

# State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



# 2013 ASSEMBLY BILL 540

December 6, 2013 – Introduced by Representatives Kleefisch, Born, Kestell and Pridemore. Referred to Committee on Family Law.

AN ACT to renumber 767.531 (1), 767.531 (2) and 767.531 (3); to renumber and amend 767.511 (1j) and 767.531 (intro.) (except 767.531 (title)); to amend 49.22 (9), 767.225 (1n) (b) 1., 767.41 (4) (a) 2., 767.511 (1j) (title), 767.511 (1m) (intro.), 767.511 (1n), 767.513 (2), 767.55 (2) (c), 767.553 (1) (a), 767.553 (1) (b), 767.59 (1c) (a) (intro.), 767.59 (1c) (a) 1., 767.59 (1f) (b) (intro.), 767.59 (1f) (c) (intro.), 767.59 (2) (a), 767.59 (2) (b) and 767.85 (2); and to create 767.41 (5) (am) 5m., 767.511 (1j) (b), 767.511 (1j) (c), 767.511 (1j) (d), 767.511 (1r), 767.59 (1c) (c), 767.59 (1f) (bm) and 767.59 (2m) of the statutes; relating to: a presumption that equalizing physical placement to the highest degree is in the child's best interest and child support changes, including prohibiting basing support on income over \$150,000 per year, deducting the amount of health insurance premiums from the support amount, prohibiting increasing support above the standard amount, prohibiting orders that set

1

2

minimum future support amounts, and requiring a support revision if there has been a substantial change in circumstances.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

# Equal placement presumption

Under current law, in an action affecting the family, such as a divorce or a paternity action, a court must determine the legal custody of a minor child based on the best interest of the child. In current law, there is a presumption that joint legal custody is in the child's best interest. The court also must allocate periods of physical placement between the parties. The court is required to set a placement schedule that allows the child to have regularly occurring, meaningful periods of physical placement with each parent and that maximizes the amount of time the child may spend with each parent, taking into consideration geographic separation and accommodations for different households. The court may deny periods of physical placement with a parent only if the court finds that the physical placement would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health. When determining custody and periods of physical placement, the court is required, under current law, to consider a number of factors (custody and placement factors), such as the wishes of the child and of the parties, the interaction and interrelationship of the child with his or her parents, the amount and quality of time that each party has spent with the child in the past, the child's adjustment to the home, school, and community, and the cooperation and communication between the parties.

This bill provides that, when the court allocates periods of physical placement, instead of maximizing the amount of time a child may spend with each parent, taking into consideration geographic separation and accommodations for different households, the court must presume that a placement schedule that equalizes to the highest degree the amount of time the child may spend with each parent is in the child's best interest. This presumption may be rebutted if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence, after considering the custody and placement factors, that equalizing physical placement would not be in the child's best interest. The bill also makes the geographic separation of the parties an additional custody and placement factor for the court to consider in every case when determining custody and periods of physical placement.

# Child support

Under current law, in divorces, paternity actions, and other actions affecting the family in which there are minor children the court is required to order either or both parents to pay an amount that is reasonable or necessary to fulfill a duty to support a child. The court must generally determine child support payments by using the percentage standard set out in the Wisconsin Administrative Code (code) and established by the Department of Children and Families (DCF). The percentage standard is a percentage of the child support payer's monthly income available for support. The percentage that the child support payer must pay varies with the number of children to be supported. Under the percentage standard, a payer must

pay 17 percent of his or her monthly income available for support for one child, 25 percent for two children, 29 percent for three children, 31 percent for four children, and 34 percent for five or more children. Generally, the parent who has physical placement with a child for less time is ordered to pay child support to the other parent on the basis of the percentage standard.

