: 1977 c. 356.

940.21 Mayhem. Whoever, with intent to disable or disfigure another, cuts or mutilates the tongue, eye, ear, nose, lip, limb or other bodily member of another, is guilty of a Class B felony.

History: 1977 c. 173

Injury by conduct regardless of life (940.23) and endangering safety by conduct regardless of life (941.30) can be lesser included offenses of mayhem. Kirby v. State, 86 W (2d) 292, 272 NW (2d) 113 (Ct. App. 1978).

- 940.225 Sexual assault. (1) FIRST DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class B felony:
- (a) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person and causes pregnancy or great bodily harm to that person.
- (b) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of use of a dangerous weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the victim reasonably to believe it to be a dangerous weapon.
- (c) Is aided or abetted by one or more other persons and has sexual contact or sexual inter-

- course with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of force or violence.
- (d) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person 12 years of age or younger.
- (2) SECOND DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class C felony:
- (a) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of force or violence.
- (b) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person and causes injury, illness, disease or impairment of a sexual or reproductive organ, or mental anguish requiring psychiatric care for the victim.
- (c) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who suffers from a mental illness or deficiency which renders that person temporarily or permanently incapable of appraising the person's conduct, and the defendant knows of such condition.
- (d) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who the defendant knows is unconscious.
- (e) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who is over the age of 12 years and under the age of 16 years.
- (3) THIRD DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever has sexual intercourse with a person without the consent of that person is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (3m) FOURTH DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever has sexual contact with a person without the consent of that person is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.
- (4) CONSENT. "Consent", as used in this section, means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent indicating a freely given agreement to have sexual intercourse or sexual contact. Consent is not an issue in alleged violations of subs. (1) (d) and (2) (c), (d) and (e). The following persons are presumed incapable of consent but the presumption may be rebutted by competent evidence, subject to the provisions of s. 972.11 (2):
- (b) A person suffering from a mental illness or defect which impairs capacity to appraise personal conduct.
- (c) A person who is unconscious or for any other reason is physically unable to communicate unwillingness to an act.
- (5) DEFINITIONS. In this section:
- (a) "Sexual contact" means any intentional touching by the complainant or defendant, either directly or through clothing by the use of any body part or object, of the complainant's or defendant's intimate parts if that intentional

touching is either for the purpose of sexually degrading; or for the purpose of sexually humiliating the complainant or sexually arousing or gratifying the defendant or if the touching contains the elements of actual or attempted battery under s. 940.19 (1).

- (b) "Sexual intercourse" includes the meaning assigned under s 939.22 (36) as well as cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse between persons or any other intrusion, however slight, of any part of a person's body or of any object into the genital or anal opening either by the defendant or upon the defendant's instruction. The emission of semen is not required.
- (6) MARRIAGE NOT A BAR TO PROSECUTION. A defendant shall not be presumed to be incapable of violating this section because of marriage to the complainant.

History: 1975 c. 184, 421; 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 24, 25, 175, 221; 1981 c. 89, 308, 309, 310, 311

Legislative Council Note, 1981: Presently, [in sub (5) (a)] the definition of "sexual intercourse" in the sexual assault statute includes any intrusion of any part of a person's body or of any object into the genital or anal opening of another person. This proposal clarifies that the intrusion of the body part or object may be caused by the direct act of the offender (defendant) or may occur as a result of an act by the victim which is done in compliance with instructions of the offender (defendant) [Bill 630-S]

Failure to resist is not consent under (4). State v. Clark, 87 W (2d) 804, 275 NW (2d) 715 (1979).

Injury by conduct regardless of life is not a lesser-included crime of first-degree sexual assault. Hagenkord v. State, 94 W (2d) 250, 287 NW (2d) 834 (Ct. App. 1979)

Multiplicitous sexual assault charges discussed. State v. Eisch, 96 W (2d) 25, 291 NW (2d) 800 (1980).

Trial court did not err in denying accused's motions to compel psychiatric examination of victim and to discover victim's past addresses. State v. Lederer, 99 W (2d) 430, 299 NW (2d) 457 (Ct. App. 1980).

