Report From Agency

STATE OF WISCONSIN EXAMINING BOARD OF ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

EXAMINING BOARD OF : CR 20-014

ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE

ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL : ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND : PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS :

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

The Designer Section determined that a revision of ch. A-E 5 was necessary after applications for a permit required multiple requests for additional information. Input was solicited from the Designer Section, the Professional Engineer Section, the A-E Board, and the A-E Rules Committee. The resulting proposed revisions provide clarification on qualifications for a designer permit, specifically by:

- Clarifying that an applicant's experience under s. A-E 5.03 (1) (e) must demonstrate a
 working knowledge of all examination topics under s. A-E 5.04 in the field or
 subfield for which the applicant has applied;
- Further emphasizing that the Designer Section has the statutory discretion in reviewing experience to determine whether it demonstrates competency;
- Replacing the terms 'board' with 'section' and 'private sewage systems' with 'private on-site wastewater treatment systems' to be consistent with statute; and
- Amending the chapter to conform with statutory changes, drafting standards, and for consistency.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Rules Committee of the Examining Board of Architects, Landscape Architects, Professional Engineers, Designers, and Professional Land Surveyors held a public hearing on April 21, 2020. No public comments were received.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS: Comment 5.b:

The term "onsite" is more commonly used than the term "on-site" within plans and designs. In addition, use of the term "onsite" is consistent with other provisions in administrative code (for example, ch. SPS 383, *Private Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems*, and ch. SPS 387, *Private Onsite Wastewater Treatment System Replacement or Rehabilitation Financial Assistance Program*).

All other Legislative Council recommendations have been incorporated into the proposed rules.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A