STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date			
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	November 29, 2019			
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse SPS 314 and 361	Number if applicable)			
4. Subject The inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers				
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget			
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors				
	Jtility Rate Payers			
	susinesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?				
☐ Yes ☒ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Current rules require the inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers to be performed by a qualified person with				
knowledge and understanding of the operating components of the type of assembly to be tested. The Department has				
determined that more specific requirements are needed to ensure qualified persons are conducting inspections and testing				
of fire and smoke dampers. The proposed rule will require the inspection of fire and smoke dampers to be conducted by a person with current fire life safety certification from a program accredited by the American National Standards				
Institute.	in accredited by the rimerican randomia standards			
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Rep that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted fo	resenting Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals r Comments.			
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to				
solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be				
affected by the rule. No comments were received.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.	businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,			
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement The benefit to implementing the rule is greater assurance that q fire and smoke dampers.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is greater assuratesting of fire and smoke dampers.	nce that qualified persons are conducting inspections and			

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches	Being Used by	Federal Government
None		

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, lowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois: Illinois rules do not require the inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers to be conducted by a person with current fire life safety certification from a program accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

Iowa: Iowa rules do not require the inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers to be conducted by a person with current fire life safety certification from a program accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

Michigan: Michigan rules do not require the inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers to be conducted by a person with current fire life safety certification from a program accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

Minnesota: Minnesota rules do not require the inspection and testing of fire and smoke dampers to be conducted by a person with current fire life safety certification from a program accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fis cal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No