Wisconsin Legislative Council

AMENDMENT MEMO

Memo published: February 3, 2020



Contact: Anna Henning, Senior Staff Attorney

2019 Senate Bill 423

Senate Substitute Amendment 2

BACKGROUND

The federal Safe Drinking Water Act prohibits the use of pipes and materials that contain more than a specified amount of lead, but does not require public water systems or property owners to remove previously installed lead-containing plumbing systems. Also under current law, public water systems serving schools are subject to general monitoring requirements under state law and the federal Safe Drinking Water Act. For lead, state law requires public water systems to conduct tap water monitoring, which must include representative samples from throughout the system. In addition, a proposed new federal rule proposes requiring community water systems to conduct lead testing in a certain percentage of schools every year. However, no specific testing requirements apply to schools under current law.

SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 2

Senate Substitute Amendment 2 requires public and private schools to test drinking water sources for lead at least once every five years and to take certain actions in response to a test result showing lead contamination in excess of federal drinking water standards. Within 30 days after receiving test results, the substitute amendment requires a school to provide the results to the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) and post the results on the school governing body's website.

If a drinking water standard for lead is exceeded at a drinking water source, the substitute amendment requires a school to do all of the following:

- Disconnect the water sources and, if necessary, provide an alternative drinking water supply.
- Develop and submit a plan to the DPI for remediating lead contamination in the water source within six months.
- Post the remediation plan on the school's website or otherwise make the plan available to the public within 30 days after submitting the plan to DPI.

The substitute amendment allows a school to reconnect the water supply after remediating the contamination according to the plan and re-testing the source with a result showing no lead

 $^{^1\,}Specifically, the \,bill \,applies \,to \,public \,schools, including \,charter \,schools, schools \,participating \,in \,parental \,choice \,programs, and \,schools \,operating \,certain \,child \,care \,programs.$ $^2\,The \,substitute \,amendment \,defines \, "drinking \,water \,source" \,to \,mean \,a \,water \,faucet, \,drinking \,fountain, \,ice \,maker, \,or \,the \,substitute \,amendment \,defines \, "drinking \,water \,source" \,to \,mean \,a \,water \,faucet, \,drinking \,fountain, \,ice \,maker, \,or \,the \,substitute \,amendment \,defines \, "drinking \,water \,source" \,to \,mean \,a \,water \,source \, "to \,mean \,a \,water \,source" \,the \,substitute \,source \, "to \,mean \,a \,water \,sour$

² The substitute amendment defines "drinking water source" to mean a water faucet, drinking fountain, ice maker, or other water outlet that dispenses potable water that is used for drinking or food preparation. The substitute amendment also requires schools to identify sources of potable water that are not drinking water sources, and to label such sources as "not for drinking" if they are accessible to pupils. However, drinking water sources in school buildings in which pupils are not regularly present are exempt from the testing requirements if they are not used to prepare food or provide water for pupil consumption.

contamination. In addition, a school is exempt from further testing of a drinking water source if two consecutive tests of that source show lead levels not higher than five parts per billion.

The substitute amendment requires DPI, the Department of Children and Families, and the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection to post guidance for testing, starting with a federal toolkit developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,³ and then replacing the federal toolkit with guidance that the substitute amendment requires the Department of Health Services (DHS) to develop.

In addition, the substitute amendment allows a school board to call one more special referendum than is authorized under current law⁴ during any given calendar year, if the referendum includes only costs associated with lead remediation.

The substitute amendment also specifies that the Board of Commissioners of Public Lands may provide a loan to a municipality, county, technical college, or cooperative educational service agency to remediate lead contamination in a school with which the municipality, county, technical college district, or cooperative educational service agency (CESA) has contracted.

Finally, the substitute amendment requires DPI, in consultation with DHS and the Department of Natural Resources, to seek federal funding to assist schools with the cost of testing and remediation under the substitute amendment.

BILL HISTORY

Senator Cowles introduced Senate Bill 423 and Senate Substitute Amendment 2, respectively, on September 17, 2019 and January 17, 2020. On January 22, 2020, the Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Energy voted to recommend adoption of the substitute amendment on a vote of Ayes, 4; Noes, 1, and passage of the bill, as amended, on a vote of Ayes, 5; Noes, 1.

AH:jal

_

³ Specifically, the substitute amendment requires the agencies to post the 3Ts for Reducing Lead in Drinking Water in Schools, available here: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-09/documents/final revised 3ts manual 508.pdf.

4 Under current law, school districts are each subject to a revenue limit, which generally caps the amount of money that

⁴ Under current law, school districts are each subject to a revenue limit, which generally caps the amount of money that a school district can raise in a given year in the form of specific categories of state aid, including equalization aid, and local property tax. In general, if a school board wishes to exceed its school district's revenue limit, including for the purpose of making debt payments on borrowed funds, then it must seek elector approval via referendum. Generally, a school district is limited to no more than two such referenda in any calendar year.