

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
DCF 201, Administration of Child Care Funds

3. Subject
Child care subsidy eligibility redeterminations

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.437 (mc), 20.437 (md)
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
 No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
 State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
To implement federal law that provides that states may re-determine a child's eligibility for child care assistance no sooner than 12 months following the initial determination or most recent redetermination.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
The rule applies to low-income families who receive a child care subsidy under s. 49.155, Stats., and to child care administrative agencies. The notice soliciting comments on the proposed rule was sent to agencies and to a listserv of over 2000 email addresses of people and organizations that have signed up to receive child care information from the department.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
An agency supervisor in Rock County commented that she sees no adverse effects of the rule.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
The rule will protect working parents by requiring fewer interruptions of their employment or education for appointments and paperwork to maintain eligibility for a child care subsidy. The rule will reduce workload for child care administrative agencies. In addition, child care providers commented that they will spend less time on paperwork and with assisting parents track their eligibility review dates. One provider commented that parents should be required to have redeterminations more frequently.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
Complying with federal law.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
Adoption of a 12-month authorization policy for Wisconsin Shares is estimated to require additional costs of \$14.1 million dollars annually.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
The rule is implementing a federal requirement.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
All states are required to comply with federal Child Care Development Fund requirements.

17. Contact Name
DCF/Kim Swissdorf

18. Contact Phone Number
422-6351

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

NA

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
