

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 05/01/2023</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ETF 10, 20, 50, 52, and 60</p>	
<p>4. Subject Technical updates to five ETF chapters in order to correct obsolete language and make ETF rules consistent with recent changes in Wisconsin law.</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of this rule is to make technical updates to existing ETF rules, delete obsolete language in ETF rules, and make other minor substantive changes. The changes include updating the code to be consistent with required minimum distribution provisions of the federal Setting Every Community Up for Retirement Enhancement (SECURE) Act and 2021 Wisconsin Act 245, updating the definition of "guardian" to be consistent with changes in the child guardianship law, removing obsolete sections related to Social Security wage reporting requirements, adding a reference to the Chapter 244 definition of "incapacity" to power of attorney requirements, amending the code to round an annuity applicant's age to the nearest month, rather than the nearest quarter year, for annuity option conversion purposes, require a domestic relations order to be submitted on ETF's form, correcting outdated cross-references to statutes, and other minor changes.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. Information, including the proposed rule language, will be made available by posting on the ETF website and the Wisconsin Legislature's website and by submitting the information to the Governor's Office of Regulatory Compliance.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No substantive impact is anticipated.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Implementation of the Rule will update ETF administrative code provisions to be consistent with recent programmatic changes. This will enhance clarity and minimize confusion for the general public and public employers.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Implementation will bring the affected ETF rules into compliance with recent programatic changes, update affected ETF rules to reflect current administrative practices and delete obsolete language from ETF rules.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The required minimum distribution (RMD) provisions of the of the federal SECURE Act are codified at 26 U.S.C. § 401 (a) (9). It changes the required beginning date for RMDs from age 70½ to age 72. Recently, Congress again amended 26 U.S.C. § 401 (a) (9) to raise the required beginning date for RMDs from age 72 to age 73. The Wisconsin Retirement System is a qualified plan under the federal Internal Revenue Code and must comply with 26 U.S.C. § 401 (a) (9).

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Periodically, retirement systems in adjacent states promulgate technical rules to update existing administrative rules.

19. Contact Name

Daniel Hayes

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-0222

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-