



# State of Wisconsin / OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE

Tony Evers, Governor  
Mark V. Afable, Commissioner

Wisconsin.gov

January 17, 2020

**Legal Unit**  
125 South Webster Street • P.O. Box 7873  
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7873  
Phone: (608) 267-9586 • Fax: (608) 264-6228  
oci.wi.gov

## Report From Agency

Report on Sections Ins 17.28 (3) (c) and (4) (f), Wis. Admin. Code, relating to the Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund refund procedures and ISO code modifications.

### Clearinghouse Rule No. 19-119 Submitted Under s. 227.19 (3), Stats.

(The proposed rule-making order is attached.)

#### **(a) A detailed statement of basis for the proposed rule and how the rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes:**

The objectives of the proposed rules are to facilitate accurate billing classifications. The proposed rule includes changes to the Insurance Services Office (ISO) code listing to address corrections to several classification specialties as well as new classification specialties. ISO codes are the numerical designation for a health care provider's specialty and are used to classify the provider for assessment purposes. Errors identified in the ISO codes or specialty narratives for several specialties have also been corrected. The Doctor of Osteopathy (D.O.) designated ISO codes have been added for two specialties previously listed only under the Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) ISO codes.

Additionally, the proposed rule modifies the refund procedures related to the convenience payment option for the fund. The proposed rule eliminates the requirement for the fund to return the \$3.00 administrative fee, with interest, charged for a provider opting to pay the fund fee through quarterly rather than the annual billing process.

#### **(b) Summary of the public comments and the agency's responses to those comments:**

The Office did not receive any comments.

#### **(c) An explanation of any modifications made in proposed rule as a result of public comments or testimony received at a public hearing:**

The Office did not receive any comments.

#### **(d) Persons who appeared or registered regarding the proposed rule:**

##### **Appearances for:**

None

##### **Appearances against:**

None

**Appearances for information:**

None

**Registrations for:**

None

**Registrations against:**

None

**Registrations neither for nor against:**

None

**Letters received:**

None

**(e) An explanation of any changes made to the plain language analysis of the rule under s. 227.14 (2), Stats., or to any fiscal estimate prepared under s. 227.14 (4), Stats.**

None.

**(f) The response to the Legislative Council staff recommendations indicating acceptance of the recommendations and a specific reason for rejecting any recommendation:**

Legislative Council staff made no recommendations.

**(g) The response to the report prepared by the small business regulatory review board:**

The small business regulatory review board did not prepare a report.

**(h) Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

A Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is Not Required because the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses.

**(i) Fiscal Effect**

See fiscal estimate and economic impact analysis is attached to proposed rule.

Attachment: Legislative Council Staff Recommendations