

Chapter UW 2

STUDENT MISCONDUCT SUBJECT TO UNIVERSITY
DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES

UW 2.01 Definition of non-academic misconduct

UW 2.01 Definition of non-academic misconduct. To permit it to carry on its functions, the university may discipline students in non-academic matters in these situations:

(1) For intentional conduct that seriously damages or destroys university property or attempts to seriously damage or destroy university property.

(2) For intentional conduct that indicates a serious danger to the personal safety of other members of the university community.

(3) For intentional conduct that obstructs or seriously impairs university-run or university-authorized activities on any campus, including activities either outdoors or inside a classroom, office, lecture hall, library, laboratory, theater, union, residence hall, or other place where a university-run or university-authorized activity is carried on. The kind of intentional conduct referred to is conduct which by itself or in conjunction with the conduct of others prevents the effective carrying on of the activity—a result which the student knew or reasonably should have known would occur.

In order to illustrate types of conduct which paragraph (3) is designed to cover the following examples are set out. These examples are not meant to illustrate the only situations or types of conduct intended to be covered.

(a) A student would be in violation if he participated in conduct which he knew or should have known would prevent or block physical entry to, or exit from a university building, corridor, or room to anyone apparently entitled to enter or leave in connection with a university-run or university-authorized activity.

(b) A student would be in violation if, in attending a speech or program on campus sponsored by or with permission of the university, he engaged in shouted interruptions, whistling, derisive laughter, or other means which by itself or in conjunction with the conduct of others, prevented or seriously interfered with, a fair hearing of the speech or program.

(c) A student would be in violation if in a classroom he used techniques similar to those specified in the preceding paragraph, or filibuster-type tactics, or other tactics, which by themselves or in conjunction with the conduct of others, prevented or seriously interfered with the carrying on of the teaching and learning process.

(4) For conviction by a court of a crime, or of violation of a municipal ordinance based on a crime, if the crime or other offense (i) involved the use of (or assistance to others in the use of) force, disruption, or the seizure of property under the control of the university, (ii) was committed with intent to prevent students or em-

ployees at the university from engaging in their duties or pursuing their studies, (iii) was of a serious nature, and (iv) contributed to substantial disruption of the administration of the university.

(5) The principles stated in this section 2.01 are not intended to preclude discipline for intentional conduct violating the rules contained in Wis. Adm. Code chapter UW 1.

History: Cr. and num. UW 3.01 Register, January, 1970, No. 169, eff. 2-1-70; emerg. renum. from UW 3.01 to be UW 2.01 and am. eff. 3-12-70; renum from UW 3.01 to be UW 2.01 and am. Register, June, 1970, No. 174, eff. 7-1-70.

UW 2.02 History: Cr. Register, May, 1968, No. 149, eff. 6-1-68; emerg. r. eff. 3-12-70; r. Register, June, 1970, No. 174, eff. 7-1-70.

UW 2.03 History: Cr. Register, May, 1968, No. 149, eff. 6-1-68; emerg. r. eff. 3-12-70; r. Register, June, 1970, No. 174, eff. 7-1-70.

UW 3.02 History: Cr. Register, January, 1970, No. 169, eff. 2-1-70; emerg. renum. UW 2.02 and am. eff. 3-12-70; r. Register, June, 1970, No. 174, eff. 7-1-70.