LRB-0603/1 MES:lmk&jld:rs

## 2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 155

March 3, 2005 – Introduced by Representatives Gunderson, Albers, Vos, Ainsworth, Gronemus, Hahn, Kerkman, Kestell, Krawczyk, LeMahieu, Musser, Nischke, Pettis, Suder, Towns, Townsend, Van Roy, Wood and McCormick, cosponsored by Senators Leibham, Reynolds and Breske. Referred to Committee on Property Rights and Land Management.

AN ACT to amend 59.69 (10) (a), 60.61 (5) (a) and 62.23 (7) (h); and to create

59.69 (10) (e), 60.61 (5) (e) and 62.23 (7) (hg) of the statutes; relating to: a

municipality's and county's ability to eliminate certain nonconforming

buildings or premises.

### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, cities, villages, or certain towns (municipalities) or counties are authorized to enact zoning ordinances that regulate and restrict the height, number of stories, and size of buildings and other structures, the percentage of lot that may be occupied, the size of yards and other open spaces, the density of population, and the location and use of buildings, structures, and land for various purposes.

Also under current law, a zoning ordinance adopted by a municipality or county may not prohibit the continued lawful use of any building or premises for any trade or industry for which the building or premises is used when the ordinance takes effect, although in certain towns such an ordinance may prohibit the alteration of, or addition to, any existing building or structure that is used to carry on an otherwise prohibited trade or industry within the area that is subject to the ordinance (district). In cities, villages, towns exercising village powers, and counties, the alteration of, addition to, or repair in excess of 50 percent of the assessed value of any existing building or structure to carry on any prohibited trade or industry within the district may be prohibited. Generally, if such a nonconforming use of a building or premises

### **ASSEMBLY BILL 155**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

is discontinued for 12 months, any future use of the building or premises must conform to the municipality's or county's zoning ordinance.

This bill extends current law to also apply to structures and fixtures, and explicitly specifies that no municipality or county may require the removal of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture, which may be lawfully used under current law, by an amortization ordinance. The bill defines "amortization ordinance" as an ordinance that allows the continuance of the lawful use of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture that may be lawfully used, but only for a specified period of time, after which such lawful nonconforming use must be discontinued without the payment of just compensation.

For further information see the  ${\it local}$  fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 59.69 (10) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

59.69 (10) (a) An ordinance enacted under this section may not prohibit the continuance of the lawful use of any building er, premises, structure, or fixture for any trade or industry for which such building er, premises, structure, or fixture is used at the time that the ordinances take effect, but the alteration of, or addition to, or repair in excess of 50% 50 percent of its assessed value of any existing building er, premises, structure, or fixture for the purpose of carrying on any prohibited trade or new industry within the district where such buildings er, premises, structures, or fixtures are located, may be prohibited. The continuance of the nonconforming use of a temporary structure may be prohibited. If the nonconforming use is discontinued for a period of 12 months, any future use of the building and, premises, structure, or fixture shall conform to the ordinance.

**Section 2.** 59.69 (10) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

59.69 (10) (e) 1. In this paragraph, "amortization ordinance" means an ordinance that allows the continuance of the lawful use of a nonconforming building,

#### ASSEMBLY BILL 155

premises, structure, or fixture that may be lawfully used as described under par. (a), but only for a specified period of time, after which the lawful use of such building, premises, structure, or fixture must be discontinued without the payment of just compensation.

2. Subject to par. (a), an ordinance enacted under this section may not require the removal of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture by an amortization ordinance.

**Section 3.** 60.61 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

60.61 (5) (a) An ordinance adopted under this section may not prohibit the continued use of any building or, premises, structure, or fixture for any trade or industry for which the building or, premises, structure, or fixture is used when the ordinance takes effect. An ordinance adopted under this section may prohibit the alteration of, or addition to, any existing building or, premises, structure, or fixture used to carry on an otherwise prohibited trade or industry within the district. If a use that does not conform to an ordinance adopted under this section is discontinued for a period of 12 months, any future use of the land, building or, premises, structure, or fixture shall conform to the ordinance.

**SECTION 4.** 60.61 (5) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

60.61 (5) (e) 1. In this paragraph, "amortization ordinance" means an ordinance that allows the continuance of the lawful use of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture that may be lawfully used as described under par. (a), but only for a specified period of time, after which the lawful use of such building, premises, structure, or fixture must be discontinued without the payment of just compensation.

### **ASSEMBLY BILL 155**

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2. Subject to par. (a), an ordinance enacted under this section may not require the removal of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture by an amortization ordinance.

**Section 5.** 62.23 (7) (h) of the statutes is amended to read:

62.23 (7) (h) Nonconforming uses. The continued lawful use of a building expremises, structure, or fixture existing at the time of the adoption or amendment of a zoning ordinance may not be continued prohibited although such the use does not conform with the provisions of the ordinance. Such The nonconforming use may not be extended. The total structural repairs or alterations in such a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture shall not during its life exceed 50 per cent percent of the assessed value of the building, premises, structure, or fixture unless permanently changed to a conforming use. If such the nonconforming use is discontinued for a period of 12 months, any future use of the building and, premises, structure, or fixture shall conform to the ordinance.

**Section 6.** 62.23 (7) (hg) of the statutes is created to read:

62.23 (7) (hg) Amortization prohibited. 1. In this paragraph, "amortization ordinance" means an ordinance that allows the continuance of the lawful use of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture that may be lawfully used as described under par. (h), but only for a specified period of time, after which the lawful use of such building, premises, structure, or fixture must be discontinued without the payment of just compensation.

2. Subject to par. (h), an ordinance enacted under this subsection may not require the removal of a nonconforming building, premises, structure, or fixture by an amortization ordinance.

25