DATCP Docket No. 17-R-02 Clearinghouse Rule No. 18-085

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ADOPTING RULES

The Wisconsin department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection proposes the following 1 2 permanent rule to repeal ATCP 10.20 (1) (b), 10.20 (2) (b), 10.21 (2) (b) 5, 10.22 (10), 10.31 (2) (a) 3., 10.36 (4) (a) and (b) and Note, and (e) and Note, 10.40 (1) (a) 1. and 2., 10.40 (1) (c) 3 4 Note, 10.40 (1) (d) (intro.), 10.40 (1) (d) 2. Note and (g), 10.40 (2) (e), 10.40 (3) (c), 10.40 (4) 5 (b) 3. and (d), 10.40 (5), 10.40 (7) (b) 2 Note, 10.40 (8), 10.41 (4), 10.45 (2) (c), 10.46 (1m), 6 10.46 (7) (a) 1. c. Note, 10.47 (4m) Note, 10.53 (4) (b) 1. and 2., 10.53 (7) (b) Note, 10.54 (1) (d) 7 and (e), 10.55 (3) (d) Note, 10.56 (3) (d) 2. Note, 10.73 (3) Note, 10.87 (1) (c) 1. and 2., 10.90, 12.05 (1) (a) Note, 12.05 (1) (b) 2. Note, 12.05 (2) (b) 2. first Note; to renumber ATCP 10.20 (1) 8 9 (a), 10.20 (2) (a), 10.52 (7); to renumber and amend 10.31 (1) (a), and 10.89 (2) (title) (intro.) and (a) to (c) and (4) (title) (intro.); to consolidate, renumber and amend ATCP 10.40 (2) (a) 10 11 and (b) (intro.), 10.40 (3) (a) and (b) (intro.); to amend ATCP 10.01 (5), (60) to (62), (90), and (105) (d) and Note, 10.03 (3), 10.04 (1) (title), 10.04 (1) (e), 10.05 (1) (intro.) and (2) (a) 3., 12 13 10.055 (3) Note, 10.06 (1) (c) 10., 10.06 (3) (a) Note, (4) (a), and (6) (a), (b) and (c) Note, 10.07 (4) (a) 1., 10.08 (2) (b) Note, (r) Note, and (s) Note, 10.21 (2) (a) (intro.) and 1., 10.22 (1) (b) 1., 14 15 10.22 (6) (c) (intro.) and (d) (intro.), 10.26 (4), 10.291 (title), and (1) (intro.), 10.291 (2) (intro.) 16 and (a) 2., 10.291 (2) (c) Note, 10.291 (3), (4) (a) and (5), 10.30 (1) (a) 3. and (b) 3., 10.30 (2) 17 (b) 3., 10.31 (1) (intro.), 10.31 (1) (b), 10.32 (2) (title), (a) and (b), 10.35 (1) (b) 3. and 4., 10.36 18 (4) (intro.), 10.36 (5) (b) (intro.), 10.40 (title) and (1) (title), (intro.) and (a), 10.40 (1) (b) and (c), 19 10.40 (1) (d) 1., 10.40 (2) (b) 4. Note, 10.40 (2) (c) (intro.), 10.40 (7) (a) and (b) (intro.) and 1., 20 10.41(1), 10.41(5)(a) and (d) 1., 10.41(7), 10.42(1)(a) 1. and 2., 10.46(1)(a), (d) and (f), 21 10.46 (2) (c) Note, (3) and (4) (b) 1., 10.46 (5) (b) 2. a., (c) 1., (d), and (e), 10.46 (6) (intro.), (e) 22 and (f), 10.46 (7) (a) 1. (intro.) and b., 10.46 (7) (a) 2. and 3., 10.46 (7) (b), (c) and (d), 10.46 23 (10) (a) 1. and 6., (am) 1., and (c) 2., 10.46 (11) (c) and (d) 1., 10.46 (12) (d) and Note, (13) (b) 24 and (14) (b), 10.47 (2) (a) and (b), (3) (b) 5., (4) (intro.) and (4m), 10.47 (8) (a), 10.51 (2) (c), 25 10.52 (3) (c) (intro), 1. and 1m. and 2. a. and b. and (d), 10.52 (4) (b), 10.52 (7m) and (8) (a) 3. 26 10.53 (2) (d) 4. and (f), 10.53 (4) (a) and (b) (intro.), 10.53 (4) (g) Note and (h), 10.53 (5) (a) 4. 27 and (b) 2., 10.53 (5m) (b) Note, 10.53 (8) (d) Note, 10.53 (11) (c) 1., 10.54 (1) (am) and (c) 28 (intro.), 10.54 (2) (a) and (b) (intro.), 10.55 (1) Note, and (3) (d), 10.55 (3) (e) 1., 10.56 (1) (a) 2. 29 (intro.) and 3., 10.56 (2) (c) and (3) (b), 10.56 (4) (b), 10.61 (5m) (b) and (c), 10.61 (6) (intro.), 30 10.61 (6m) (b) 6. and 7., 10.61 (7) (f), 10.61 (10) (a) 5., (c) 5., and (13) (b), 10.62 (1) (a) 3., (2) (e) and Note, 10.63 (1) (b) and Note, 10.64 (1) and Note, and (3) (a), 10.645 (intro.) and (2) 31 32 Note, 10.65 (1), 10.65 (4) (a) (intro.), and 4. and (b) (intro.), 3. and Note, and (c) (intro.), 2. and 33 Note, and (d) 3. Note (intro.), 10.655 (1) (intro.), 10.68 (2) and (2m), 10.73 (2) to (3), 10.76 (2)