In addition to the percentage standard, the code provides special methods that the court may, but is not required to, use for calculating child support in special situations, including for high-income payers. For high-income payers, child support may be determined by multiplying annual income available for support that is less than \$84,000 by the usual percentages of the percentage standard, income between \$84,000 and \$150,000 by a different schedule of percentages that are about 80 percent of the usual percentages, and income above \$150,000 by another schedule of percentages that are about 60 percent of the usual percentages. For example, for a payer with annual income available for support above \$150,000, child support for one child may be determined by multiplying the payer's monthly income under \$7,000 by 17 percent, multiplying the additional monthly income between \$7,000 and \$12,500 by 14 percent, multiplying the additional monthly income over \$12,500 by 10 percent, and adding together the amounts obtained.

The code provides that the court must determine a parent's monthly income that is available for child support by dividing by 12 the sum of the parent's gross annual income, or gross annual income modified for business expenses, the parent's annual imputed income based on earning capacity, and the parent's annual income imputed from assets. Under the code, the court may impute income to a payer if the court determines that the payer's income is less than his or her earning capacity or if the payer has unproductive assets or has diverted income into assets to avoid paying child support. For imputing income based on earning capacity, the court assesses the parent's education, training, previous work experience and income level, and the availability of work in or near the parent's community. Income imputation for unproductive assets involves multiplying the net value of the parent's assets by the current six-month treasury bill rate or another reasonable rate.

Under the statutes, a court is authorized, upon a party's request, to modify the amount of child support that would be ordered by using the percentage standard if the court finds that use of the percentage standard is unfair to the child or either of the parties. In making this finding, the court must consider a number of factors, such as the earning capacity of each parent, the desirability that the custodian remain in the home as a full-time parent, and extraordinary travel expenses incurred in exercising physical placement rights.

This bill makes a few modifications to the way in which child support is determined. The bill provides that child support may be based only on a parent's actual income or imputed income based on earning capacity, as determined by the court. The bill provides that child support may not be based on any of a parent's assets and that it may not be based on any portion of a parent's annual gross income that exceeds \$150,000, annually adjusted in accordance with the consumer price index. The bill conforms the statutory provision that authorizes DCF to promulgate rules establishing the percentage standard with these changes.

Under current law, in addition to ordering child support for a child, the court is required to assign responsibility for payment of the child's health care expenses and may require a parent to initiate or continue health insurance coverage for the child. Under the bill, after determining a parent's child support payments, the court must deduct from that amount any amount the parent currently pays, or is ordered to pay, for health insurance premiums for the child for whom support is determined.

Under the bill, a court still may, upon a party's request, modify the amount of child support determined if the court finds that the amount is unfair to the child or either of the parties after considering the factors under current law. However, the court may modify the amount of child support it has determined in the manner provided in the statutes only by reducing that amount. The requirement that a court may only reduce the amount of support that it has determined in the manner provided in the statutes also applies to temporary support orders and to revisions of support orders.

Under current law, the court may revise the amount of child support under an existing order only if the court finds that there has been a substantial change in circumstances. The bill does not change this requirement; however, the bill also provides that, if the court does find that there has been a substantial change in circumstances, the court must revise the amount of child support under an existing order. In addition, the bill provides that, in an action to revise the amount of child or family support under an existing order, if the amount under the existing order exceeds by 10 percent or more the amount that would have been ordered using the new requirements, the court must find a substantial change in circumstances that requires the court to revise the existing child support order.

The bill makes a few other changes relating to support. Under current law, family support is an order that combines child support and maintenance (formerly called alimony) into a single support amount. The bill provides that a family support order may not include a child support amount that exceeds the amount of child support that would be determined otherwise in the manner provided in the statutes. The bill prohibits a court from including a provision in a support order that sets a minimum amount of child support that may be ordered at a future time if the support order is revised. The bill also provides that, in an action to revise an order with respect to the amount of child support, regardless of when the order was granted, if it includes a provision that sets a minimum amount of support that may be ordered at a future time, that provision is void and may not be given effect.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

49.22 (9) The department shall promulgate rules that provide a standard for courts to use in determining a child support obligation based upon a percentage of the gross income and assets of either or both parents. The rules shall provide for consideration of the income of each parent and the amount of physical placement with each parent in determining a child support obligation in cases in which a child has substantial periods of physical placement with each parent. The rules may not base any amount of child support on any portion of a parent's gross income that exceeds \$150,000 per year, which gross income amount shall be adjusted annually, beginning in 2015, to reflect changes in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor.

