

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date October 29, 2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter Tax 2 – Credit for Tax Paid to Another State	
4. Subject Pass-through entities electing to pay Wisconsin tax on income at the entity level may claim a credit for net taxes paid by the entity on the same income taxable in another state. The proposed rule references relevant laws, adds examples, and clarifies that a Wisconsin resident may not claim a credit for taxes paid by an electing pass-through entity.	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$ 0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect the statutory change provided by 2017 Wisconsin Act 368. The proposed rule order makes no policy or other changes.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. A comment period was identified for economic impact and the public was notified. The comment period lasted from September 30, 2020, through October 14, 2020. No specific businesses were contacted.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No impact is anticipated.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule New policy is being proposed to reflect the law changes described above. If the rules are not changed, they will be incorrect in that they will not reflect current law or current department policy.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name

Jen Chadwick

20. Contact Phone Number

608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2019 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
- CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 19-

INTRODUCTION #

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Repealing, amending, and creating rules relating to Chapter Tax 2.955, credit for tax paid to another state

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
- Create New Appropriation

Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Decrease Costs

Local: No Local Government Costs

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory |

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:

- Towns Villages Cities
- Counties Others _____
- School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Pass-through entities electing to pay Wisconsin tax on income at the entity level may claim a credit for net taxes paid by the entity on the same income taxable in another state. The proposed rule references relevant laws, adds examples, and clarifies that a Wisconsin resident may not claim a credit for taxes paid by an electing pass-through entity.

Any fiscal effect from the statutory changes would have been included in the bill that allowed pass-through entities to elect to pay tax at the entity level, 2017 Wisconsin Act 368. There is no fiscal effect from the implementation of the statutes under the rule, because the rule change only implements existing law. Additionally, there is no fiscal effect from the repeal of the outdated provisions in the proposed rule.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Zachary Petersen (608) 267-2428	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	Date May 15, 2020
--	---	---------------------------------

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2019 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 19
INTRODUCTION

Subject

Repealing, amending, and creating rules relating to Chapter Tax 2.955, credit for tax paid to another state

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ _____	\$ _____
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ _____	\$ _____

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Zachary Petersen (608) 267-2428	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	Date May 15, 2020
--	---	-----------------------------