ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □ Corrected		2. Date 6 July 2021	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 160.92 (6)			
4. Subject Fair Organizations			
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 		
 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 			
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00			
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes ⊠ No 			
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Section ATCP 160.92 (6) contains a provision requiring a copy of the fair organization's annual financial report as published in the newspaper to be submitted to the Department. 2015 Wisconsin Act 207 repealed the requirement for a fair organization to publish a financial statement as a class I notice [s. 93.23 (1) (d), Stats. (2013)]. This rule removes the obsolete provision within ATCP 160.92 (6).			
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.This rule was posted on the Department website for 14 days to obtain economic impact comments and none were received			
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None			
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)			
This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.			
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is to remove an obsolete provision resulting in rule clarity. The alternative is to retain in rule a provision that the legislature repealed.			
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is statutory compliance.			
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None			

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

In Iowa, a fair is required to annually publish in one newspaper of the county a financial statement of receipts and disbursements for the current year. In Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota, a financial statement is not required to be published in a newspaper.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 381-2808

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No