Report From Agency

STATE OF WISCONSIN EXAMINING BOARD OF ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

EXAMINING BOARD OF : CR 19-151

ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE :

ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL : ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND : PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS :

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

The Architect Section has reviewed the chapter and the following revisions have been made:

- Section A-E 3.05 (1) (intro.) has been updated to reflect that the Architect Section has adopted the Architect Registration Examination administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.
- Section A-E 3.05 (4) has been updated to clarify that the authority to set the passing scores for the examination required for registration as an architect rests with the Architect Section.
- Section A-E 3.05 (6), (7), and (9) have been repealed. As the Architect Section does not administer the examination required for registration as an architect, these provisions are obsolete.
- Section A-E 3.05 (8) has been repealed, as it was invalidated by the repeal of s. 443.09 (6), Stats., in 2009 Wisconsin Act 350.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Rules Committee of the Examining Board of Architects, Landscape Architects, Professional Engineers, Designers, and Professional Land Surveyors held a public hearing on January 7, 2020.

William Babcock, representing the Wisconsin Society of Architects, testified in favor of the proposed rules. No other testimony or written comments were received by the Board.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

All Legislative Council recommendations have been incorporated into the proposed rules.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A