See note to art. I, sec. 5, citing State v. Baldwin, 101 W (2d) 441, 304 NW (2d) 742 (1981).

Conviction on 2 counts of rape, where offenses occurred 25 minutes apart in same location, did not violate double jeopardy provisions of U.S. Constitution. Harrell v. Israel, 478 F Supp. 752 (1979).

Conviction for attempted first degree sexual assault based on circumstantial evidence did not deny due process Upshaw v. Powell, 478 F Supp. 1264 (1979).

940.23 Injury by conduct regardless of life. Whoever causes great bodily harm to another human being by conduct imminently dangerous to another and evincing a deprayed mind, regardless of human life, is guilty of a Class C felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

The crime of injury by conduct regardless of life can be a lesser included offense under an information charging first degree murder. Martin v. State, 57 W (2d) 499, 204 NW (2d) 499.

See note to 940.21, citing Kirby v State, 86 W (2d) 292, 272 NW (2d) 113 (Ct. App. 1978).

See note to 904 04, citing Hammen v. State, 87 W (2d) 791, 275 NW (2d) 709 (1979).

See note to 940 225, citing Hagenkord v. State, 94 W (2d) 250, 287 NW (2d) 834 (Ct. App. 1979)

Policy exclusion based on intentional or expected acts was not, as a matter of law, triggered by conviction under this section. Poston v U.S. Fidelity & Guarantee Co. 107 W (2d) 215, 320 NW (2d) 9 (Ct. App. 1982).

#### 940.24 Injury by negligent use of weapon.

- (1) Whoever causes bodily harm to another by a high degree of negligence in the operation or handling of a firearm, airgun, knife or bow and arrow, is guilty of a Class E felony
- (2) A high degree of negligence is conduct which demonstrates ordinary negligence to a high degree, consisting of an act which the person should realize creates a situation of unreasonable risk and high probability of death or great bodily harm to another.

History: 1977 c. 173

- 940.25 Injury by intoxicated use of a vehicle. (1) Any person who does either of the following under par. (a) or (b) is guilty of a Class E felony:
- (a) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the operation of a vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant or a controlled substance or a combination of an intoxicant and a controlled substance.
- (b) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the operation of a vehicle while the person has a blood alcohol concentration of 0.1% or more by weight of alcohol in that person's blood or 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of that person's breath.
- (c) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon an information based upon a violation of par (a) or (b) or both for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b) in the information, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both pars. (a) and (b) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343.305. Paragraphs (a) and (b) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require.
- (2) The actor has a defense if it appears by a preponderance of the evidence that the great bodily harm would have occurred even if the actor had not been under the influence of an intoxicant or a controlled substance or a combination thereof or did not have a blood alcohol concentration described under sub. (1) (b).
- (3) An officer who makes an arrest for a violation of this section shall make the report required under s. 346.635.

History: 1977 c. 193, 272; 1981 c. 20, 184.

NOTE: For legislative intent see chapter 20, laws of 1981, section 2051 (13).

940.28 Abandonment of young child. Whoever, with intent to abandon the child, leaves any child under the age of 6 years in a

place where the child may suffer because of neglect is guilty of a Class D felony.

History: 1977 c. 173

# 940.29 Abuse of residents of facilities. Any person in charge of or employed in any of

the following facilities who abuses, neglects or ill-treats any person confined in or a resident of any such facility or who knowingly permits another person to do so is guilty of a Class E felony:

- (1) A penal or correctional institution or other place of confinement; or
  - (2) A home for the aged; or
  - (3) A hospital for the mentally ill; or
- (4) A school or institution for the mentally deficient; or
  - (5) A state school for the blind or deaf; or
- (6) An institution operated by a licensed child welfare agency or by a public agency for the care of neglected, dependent, or delinquent children; or
- (7) A nursing home as defined in s. 50.01
- (8) A community-based residential facility as defined in s 50.01 (1).

