

Modifications From Agency

STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING	:	REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE	:	CR 18-101
MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD	:	

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

A comprehensive review of ch. Med 20 was conducted to ensure the rules are consistent with current examination and licensing practices and applicable Wisconsin statutes. As a result, the following updates have been made:

- The reference to “CRTT” (Certified Respiratory Therapy Technician) in s. Med 20.02 (3) is replaced with “CRT” (Certified Respiratory Therapist) to reflect current terminology.
- A definition is created under s. Med 20.02 (5) and terminology throughout the rules is revised to reflect that, effective January 2015, the National Board for Respiratory Care (NBRC) changed the Certified Respiratory Technician examination from the Entry Level CRT Examination to the Therapist Multiple-Choice Examination (TMC).
- Section Med 20.03 (1) is revised to remove requirements for applications submitted prior to January 1, 1992 and an obsolete requirement that an applicant provide a recent passport type photograph. The section is also revised to reflect that the current accreditation organization for schools with a course of instruction in respiratory care is the Commission on Accreditation for Respiratory Care.
- Section Med 20.04 is revised to, as required under s. 448.05 (6) (a), Stats., specify the passing score for the TMC, the statutes and rules examination, and the oral examination.
- Section Med 20.04 (7) is revised to clarify the requirement to complete further professional training or education prescribed by the Board before retaking an exam after a third failure does not apply to the NBRC examination.

- Section Med 20.05 is repealed. This provision was created at a time when results of an examination could take several months. As results of the current NBRC examination are available immediately, the temporary certificate under s. Med 20.05 is no longer necessary.
- Section Med 20.06 (5m) is created to void the application of an applicant who uses a recording device when reviewing an examination, and requires the applicant to reapply for licensure. A provision is created under s. Med 20.04 (4) that will allow the Board to require an applicant who has violated s. Med 20.06 (5m) to complete an oral examination.
- Other provisions throughout ch. Med 20 have been updated to revise or remove outdated notes, provide clarity, and conform to current drafting standards.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD’S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Medical Examining Board held a public hearing on February 20, 2019. The Board received written comments from the Wisconsin Society for Respiratory Care (WSRC) and testimony from Mr. William Rosandick, a member of the WSRC.

The comments and testimony were largely in support of the proposed rules, with the exception of the oral examination passing score of 90 percent specified in SECTION 12 of the proposed rules. The WSRC and Mr. Rosandick request the Board revise the passing score to 75 percent, which is the current passing score for the respiratory care practitioner oral examination.

In response to the comments and testimony received, the Board has revised SECTION 12 of the proposed rules to specify a passing score of 75 percent for the oral examination.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Comment 5:

The Board has not revised the proposed rules in response to this recommendation. The language currently in s. Med 20.04 (4) (f) has been in place for over 15 years, and during this time the Board has not been made aware of any uncertainty concerning its application.

The Legislative Council had no other recommendations concerning the proposed rules.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A