STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □ Corrected	2. Date 14 March 2019					
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Phar 7.01 (3)						
4. Subject Pharmacist to delegate ratio						
5. Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected					
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget					
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public	fic Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>					
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca $\$0.00$	I Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).					
<ul> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>☐ Yes ☒ No</li> <li>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</li> <li>The staffing levels of delegates in a pharmacy.</li> </ul>	l Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over					
Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Rethat may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted This rule was posted for economic comments and none were a second comments.	for Comments.					
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De None	evelopment of this EIA.					
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be						
Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specifical governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.	ic businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,					
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme The pilot program revealed that a ratio is not necessary to ens benefit to removing the ratio is to allow pharmacies to determ pharmacists to involved in other patient care activities.	ure safety, quality and efficiency of the pharmacy. The					
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication to removing the ratio requirement is it a needs of their individual pharmacies.	llows pharmacies to determine staffing levels which meet the					
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None						
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (I	Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)					

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Minnesota has a ratio of one pharmacist to two technicians except the ratio is one pharmacist to three technicians when the technicians are doing the following: intravenous admixture preparation; setting up or preparing patient specific in unit dose or modified unit dose packaging; prepacking; or compounding.

	1	0 0,1 1	U'	1	8
19. Contact Name					20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes					(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards ☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No