History: 1975 c. 119; 1975 c. 413 s. 18; 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 124; 1981 c. 20

**940.30** False imprisonment. Whoever intentionally confines or restrains another without the person's consent and with knowledge that he or she has no lawful authority to do so is guilty of a Class E felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

False imprisonment is not a lesser included offense of the crime of kidnapping. Geitner v. State, 59 W (2d) 128, 207 NW (2d) 837.

940.305 Taking hostages. Whoever by force or threat of imminent force seizes, confines or restrains a person without the person's consent and with the intent to use the person as a hostage in order to influence a person to perform or not to perform some action demanded by the actor is guilty of a Class A felony; but if each person who is held as a hostage is released without bodily harm prior to the time of the defendant's arrest, the defendant is guilty of a Class B felony.

History: 1979 c. 118.

**940.31** Kidnapping. (1) Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class B felony:

(a) By force or threat of imminent force carries another from one place to another without his consent and with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will; or

- (b) By force or threat of imminent force seizes or confines another without his consent and with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will; or
- (c) By deceit induces another to go from one place to another with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will.
- (2) Whoever violates sub. (1) with intent to cause another to transfer property in order to obtain the release of the victim is guilty of a Class A felony; but if the victim is released without permanent physical injury prior to the time the first witness is sworn at the trial the defendant is guilty of a Class B felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

Conviction under (1) (c) does not require proof of express or implied misrepresentations. State v. Dalton, 98 W (2d) 725, 298 NW (2d) 398 (Ct. App. 1980)

**940.32** Abduction. Whoever, for any unlawful or immoral purpose, does any of the following is guilty of a Class C felony:

- (1) By force or threat of imminent force, takes any child under 18 years of age from his home or the custody of his parent or guardian; or
- (2) Entices any child under 18 years of age from his home or the custody of his parent or guardian; or
- (3) By force or threat of imminent force, detains any child under 18 years of age who is away from his home or the custody of his parent or guardian.

History: 1977 c. 173.

Cross Reference: See also 946 715 regarding interference by parent with parental rights of other parent.

940.33 Violation of certain restraining orders or injunctions. Whoever knowingly violates an order or injunction issued under s. 813.025 (2) is guilty of a Class C misdemeanor. History: 1979 c. 111.

# 940.41 Definitions. In ss. 940.42 to 940.49:

- (1) "Malice" or "maliciously" means an intent to vex, annoy or injure in any way another person or to thwart or interfere in any manner with the orderly administration of justice.
- (2) "Victim" means any natural person against whom any crime as defined in s. 939.12 or under the laws of the United States is being or has been perpetrated or attempted in this state.
- (3) "Witness" means any natural person who has been or is expected to be summoned to testify; who by reason of having relevant information is subject to call or likely to be called as a witness, whether or not any action or proceeding has as yet been commenced; whose declaration

under oath is received as evidence for any purpose; who has reported any crime to any peace officer or prosecutor; or who has been served with a subpoena issued under s. 885.01 or under the authority of any court of this state or of the United States.

History: 1981 c. 118.

940.42 Intimidation of witnesses; misdemeanor. Except as provided in s. 940.43, whoever knowingly and maliciously prevents or dissuades, or who attempts to so prevent or dissuade any witness from attending or giving testimony at any trial, proceeding or inquiry authorized by law, is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

History: 1981 c. 118.

- 940.43 Intimidation of witnesses; felony. Whoever violates s. 940.42 under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class D felony:
- (1) Where the act is accompanied by force or violence or attempted force or violence, upon the witness, or the spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandchild of the witness or any person sharing a common domicile with the witness.
- (2) Where the act is accompanied by injury or damage to the real or personal property of any person covered under sub. (1)
- (3) Where the act is accompanied by any express or implied threat of force, violence, injury or damage described in sub. (1) or (2).
- (4) Where the act is in furtherance of any conspiracy.
- (5) Where the act is committed by any person who has suffered any prior conviction for any violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45, s. 943.30, 1979 stats, or any federal statute or statute of any other state which, if the act prosecuted was committed in this state, would be a violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (6) Where the act is committed by any person for monetary gain or for any other consideration acting on the request of any other person. All parties to the transactions are guilty under this section.