34 (b), (c) (intro.) and (d), 10.80 (3) (a) and (b), 10.82 (3) (a) Note and (b), 10.84 (4) (b) 4., 10.86 35 (3) (b), 10.87 (1) (a) and (c), 10.87 (2) (b) 2., 3. and 5., 10.89 (3), 10.91 (2), 10.92 (3), (4), (5) (intro.) and (b), (11), and (13), Ch. ATCP 12 second Note, 12.01 (1g) and (25), 12.02 (8) (g), 36 37 12.02 (11) (c), 12.03 (2) (d), 12.04 (9) (a), 12.05 (1) (b) 1., 12.05 (2) (a) and (b), 12.05 (5) (a) 38 and (b), 12.06 (1m) (f) and (h), 12.06 (2), 12.08 (4), (5) (intro.) and (b), (6), (8) and (26); to repeal and recreate ATCP 10.11, 10.13, 10.28, 10.40 (1) (d) 2., 10.42 (1) (b), 10.46 (11) (d) 2., 39 10.48, 10.50, 10.61 (7) (b), Ch. ATCP 10, Appendices A and B; and to create ATCP 10.01 40 (112m), 10.025, 10.03 (7), 10.04 (1) (am), 10.045 (3), 10.052, 10.055 (5) and (6), 10.07 (4) (a) 5. 41 and (bn), 10.07 (5), 10.14 (2) (a) 3., 10.21 (2) (c) Note, 10.291 (2) (a) 3., 10.31 (1) (a) 1. and 2., 42 43 10.31 (3) (c) Note, 10.32 (2) (b) 3., 10.40 (2) (b), 10.40 (3) (b), 10.46 (1) (g) and (h), 10.46 (10) (cm), 10.46 (11) (e) and (f), 10.46 (12) (a) 3., 10.47 (8) (c), 10.49 (3) (a) 3., 10.52 (1m) (c) and 44 (d), 10.53 (7) (a) 8., 10.53 (7) (c) and Note, 10.53 (8) (d), 10.54 (3), 10.56 (1) (d) Note, 10.56 (3) 45 (e), 10.61 (6) (d) Note, 10.74 (3) (a) 3., 10.87 (1) (d), 10.89 (1) (a) 3. and (2) (b) and (4) (b), 46 47 ATCP 10.92 (19), (20), and Note, 12.01 (14), 12.02 (8) (k), (L), and (m), 12.03 (9) (j) and (k), 12.06 (1m) (j) and (k), 12.08 (28), relating to animal disease movement and animal markets, 48 dealers and truckers, and affecting small businesses. 49

_

Analysis Prepared by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10 specifies requirements relating to animal diseases and movement. Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 12 specifies requirements for animal truckers, markets, and dealers. This proposed rule package will modify current animal health rules to provide for clarity and consistency.

The hearing draft associated with this rule package proposed increasing farm-raised deer enhanced fencing requirements and restricting movement based on CWD affected county status. The department has determined to remove changes to farm-raised deer enhanced fencing requirements and movement restrictions from the final rule draft.

