## TITLE XXII.

# Fraudulent Conveyances and Contracts,

## CHAPTER 240.

### FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES AND CONTRACTS RELATING TO REAL ESTATE.

240.01	Conveyances, when void,	240.06	Conveyance of land, etc., to be in
240.02	Conveyances not fraudulent, when.		writing,
240.03	Conveyances with power of revocation, void.	$240.07 \\ 240.08$	Limitation of section 240.06, Contract for lease or sale to be in writing.
	Such conveyances valid, when.	240.09	Specific performance.  Real estate agency contracts

- 240.01 Conveyances, when void. Every conveyance of any estate or interest in land, or the rents and profits of lands and every charge upon lands or upon the rents and profits thereof, made or created with the intent to defraud prior or subsequent purchasers for a valuable consideration of the same lands, rents or profits, as against such purchasers, shall be void.
- 240.02 Conveyances not fraudulent, when. No such conveyance or charge shall be deemed fraudulent in favor of a subsequent purchaser who shall have actual or legal notice thereof at the time of his purchase, unless it shall appear that the grantee in such conveyance or person to be benefited by such charge was privy to the fraud intended.
- 240.03 Conveyances with power of revocation, void. Every conveyance or charge of or upon any estate or interest in lands containing any provision for the revocation, determination or alteration of such estate or interest or any part thereof, at the will of the grantor, shall be void as against subsequent purchasers from such grantor for a valuable consideration of any estate or interest so liable to be revoked or determined, although the same be not expressly revoked, determined or altered by such grantor by virtue of the power reserved or expressed in such prior conveyance or charge.
- 240.04 Such conveyances valid, when. Where a power to revoke a conveyance of any lands or the rents and profits thereof and to reconvey the same shall be given to any person other than the grantor in such conveyance, and such person shall thereafter convey the same lands, rents or profits to a purchaser for a valuable consideration, such subsequent conveyance shall be valid in the same manner and to the same extent as if the power of revocation were recited therein and the intent to revoke the former conveyance expressly de-
- 240.05 Same subject. If a conveyance to a purchaser, under either section 240.03 or 240.04, shall be made before the person making the same shall be entitled to execute his power of revocation it shall nevertheless be valid from the time the power of revocation shall actually vest in such person in the same manner and to the same extent as if then made.
- 240.06 Conveyance of land, etc., to be in writing. No estate or interest in lands, other than leases for a term not exceeding one year, nor any trust or power over or concerning lands or in any manner relating thereto shall be created, granted, assigned, surrendered or declared unless by act or operation of law or by deed or conveyance in writing, subscribed by the party creating, granting, assigning, surrendering or declaring the same or by his lawful agent thereunto authorized by writing.

An instruction which assumed that a 3year contract, constituting either a lease of
land or an employment agreement, need not
be in writing was error. An unwritten
3-year lease of land is invalid. Part performance does not make an oral lease within the statute of frauds fully enforceable.
If the contract involved in the instant ejectment action should be found by a jury to be
an oral 3-year, and hence invalid, lease of
the plaintiffs' farm, rather than a valid oral
contract for hire for an indefinite term, a de-

husband under an option contract for the purchase of real estate, did not authorize the making of material alterations by the husband and the optionor, and the wife did not making of material alterations by the husband and the optionor, and the wife did not ratify the act of the husband by any writing nor by any conduct which might work an estoppel against her, and never did anything inconsistent with her asserted claim under the original option, she did not surrender her interest. To constitute a surrender of an interest, the act must be inconsistent with the continuance of the former estate or interest, and must be actually accepted and acted on by the other party. Formality of writing is necessary to ratify agent's act. Wyman v. Utech, 256 W 234, 40 NW (2d) 378.

If an agent fraudulently purchases with his own money property which he is orally employed to purchase for his principal, a constructive trust is created by operation of law which need not be in writing. Shevel v. Warter, 256 W 503, 41 NW (2d) 603.

The doctrine of part performance can be invoked only where fraud would result from not enforcing the oral agreement. Under some circumstances the party pleading the statute of frauds is estopped to assert it as a defense, as where he has induced the other party to change his position, because of the oral agreement, to such an extent that he has no adequate remedy at law and could

party to change his position, because of the oral agreement, to such an extent that he has no adequate remedy at law and could not be restored to the situation in which he was when the agreement was made. Beranek v. Gohr, 260 W 282, 50 NW (2d) 459.

Where a mortgaged did not materially change his position, because of an oral agreement wherehy the mortgagor was to convey the mortgaged farm to the mortgagee in consideration of a satisfaction of the mortgage, but merely took possession of the farm and rented it to a tenant, and continued to hold the mortgage and appeared to have an adequate remedy by foreclosing the same, there was not a sufficient part performance of the oral contract to overcome the defense of the statute of frauds against the enforcement thereof. Beranek v. Gohr, 260 W 282, 50 NW (2d) 459.

