STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	November 4, 2019	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) A-E 3		
4. Subject		
Architect registration examinations		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_	
☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	:- P:	
	ic Businesses/Sectors	
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0	- (-)(-)(-)	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
☐ Yes ☒ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
The Section has reviewed the chapter and made the following revisions:		
• Section A-E 3.05 (1) (intro.) is revised to reflect that the Architect Section has adopted the Architect Registration		
Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.		
• Section A-E 3.05 (4) is revised to clarify that the authority to set the passing scores for the examination required for		
registration as an architect rests with the Architect Section. • Section A-E 3.05 (6), (7), and (9) are repealed. As the Architect Section does not administer the examination		
required for registration as an architect, these provisions are obsolete.		
• Section A-E 3.05 (8) is repealed, as it was invalidated by the repeal of s. 443.09 (6), Stats., in 2009 Wisconsin Act		
350.	1	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to		
solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be		
affected by the rule. No comments were received.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,		
local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing consistency with current practices and drafting standards, and applicable Wisconsin statutes. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to not reflect current Wisconsin statutes.		
applicable 11 belong a success if the rate is not importanted, it will continue to not reflect current 11 belong it statutes.		

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is consistency with current practices and drafting standards, and applicable Wisconsin statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois:

Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation address the examination for licensure to practice architecture in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1150.40). The Department has adopted the Architect Registration Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

Iowa:

Rules of the Iowa Architectural Examining Board address the examination for licensure to practice architecture in Iowa (193B IAC 2.3 and 2.4). The Board has adopted the Architect Registration Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

Michigan:

Rules of the Michigan Board of Architects address the examination for licensure to practice architecture in Michigan (Mich Admin Code, R 339.15204). The Board has adopted the Architect Registration Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

Minnesota:

Rules of the Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience, and Interior Design address the examination for licensure to practice architecture in Minnesota (Minnesota Rules, part 1800.1200). The Board has adopted the Architect Registration Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No