The hearing draft associated with this rule package proposed requirements for brucellosis canis and heartworm testing and treatment for dogs imported into Wisconsin. The department has determined to remove these changes from the final rule draft.

Statutes Interpreted

Statutes interpreted: Wis. Stat. §§ 93.06, 93.07, 93.15, 95.20, 95.22, 95.38, 95.42, 95.43, 95.45, 95.55, 95.57, 95.60, 95.68, 95.69, 95.71 and 95.715.

Statutory Authority

Statutory authority: Wis. Stat. §§ 93.06 (1f), (1n), and (1p), 93.07 (1), (2) and (10), 93.15 (1) to (3), 95.20, 95.22 (1) and (2), 95.38 (3), 95.42, 95.43 (1) and (2), 95.45 (4) (c), and (5), 95.55 (2), (3) and (6), 95.57 (1) and (2), 95.60 (3), (4) (c), (4s), (5), and (5m), 95.68 (2m) and (8), 95.69 (2m) and (8), 95.71 (8), and 95.715 (2), and (3).

Explanation of Statutory Authority

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) has broad authority to promulgate rules for the proper enforcement of its programs under Wis. Stat. §§ 93.07 (1) and (10).

DATCP has broad authority under Wis. Stat. § 95.20 to prohibit and/or regulate the importing of animals into this state or the movement of animals if there are reasonable grounds to believe it is necessary to prevent the introduction or spread of disease in this state.

DATCP has specific rulemaking authority on reporting animal diseases under Wis. Stat. § 95.22 (2), certificates of veterinary inspection under Wis. Stat. § 95.45 (4) (c), regulation of the farmraised deer industry under Wis. Stat. § 95.55 (6), regulation of fish farms under Wis. Stat. § 95.60 (3) and (4s), regulation of animal markets under Wis. Stat. § 95.68 (8), regulation of animal dealers under Wis. Stat. § 95.69 (8), regulation of animal truckers under Wis. Stat. § 95.71 (8), and regulation of feed lots under Wis. Stat. § 95.715 (2) (d). DATCP makes and enforces these rules through its Division of Animal Health (Division).

Related Statutes and Rules

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has statutes and rules that are closely related to some of the program areas in DATCP. Wis. Admin. Code § NR 16.45 establishes fencing requirements for keepers of farm-raised deer that are white-tailed deer. Wis. Stat. § 29.87 establishes guidelines by which the DNR may dispose of escaped farm-raised deer. Wis. Stat. § 29.735 establishes requirements for importation of fish other than health requirements, and Wis. Stat. § 29.736 establishes requirements for the stocking of fish into waters of the state, other than health requirements.

Plain Language Analysis

Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10 specifies requirements relating to animal diseases and movement, and Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 12 specifies requirements for animal truckers, markets and dealers. This proposed rule makes the following modifications:

Definitions

Some definitions have been updated to reflect appropriate terminology.

Equine infectious anemia test (EIA). The current rule requires the EIA test to be conducted in a laboratory approved by the department *or* the federal bureau. The proposed rule requires an EIA test to be conducted in a laboratory approved by the department *and* the federal bureau.

Feed lot. The proposed rule creates this definition as a facility at which feeder cattle are assembled for feeding prior to slaughter.

Farm-raised deer keeper. The proposed rule adds "Farm-raised deer keeper" to the current definition of "Keeper of farm-raised deer" as both terms are used throughout Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10.

Livestock. The proposed rule clarifies that the term applies to South American camelids (llama, alpaca, vicuna, and guanaco) and not all camelids.

Slaughtering establishment. The current rule specifies that a slaughtering establishment must be licensed by the department or subject to inspection by the USDA, which is not accurate. The proposed rule specifies that a facility must be both licensed and inspected and the licensing and inspection may be by either by the department or USDA. The proposed definition also encompasses an *approved* intermediate livestock handling facility if the latter is affiliated with a slaughtering establishment.

Tuberculosis test. The current rule specifies the tests that may be used for Tuberculosis. The proposed rule specifies that a post axillary Tuberculosis test may be used for all camelids (not just South American camelids) but not for exotic ruminants.

The proposed rule replaces the definition term "Axillary tuberculosis test" with "Post axillary tuberculosis test" to accurately define the test to be used when testing camelids for Tuberculosis.