Where A, a party to a bilateral written agreement required by statute to be in writing, has knowledge that after A had signed the agreement B, the other party to writing, has knowledge that after A had signed the agreement B, the other party to the agreement, had made material changes therein before B also signed it, and with such full knowledge A thereafter accepts any benefits from B as performance under the altered agreement, A is thereby estopped from raising the defense of the statute of frauds so as to claim that such alterations invalidated the agreement. Expenditures of approximately \$16,000, almost the equivalent of 2 years' rent, made by a lessee in moving its machinery and stock to the leased premises and installing the same therein in reliance on the provisions of a 3-year written lease, would be sufficient to invoke the doctrine of part performance and bar the lessors from raising the question of violation of the statute of frauds and claiming that the lessee was in possession under a mere month-to-month tenancy so as to be subject to ejectment on one month's notice. Pick Foundry, Inc. v. General Door Mfg. Co. 262 W 311, 55 NW (2d) 407.

It is not essential that the acts relied on as constituting part performance be rendered pursuant to the terms of the parol agreement in order to be effective to deprive the opposite party of the benefit of the statute of frauds, the doctrine of part performance applying with equal force to acts done on the faith of the parol agreement and to those in the performance of its terms and conditions. Pick Foundry, Inc. v. General Door Mfg. Co. 262 W 311, 55 NW (2d) 407.

The underlying principle of a construc-

407.
The underlying principle of a constructive trust is the equitable prevention of unjust enrichment which arises from fraud or the abuse of a confidential relationship. This section does not prevent a trust from arising by implication or operation of law, especially in view of 240.07. Masino v. Sechrest, 268 W 101, 66 NW (2d) 740.

240.07 Limitation of section 240.06. Section 240.06 shall not be construed to affect in any manner the power of a testator in the disposition of his real estate by a last will and testament nor to prevent any trust from arising or being extinguished by implication or operation of law.

240.08 Contract for lease or sale to be in writing. Every contract for the leasing for a longer period than one year or for the sale of any lands or any interest in lands shall be void unless the contract or some note or memorandum thereof, expressing the consideration, be in writing and be subscribed by the party by whom the lease or sale is to be made or by his lawfully authorized agent.

Court finds consideration expressed in contract for sale of land. Taylor v. Bricker, 262 W 377, 55 NW (2d) 404.

Although estates and interests in lands cannot be created by an agent unless he is authorized in writing, an agent may bind his principal by a contract for the sale of land satisfying the statute of frauds, although his own authority as agent may have been by parol. Where the owner stopped the auction sale and discharged the auctioner's authority as agent may have been by proposed the statute of the auctioner's authority as agent may have been by parol. Where the owner stopped the auction sale and discharged the auctioner's authority as agent may have been by proposed the auctioner's authority as agent may have been by parol. Where the owner stopped the auction sale and discharged the auctioner's authority as agent may have been by parol. Where the owner stopped the contract for the sale of ally there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was a platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number, there was no land platted and recorded by such lot number ally lighter the auction sale and discharged the auctioner's auctionery the revoking the auctioner's authority to give any memorandum, and the owner left the scene of the auction, the owner cannot complain that no memorandum of sale sufficient under the statute of frauds was given to the plaintiff, who was the high bidder when the sale was stopped.

Zuhak v. Rose, 264 W 286, 58 NW (2d) 693.

A contract for the sale of real estate which described the property as lot "13A," etc., was sufficient in this respect to satisfy

240.09 Specific performance. Nothing in this chapter contained shall be construed to abridge the powers of courts to compel the specific performance of agreements in case of part performance of such agreements.

240.10 Real estate agency contracts. Every contract to pay a commission to a real estate agent or broker or to any other person for selling or buying real estate or negotiating lease therefor for a term or terms exceeding a period of three years shall be void unless such contract or note or memorandum thereof describing such real estate, expressing the price for which the same may be sold or purchased, or terms of rental, the commission

### FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES AND REALTY CONTRACTS 240.10

to be paid and the period during which the agent or broker shall procure a buyer or seller or tenant, be in writing and be subscribed by the person agreeing to pay such commission.

or tenant, be in writing and be subscribed by the person agreeing to pay such commission.

Under a listing contract providing that the owners of the listed real estate would pay a commission to the broker if the property was sold during the life of the contract, or if it was sold within 6 months after the termination thereof to anyone with whom the broker had negotiated during the life of the contract, "and whose name you have filed with me in writing" prior to the termination of the contract, the act of the broker in supplying the owners with a written offer to purchase, bearing the name of the offeror, and leaving it with the owners overnight, sufficiently complied with the requirement of the listing contract as to "filing" so as to render the owners, after refusing the first offer, sold the property through another to the same offeror within 6 months after the termination of the listing contract L. W. Smith & Co. v. Romadka, 261 W 374, 52 NW (2d) 797.

For meaning of "negotiated" in contract, see Munson v. Furrer, 261 W 634, 53 NW (2d) 697.

A real-estate broker's listing contract on A real-estate broker's listing contract on the ground that he was the Eagle Oil Co. 266 W 215, 63 NW (2d) 47.

3063