**Section 2.** 767.225 (1n) (b) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

767.225 (1n) (b) 1. If the court makes a temporary child support order that deviates from the amount of support that would be required by using the percentage standard established by the department under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j), the court shall comply with the requirements of s. 767.511 (1n). The court may make a temporary child support order that deviates from the amount that would be required under s. 767.511 (1j) by reducing, but not by increasing, that amount.

**Section 3.** 767.41 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

767.41 (4) (a) 2. In determining the allocation of periods of physical placement, the court shall consider each case on the basis of the factors in sub. (5) (am), subject to sub. (5) (bm). The court shall set presume that a placement schedule that allows the child to have regularly occurring, meaningful periods of physical placement with each parent and that maximizes equalizes to the highest degree the amount of time the child may spend with each parent, taking into account geographic separation and accommodations for different households is in the best interest of the child. The

presumption under this subdivision is rebutted if the court finds by clear and					
convincing evidence, after considering all of the factors in sub. (5) (am), subject to					
sub. (5) (bm), that equalizing physical placement to the highest degree would not be					
in the child's best interest.					
<b>Section 4.</b> 767.41 (5) (am) 5m. of the statutes is created to read:					
767.41 (5) (am) 5m. The geographic separation of the parties.					
<b>SECTION 5.</b> 767.511 (1j) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:					
767.511 (1j) (title) Percentage Calculation; percentage standard generally					
REQUIRED.					
<b>Section 6.</b> 767.511 (1j) of the statutes is renumbered 767.511 (1j) (intro.) and					
amended to read:					
767.511 (1j) (intro.) Except as provided in sub. (1m), the court shall determine					
child support payments by using in the following manner:					
(a) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the court shall use the					
percentage standard established by the department under s. $49.22\ (9)$ .					
<b>SECTION 7.</b> 767.511 (1j) (b) of the statutes is created to read:					
767.511 (1j) (b) The court may not order any amount of child support based on					
any portion of a parent's gross income that exceeds \$150,000 per year. This income					
amount shall be adjusted annually, beginning in 2015, to reflect changes in the					
consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, as determined by					
the U.S. department of labor.					
Section 8. 767.511 (1j) (c) of the statutes is created to read:					
767.511 (1j) (c) The court shall base child support payments only on a parent's					
actual income or on imputed income based on earning capacity, as determined by the					

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

court, and may not order any amount of child support based on the value of any of a parent's assets.

**SECTION 9.** 767.511 (1j) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

767.511 (1j) (d) When the court calculates the amount of a parent's child support payments, unless the parties agree otherwise in writing or orally in open court, the court shall reduce the amount determined under pars. (a) to (c) by the amount per month that the parent currently pays or is ordered to pay for health insurance premiums attributable to the child for whom the support is being determined.

**SECTION 10.** 767.511 (1m) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.511 (1m) DEVIATION FROM STANDARD; FACTORS. (intro.) Upon request by a party, the court may modify, by reducing but not by increasing, the amount of child support payments determined under sub. (1j) if, after considering the following factors, the court finds by the greater weight of the credible evidence that use of the percentage standard the amount of child support determined under sub. (1j) is unfair to the child or to any of the parties:

**SECTION 11.** 767.511 (1n) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.511 (1n) DEVIATION FROM STANDARD; RECORD. If the court finds under sub. (1m) that use of the percentage standard the amount of child support determined under sub. (1j) is unfair to the child or the requesting party, the court shall state in writing or on the record the amount of support that would be required by using the percentage standard under sub. (1j), the amount by which the court's order deviates is reduced from that amount, its reasons for finding that use of the percentage standard the amount of child support determined under sub. (1j) is unfair to the child

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or the party, its reasons for the amount of the modification reduction, and the basis for the modification reduction.

