

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original   <input type="checkbox"/> Updated   <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 10/02/2020</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapters DHS 5, 10, 60, 61, 63, 88, 101, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 110, 124, 129, 134 and 152</p>	
<p>4. Subject Revisions to rules in accordance with Governor Ever's 2019 Executive Order 15, relating to inclusive language.</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR   <input type="checkbox"/> FED   <input type="checkbox"/> PRO   <input type="checkbox"/> PRS   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues   <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy   <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units   <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b></p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule 2019 Exec. Ord. 15 required each state agency to review its administrative rules and replace any derogatory or offensive terms with current, inclusive terms, including, but not limited to, replacing: "mentally retarded" with "intellectually disabled"; "mental retardation" with "intellectual disability"; and "handicapped" with "disabled."</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The proposed rule amendments are not anticipated to have an economic or fiscal impact.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Amending the language in these rule chapters with comply with the directives in 2019 Exec. Ord. 15, thereby replacing outdated, derogatory language with more inclusive language. No alternatives exist to promulgating the proposed rules.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Aside from using more inclusive and current terminology, the proposed rules are not anticipated to have an economic or fiscal impact.</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The revisions in the proposed rules are consistent with inclusive language used in federal regulations.</p>	
<p>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Not applicable – the proposed rule order is based on an executive order from the Governor of Wisconsin.</p>	

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

---

19. Contact Name

Mark R. Thompson  
Attorney  
DHS Office of Legal Counsel

20. Contact Phone Number

608-266-1279

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

### ATTACHMENT A

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-