Wild deer disease control area. The proposed rule creates this definition to mean a CWD-affected area designated by the DNR under Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 10 or other disease area affecting wild cervid designated by the DNR or the department.

Medical Separation

Currently, medical separation provisions are listed under farm-raised deer and fish farms, respectively, as these are the species for which medical separation is most requested. The current rule requires fencing and facilities to be adequate to maintain separation of animals at all times. Current medical separation inspection fees are \$200 for farm-raised deer and \$400 for fish farms. The \$400 fee more accurately reflects the cost involved with these inspections.

The proposed rule creates a new section relating to medical separation of *any* species. The proposed rule reflects the federal requirement that fencing and facilities must maintain at least 30 feet of separation at all times for bovine animals and farm-raised deer. Medical separation fees

are \$400 for each day (or portion of a day) needed to complete the inspection. Also see medical separation requirements for Federally Approved Livestock Marketing Facilities, below.

Both the current and the proposed rule specify that no inspection is required for the renewal of an existing medically separated premises if the department has previously inspected the premises for medical separation, and there have been no changes in registration, licensure, certification, ownership, or use of premises.

Disease Reporting

Appendices A and B list diseases that must be reported to the department within one day or 10 days, respectively. The proposed rule updates the diseases listed in these appendices.

The proposed rule requires that a person who reports a disease listed under either of the appendices must include the official individual identification of the animal tested. If the animal has no official individual identification, the person collecting the test sample must apply such identification to livestock (other than fish) or another appropriate identifier for other non-livestock animals. Identification must be applied prior to collecting the test sample.

The proposed rule specifies that if the state veterinarian determines that a new disease is reportable because it presents a threat to animals or humans in the state, he or she may issue an order to make the disease reportable within one or ten days.

The proposed rule requires that test samples for Brucellosis, Johne's disease, pseudorabies, Tuberculosis, chronic wasting disease, and viral hemorrhagic septicemia, be submitted to a laboratory approved by the department.

Wisconsin Certified Veterinarians

The current rule specifies requirements for a veterinarian to automatically become a Wisconsin certified veterinarian. It also specifies reasons for decertification. The proposed rule requires Wisconsin certified veterinarians to follow accreditation standards under 9 CFR 160-162 or risk suspension or revocation of Wisconsin certification. The proposed language clarifies the department's authority, thereby allowing the department to take swift action if a veterinarian does not follow accreditation standards. This authority is not new, but it will allow the department to be more responsive when action is needed.

Brucellosis Testing and Control

The current rule specifies Brucellosis testing and control requirements under bovine, farm-raised deer, and swine portions of the rule. The proposed rule creates a new, general section relating to Brucellosis testing and control that applies to *all* animals and deletes the Brucellosis provisions currently related to bovine, farm-raised deer, and swine.

Tuberculosis Testing and Control

The current rule lists most of the provisions relating to Tuberculosis testing and control in a general Tuberculosis testing and control section as it pertains to all animals. The current rule also lists Tuberculosis requirements under bovine and farm-raised deer portions of the rule. The proposed rule will consolidate all the Tuberculosis testing and control requirements into the general Tuberculosis testing and control section.

Certificate of Veterinary Inspection (CVI)

The current rule requires the number, species, breed, sex, and age of animals included in a shipment to be listed on the CVI. The proposed rule requires that the purpose of movement also be listed on the CVI.

The current rule requires the veterinarian that signed the CVI for imported animals to file copies with the department and the chief livestock health official in the state of origin within 7 days after movement. The proposed rule reflects federal requirements by requiring the veterinarian to file copies with the chief livestock health official in the state of origin within 7 calendar days of issuance and requires the chief livestock health official (rather than the veterinarian) to file the certificate with the department within 7 calendar days of receipt. If the state of origin does not have a chief livestock health official who submits certificates of veterinary inspection for a particular species, the veterinarian who signs the certificate must file copies with the department within 7 calendar days after issuance.

The current rule requires a Wisconsin certified veterinarian who issues a CVI for export or intrastate movement of Wisconsin animals to file copies with the department within 7 days after the export or intrastate movement. If the animals are being exported, the veterinarian must also file a copy of the CVI with the chief livestock health official of the state of destination. The proposed rule reflects federal requirements by requiring the veterinarian to file copies with the department within 7 calendar days after issuance. If the animals are being exported, the copies with the department (rather than the veterinarian) must file a copy of the CVI with the chief livestock health official of the state of destination.

