# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
⊠ Original □ Updated □ Corrected	October 29, 2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearin	nghouse Number if applicable)
Chapter Tax 2 – Credit for Tax Paid to Another State	
4. Subject	
Pass-through entities electing to pay Wisconsin taxon income	sed rule references relevant laws, adds examples, and clarifies
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs □
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	DecreaseCosts
	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	Specific Businesses/Sectors
-	Public Utility Rate Payers
	Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
\$ 0	
<ul> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> </ul>	, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect The proposed rule order makes no policy or other changes.	the statutory change provided by 2017 Wisconsin Act 368.
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Association Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that	
A comment period was identified for economic impact and the September 30, 2020, through October 14, 2020. No specific be	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the	he Development of this EIA.
No local government units participated in the development of the	his EIA.
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impacton Specifi Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a V Expected to be Incurred)	
No impact is anticipated.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Imp	plementing the Rule
New rules are being proposed to reflect the law changes desc in that they will not reflect current law or current department po	ribed above. If the rules are not changed, they will be incorrect blicy.

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jen Chadwick	608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

### ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

### FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

		LRB# 19-			
ORIGINAL UPDATED		INTRODUCTION	1#		
	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL Admin. Rule #				
Subject					
Repealing, amending, and crea	ating rules rela	ating to Chapter Ta	x 2.955, credit for tax paid to another		
state					
Fiscal Effect State: ☑ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation		□ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Yes □ No			
□ Increase Existing Appropriation □	Increase Existin	g Revenues			
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues					
Create New Appropriation		Decrease Costs			
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs					
1. Increase Costs 3	. 🗆 Increase	Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Permis:	sive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities		
2. Decrease Costs 4	. 🛛 Decrease	Revenues	Counties Others		
Permissive      Mandatory	Permis:	sive 🗆 Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected	rces Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □	SEG 🗆 SEG-S	3			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:					

Pass-through entities electing to pay Wisconsin tax on income at the entity level may claim a credit for net taxes paid by the entity on the same income taxable in another state. The proposed rule references relevant laws, adds examples, and clarifies that a Wisconsin resident may not claim a credit for taxes paid by an electing pass-through entity.

Any fiscal effect from the statutory changes would have been included in the bill that allowed pass -through entities to elect to pay tax at the entity level, 2017 Wisconsin Act 368. There is no fiscal effect from the implementation of the statutes under the rule, because the rule change only implements existing law. Additionally, there is no fiscal effect from the repeal of the outdated provisions in the proposed rule.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Robert Schmidt	Date
Zachary Petersen		May 15, 2020
(608) 267-2428	(608) 266-5773	

### FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2019 Session

	CORRECTED
Subject	

ORIGINAL UPDATED

□ SUPPLEMENTAL

INTRODUCTION

LRB # 19

Repealing, amending, and creating rules relating to Chapter Tax 2.955, credit for tax paid to another state

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impa	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs		-	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ -	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	

#### NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	\$
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Zachary Petersen (608) 267-2428	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	<b>Date</b> May 15, 2020