STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	October 1, 2020	
 Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 134 		
4. Subject Residential Rental Practices		
5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	fia Duain acces /Castera	
	fic Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers	
	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca	I Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
\$Unknown costs would be borne by tenants at time of	• •	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	ll Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	
☐ Yes ☒ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule aligns ATCP 134 with Wis Stat. 704.		
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Rethat may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted		
None.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De None.	velopment of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be		
Incurred) The proposed rule will have minimal impact on the state. Pote authorized and required this. The rule merely harmonizes and passed in the 2017 session. The inconsistency between the extroutine rules review required by 2017 Wisconsin Act 108.	existing rule with 2017 Wisconsin Act 317, § 41, which	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme The rule will harmonize with statute.	enting the Rule	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The rule and statute will not disagree.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government No existing or proposed federal regulations have an impact of		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota all have statutes or administrative rules governing residential rental practices. These laws address common topics such as rental agreements, security deposits, and other duties of landlords and tenants.

······································	
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
David A. Woldseth	608-224-5164

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No