13

14

benefit; and

2007 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 52

May 9, 2007 - Introduced by Representatives Nelson, Tauchen, Hraychuck, Mursau, Jorgensen, Murtha, Soletski, Davis, Garthwaite, Hines, Smith, Krusick, Travis, Hixson, Van Roy, Nerison, Molepske, Vruwink, Moulton, SHERIDAN, HINTZ, VAN AKKEREN, TOLES, MASON, A. OTT, JESKEWITZ, KAUFERT, CULLEN, RICHARDS, HUBLER, KREUSER, SHERMAN, SINICKI, HILGENBERG, Staskunas, Grigsby, Schneider, Berceau, Gronemus, Hebl, Steinbrink, Benedict, Seidel, Shilling, Fields, Turner, Young, Zepnick, Boyle, Pocan, WASSERMAN, POPE-ROBERTS, PARISI, BLACK, KESSLER, COLON and M. WILLIAMS, cosponsored by Senators Plale, Kreitlow, Wirch, Sullivan, Taylor, Coggs, HANSEN, LEHMAN, RISSER, ROESSLER, LASSA, CARPENTER and LEIBHAM. Referred to Committee on Rules.

Relating to: urging U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Secretary 1 2 Michael Leavitt to renew SeniorCare. 3 Whereas, Wisconsin's congressional delegation has made great strides to save 4 SeniorCare, Wisconsin's highly successful prescription drug program for seniors, and should be duly thanked for their efforts to save the program; and 5 6 Whereas, SeniorCare is set to expire on June 30, 2007, unless the federal 7 government grants the state's request for a three-year waiver to continue the 8 program; and 9 Whereas, many of SeniorCare's current 105,000 beneficiaries, and an untold 10 number of future beneficiaries, may face undue hardship if the program were to end; 11 and 12 Whereas, in most cases, SeniorCare provides better coverage, is less expensive, and is much easier to apply for than the federal Medicare Part D prescription drug

1	Whereas, unlike Medicare Part D, SeniorCare negotiates lower prices with
2	drug companies and actually costs taxpayers much less than the federal program;
3	and
4	Whereas, the federal government spends on average about \$617 per
5	SeniorCare participant, almost half as much as the \$1,174 it spends per Medicare
6	Part D participant; and
7	Whereas, SeniorCare has already saved Wisconsin seniors and taxpayers
8	hundreds of millions of dollars since its inception in 2002, reducing prescription drug
9	costs for more than 100,000 seniors by almost \$200 million in state fiscal year 2006
10	alone; and
11	Whereas, according to an analysis by AARP Wisconsin, more than 94 percent
12	of seniors are better off on SeniorCare than they would be under Medicare Part D
13	because the copayments are lower and the coverage is more comprehensive; and
14	Whereas, Wisconsin Governor Jim Doyle submitted a request to the U.S.
15	Department of Health and Human Services on October 23, 2006, to extend the state's
16	SeniorCare Pharmacy Plus Waiver for three years to June 30, 2010; now, therefore,
17	be it
18	Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That the legislature of
19	the state of Wisconsin urges U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
20	Secretary Michael Leavitt to extend the federal waiver that funds Wisconsin's
21	SeniorCare program; and, be it further
22	Resolved, That the assembly chief clerk shall provide a copy of this joint
23	resolution to the president.
24	(END)