ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis □ Original | | |
|--|---|--|
| 2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number | | |
| DCF 13, Background Checks for Child Care Programs; DCI | | |
| | DCF 54, Child-Placing Agencies; DCF 56, Foster Home Care | |
| for Children; DCF 57, Group Homes; DCF 202, Certified Family Child Care; DCF 250, Family Child Care Centers; and | | |
| DCF 251, Group Child Care Centers. | | |
| 3. Subject Technical changes to update DCF rules | | |
| 4. Fund Sources Affected | 5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected | |
| □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S | | |
| 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule | | |
| ☑ No Fiscal Effect | Increase Costs | |
| □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues | Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | |
| | Decrease Cost | |
| 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) | | |
| State's Economy | | |
| | lic Utility Rate Payers | |
| | all Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | |
| 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than Yes Xo | \$20 million? | |
| 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule | | |
| The proposed rules do not address policy problems. The rules correct cross-references, update terminology, | | |
| and incorporate minor statutory changes. | | |
| 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that | | |
| may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. | | |
| WI Family Child Care Association, WI Child Care Administrator Association, WI Early Childhood Association, WI | | |
| Head Start Association, DPI (4K, Head Start Collaboration, CACFP), The Registry, Supporting Families Together | | |
| Association, WI Technical College System, Child Care Information Center, City of Madison, Western Dairyland/Child | | |
| Care Partnership Resource and Referral, and certification agencies. | | |
| 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. | | |
| No comments were received. | | |
| 12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | | |
| There is no economic impact because the proposed rule | only make technical corrections. | |
| 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule | | |
| The department's rules do not contain incorrect cross-reference | nces or terminology and are not in conflict with statute. | |
| 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule | | |
| None | | |
| 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government | | |
| The federal regulations affecting allowable costs and rate regulation of residential care centers, group homes, and child- | | |
| placing agencies are the following: | | |
| • 2 CFR Part 200, Uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for federal awards | | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

- 45 CFR Part 75, Uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for HHS awards
- 48 CFR Part 31, Contract cost principles and procedures.

Under 45 CFR 98.43, the department is required to conduct a background check of noncaregiver employees of a child care program.

The Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 emphasizes the need for collaboration between schools, school districts, and child welfare agencies to improve outcomes for children in out-of-home care.

| 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) | |
|---|--|
| Not applicable | |

| 17. Contact Name | 18. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Elaine Pridgen | (608) 333-3239 |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) NA
Summaryof the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No