Report From Agency

STATE OF WISCONSIN EXAMINING BOARD OF ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

EXAMINING BOARD OF : CR 19-075

ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE

ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL : ENGINEERS, DESIGNERS, AND : PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS :

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS: N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

The Professional Engineer Section has reviewed the chapter and made revisions to:

- Ensure applicants may use the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) uniform reporting standards as evidence for application requirements;
- Clarify that candidates who pass the NCEES Structural Engineering examination are not required to take the Principles and Practice of Engineering exam (PE Exam);
- Remove the requirement that applicants for initial licensure have a reference who is registered in Wisconsin; and
- Reorganize the chapter for clarity, consistency, and to meet drafting standards.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Rules Committee of the Examining Board of Architects, Landscape Architects, Professional Engineers, Designers, and Professional Land Surveyors held a public

hearing on October 8, 2019. No one testified at the hearing and no one submitted written comments.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Comment 2.b:

The Board does not want to restate clear statutory language in administrative code. In addition, the Board has not been made aware of any uncertainty concerning this provision.

To the extent applicable, all other Legislative Council recommendations have been incorporated into the proposed rule.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A