History: 1981 c. 118.

- 940.44 Intimidation of victims; misdemeanor. Except as provided in s. 940.45, whoever knowingly and maliciously prevents or dissuades, or who attempts to so prevent or dissuade, another person who has been the victim of any crime or who is acting on behalf of the victim from doing any of the following is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor:
- (1) Making any report of the victimization to any peace officer or state, local or federal law

- enforcement or prosecuting agency, or to any judge
- (2) Causing a complaint, indictment or information to be sought and prosecuted and assisting in the prosecution thereof.
- (3) Arresting or causing or seeking the arrest of any person in connection with the victimization.

History: 1981 c. 118.

- 940.45 Intimidation of victims; felony. Whoever violates s. 940.44 under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class D felony:
- (1) Where the act is accompanied by force or violence or attempted force or violence, upon the victim, or the spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandchild of the victim or any person sharing a common domicile with the victim.
- (2) Where the act is accompanied by injury or damage to the real or personal property of any person covered under sub. (1).
- (3) Where the act is accompanied by any express or implied threat of force, violence, injury or damage described in sub. (1) or (2).
- (4) Where the act is in furtherance of any conspiracy.
- (5) Where the act is committed by any person who has suffered any prior conviction for any violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45, s. 943.30, 1979 stats., or any federal statute or statute of any other state which, if the act prosecuted was committed in this state, would be a violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (6) Where the act is committed by any person for monetary gain or for any other consideration acting on the request of any other person. All parties to the transactions are guilty under this section.

History: 1981 c. 118.

940.46 Attempt prosecuted as completed act. Whoever attempts the commission of any act prohibited under ss. 940.42 to 940.45 is guilty of the offense attempted without regard to the success or failure of the attempt. The fact that no person was injured physically or in fact intimidated is not a defense against any prosecution under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.

History: 1981 c. 118.

940.47 Court orders. Any court with jurisdiction over any criminal matter, upon substantial evidence, which may include hearsay or the declaration of the prosecutor, that knowing and malicious prevention or dissuasion of any person who is a victim or who is a witness has occurred or is reasonably likely to occur, may issue orders including but not limited to any of the following:

- (1) An order that a defendant not violate ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (2) An order that a person before the court other than a defendant, including, but not limited to, a subpoenaed witness or other person entering the courtroom of the court, not violate ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (3) An order that any person described in sub. (1) or (2) maintain a prescribed geographic distance from any specified witness or victim.
- (4) An order that any person described in sub. (1) or (2) have no communication with any specified witness or any victim, except through an attorney under such reasonable restrictions as the court may impose

History: 1981 c. 118

- **940.48** Violation of court orders. Whoever violates an order issued under s. 940.47 may be punished as follows:
- (1) If applicable, the person may be prosecuted under ss. 940.42 to 940.45
- (2) As a contempt of court under ch. 785. A finding of contempt is not a bar to prosecution under ss. 940.42 to 940.45, but:
- (a) Any person who commits a contempt of court is entitled to credit for any punishment imposed therefor against any sentence imposed on conviction under ss. 940.42 to 940.45; and

- (b) Any conviction or acquittal for any substantive offense under ss. 940.42 to 940.45 is a bar to subsequent punishment for contempt arising out of the same act.
- (3) By the revocation of any form of pretrial release or forfeiture of bail and the issuance of a bench warrant for the defendant's arrest or remanding the defendant to custody. After hearing and on substantial evidence, the revocation may be made whether the violation of order complained of has been committed by the defendant personally or was caused or encouraged to have been committed by the defendant.

History: 1981 c. 118.

940.49 Pretrial release. Any pretrial release of any defendant whether on bail or under any other form of recognizance shall be deemed to include a condition that the defendant neither do, nor cause to be done, nor permit to be done on his or her behalf, any act proscribed by ss. 940.42 to 940.45 and any wilful violation of the condition is subject to punishment as prescribed in s. 940.48 (3) whether or not the defendant was the subject of an order under s. 940.47.

History: 1981 c. 118.