**SECTION 12.** 767.511 (1r) of the statutes is created to read:

767.511 (1r) MINIMUM REVISION AMOUNTS PROHIBITED. The court may not grant a child support order that sets a minimum amount of support that may be ordered in the future in the event that the child support order is revised under s. 767.59 or a substantially similar law of another state.

**SECTION 13.** 767.513 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.513 (2) RESPONSIBILITY AND PAYMENT. In addition to ordering child support for a child under s. 767.511 (1), and subject to s. 767.511 (1j) (d), the court shall specifically assign responsibility for and direct the manner of payment of the child's health care expenses. In assigning responsibility for a child's health care expenses, the court shall consider whether a child is covered under a parent's health insurance policy or plan at the time the court approves a stipulation for child support under s. 767.34, enters a judgment of annulment, divorce, or legal separation, or enters an order or a judgment in a paternity action or in an action under s. 767.001 (1) (f) or (i), 767.501, or 767.805 (3), the availability of health insurance to each parent through an employer or other organization, the extent of coverage available to a child, and the costs to the parent for the coverage of the child. A parent may be required to initiate or continue health care insurance coverage for a child under this section. If a parent is required to do so, he or she shall provide copies of necessary program or policy identification to the custodial parent and is liable for any health care costs for which he or she receives direct payment from an insurer. This section shall not be construed to limit the authority of the court to enter or modify support

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

orders containing provisions for payment of medical expenses, medical costs, or insurance premiums that are in addition to and not inconsistent with this section. **Section 14.** 767.531 (intro.) (except 767.531 (title)) of the statutes, as affected by 2013 Wisconsin Act 20, is renumbered 767.531 (1m) and amended to read: 767.531 (1m) The court may make a financial order designated "family support" as a substitute for child support orders under s. 767.511 and maintenance payment orders under s. 767.56. As part of a family support order, the court may not order a party to pay an amount of child support that exceeds the child support payments that the party would be required to pay under s. 767.511 (1j). (2m) Subject to s. 767.511 (6m), a party ordered to pay family support under this section shall pay simple interest at the rate of 1% per month on any amount in arrears that is equal to or greater than the amount of child support due in one month. Subject to s. 767.511 (6m), if the party no longer has a current obligation to pay child support, interest at the rate of 1% per month shall accrue on the total amount of child support in arrears, if any. Interest under this section is in lieu of interest computed under s. 807.01 (4), 814.04 (4), or 815.05 (8) and is paid to the department or its designee under s. 767.57. (3m) Except as provided in s. 767.57 (1m), the department or its designee shall apply all payments received for family support as follows: **Section 15.** 767.531 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 767.531 (3m) (a). **Section 16.** 767.531 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 767.531 (3m) (b). **Section 17.** 767.531 (3) of the statutes is renumbered 767.531 (3m) (c). **Section 18.** 767.55 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read: 767.55 (2) (c) If the court enters an order under par. (am), it shall order the

parent to pay child support equal to the amount determined by applying the

percentage standard established under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j) or equal to the amount of child support that the parent was ordered to pay in the most recent determination of support under this chapter. The child support obligation ordered under this paragraph continues until the parent makes timely payment in full for 3 consecutive months or until the person participates in the program under s. 49.36 for 16 weeks, whichever occurs first. The court shall provide in its order that the parent shall make child support payments calculated under s. 767.511 (1j) or (1m) after the obligation to make payments ordered under this paragraph ceases.

**Section 19.** 767.553 (1) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.553 (1) (a) An order for child or family support under this chapter may provide for an annual adjustment in the amount to be paid based on a change in the payer's income if the amount of child or family support is expressed in the order as a fixed sum and based on the percentage standard established by the department determined in the manner provided under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j). No adjustment may be made under this section unless the order provides for the adjustment.

**Section 20.** 767.553 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.553 (1) (b) An adjustment under this section may not be made more than once in a year and shall be determined on the basis of the percentage standard established by the department in the manner provided under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j).

**Section 21.** 767.59 (1c) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.59 (1c) (a) (intro.) On the petition, motion, or order to show cause of either of the parties, the department, a county department under s. 46.215, 46.22, or 46.23, or a county child support agency under s. 59.53 (5) if an assignment has been made under s. 48.57 (3m) (b) 2. or (3n) (b) 2., 48.645 (3), 49.19 (4) (h), or 49.45 (19) or if either

645 or ch.
following:
as to the
ation and
ay revise
port was
r through
r order as
t or order
change in
d:
gment or
stitutes a
to <del>justify</del>
th respect
, 938.183
e between
r and the

amount that the payer would have been required to pay based on the percentage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

standard established by the department under s. 49.22 (9) if the court did not use the percentage standard in determining the child support payments and did not provide the information required under s. 46.10 (14) (d), 49.345 (14) (d), or 301.12 (14) (d), or 767.511 (1n), whichever is appropriate.

**Section 26.** 767.59 (1f) (bm) of the statutes is created to read:

767.59 (1f) (bm) In an action under this section to revise a judgment or order with respect to an amount of child or family support ordered under this chapter, the court shall find a substantial change in circumstances sufficient to require revision of the judgment or order if the amount of child support ordered by the court to be paid by the payer exceeds the amount that the payer would have been required to pay under s. 767.511 (1j) (a) to (d), had the court determined child support payments in the manner provided under s. 767.511 (1j) (a) to (d), by 10 percent or more of the latter amount.

**Section 27.** 767.59 (1f) (c) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.59 (1f) (c) (intro.) In an action under this section to revise a judgment or order with respect to an amount of child support, any of the following may constitute a substantial change of in circumstances sufficient to justify require revision of the judgment or order:

**Section 28.** 767.59 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.59 (2) (a) Except as provided in par. (b) or (c), if the court revises a judgment or order with respect to child support payments, it shall do so by using the percentage standard established by the department in the manner provided under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j).

**Section 29.** 767.59 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

767.59 (2) (b) Upon request by a party, the court may modify, by reducing but not by increasing, the amount of revised child support payments determined under par. (a) if, after considering the factors listed in s. 767.511 (1m), the court finds, by the greater weight of the credible evidence, that the use of the percentage standard amount of child support determined in the manner provided under s. 767.511 (1j) is unfair to the child or to any of the parties.

**Section 30.** 767.59 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

767.59 (2m) MINIMUMS ARE VOID. In an action under this section to revise a judgment or order with respect to the amount of child support, any provision in the judgment or order that sets a minimum amount of child support that may be ordered in the future in the event that the child support order is revised under this section or a substantially similar law of another state is void and may not be given effect by the court, regardless of when the judgment or order was granted.

**Section 31.** 767.85 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.85 (2) Considerations. Before making any temporary order under sub. (1), the court shall consider those factors that the court is required to consider when granting a final judgment on the same subject matter. If the court makes a temporary child support order that deviates from the amount of support that would be required by using the percentage standard established by the department under s. 49.22 (9) 767.511 (1j), the court shall comply with the requirements of s. 767.511 (1n). The court may make a temporary child support order that deviates from the amount that would be required under s. 767.511 (1j) by reducing, but not by increasing, that amount.

SECTION 32. Initial applicability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

<b>~</b>	act	first	annlies	

- (1) GENERAL. Except as provided in subsections (2) and (3), this act first applies to child or family support orders, including temporary orders and orders revising judgments or orders previously granted, that are granted on the effective date of this subsection.
- (2) Annual adjustments in Child support. The treatment of section 767.553 (1)(b) of the statutes first applies to adjustments made on the effective date of this subsection.
- (3) Equal placement presumption. The treatment of section 767.41 (4) (a) 2. and (5) (am) 5m. of the statutes first applies to actions or proceedings, including actions or proceedings to modify a judgment or order previously granted, that are commenced on the effective date of this subsection.

12 (END)