Federally Approved Livestock Marketing Facilities

The current rule requires federally approved livestock marketing facilities to meet certain requirements in order to qualify as such a market. The proposed rule also requires these facilities to:

- Be licensed as a Class A animal market.
- Be medically separated.
- Test animals for specified diseases prior to import.

Intermediate Livestock Handling Facility Certification

The current rule specifies requirements to be approved as an intermediate livestock handling facility under bovine imports. The proposed rule moves these requirements to the general import section because these facilities may handle a variety of imported species, including bovine. The

proposed rule also specifies that the department will grant or deny an intermediate livestock handling facility certificate within 60 days after a complete application is filed and charge a nonrefundable fee of \$140 for the certificate. The certificate will expire June 30, annually. The proposed rule specifies the reasons for which a certificate may be denied, suspended, or revoked; allows the department to make certificates conditional; and requires that animals imported to a certified handling facility be tested for diseases specified under the rule prior to import.

Tuberculosis-Free Herd Certification

The current rule allows a herd of bovines, farm-raised deer, and goats to be certified as tuberculosis-free. The proposed rule clarifies that all commingled species must be of comparable tuberculosis status or risk suspension or revocation of certification.

Johne's Disease Certified Veterinarians

The current rule requires that veterinarians recertify for Johne's risk assessment or management plans (RAMPs) and Johne's vaccination every five years, and pay an initial and renewal fee of \$50. The proposed rule eliminates the renewal requirement and the fee for initial certification.

Bovine Identification

The current rule specifies slaughter identification requirements under Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10 that differ slightly from the requirements under Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 12. The proposed rule will make the provisions the same, including deleting the requirement of where a back tag must be placed.

Swine Slaughter Identification

The current rule requires a slaughtering establishment operator to apply (if the swine does not already have it) an official back tag, premises identification number ear tag, or other approved slaughter identification if the animal does not already have official identification. The following information must be recorded: The animal's identification, date of receipt, name and address of the person from whom the animal was received, and the swine's class.

The proposed rule will require a slaughtering establishment to apply identification to swine only if the animal does not pass the inspection process completed by state or federal inspectors or if the animal is tested for disease at the facility. Information must be recorded only if the animal is required to have identification applied.

The current rule requires that slaughtering establishments record the date identification was applied to the swine, if applicable, or a note that the swine already had identification upon arrival. The proposed rule no longer requires this information to be recorded.

Bovine Animal and Goat Imports

The current rule specifies bovine and goat import requirements. Except bovine animals and goats going directly to slaughter, no person may import a bovine animal or goat originating from a tuberculosis modified accredited state or a modified accredited zone in a state which has split multiple tuberculosis statuses (as determined by USDA) unless that person meets certain requirements.

One of those requirements is to obtain an import permit which will require the owner of a bovine animal or goat imported from a tuberculosis modified accredited state to have the animals tested for tuberculosis. The proposed rule clarifies that this provision pertains to owners of bovine animals and goats imported from a modified accredited zone as well.

Swine Disease Testing

Diseases to be tested. The current rule requires that swine be tested for Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome (PRRS) and the Swine Enteric Coronavirus Disease (SECD) within 90 days prior to movement into or within Wisconsin.

The proposed rule requires swine to be tested for Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea virus (PEDv) rather than SECD. Testing for SECD includes testing for the Porcine Deltacoronavirus (PDCoV), Transmissible Gastroenteritis (TGE), and PEDv. At the time the rule requirements were originally developed, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) required reporting of PEDv and PDCoV. However, recently, the USDA discontinued the required reporting of these diseases. Although no longer required to be reported, PEDv remains a devastating disease in swine, causing diarrhea and vomiting, and death of 50-100 percent of infected piglets. Thus, the proposed rule will require that swine continue to be tested for PEDv. While harmful, PDCoV and TGE are not nearly as damaging, so testing for these diseases will no longer be required. Since the current rule became effective, all of the SECD positive cases for which herd plans have been developed have been for weak positive for PDCoV. It has also been found that birds carry their own Delta coronaviruses that can interfere/cross-react with the swine tests. There is no cost effective or reasonable test for producers to differentiate between the avian and porcine viruses. The state veterinarian granted an order waiving requirements for PDCoV testing on March 27, 2018.

Number of swine tested in pooled samples. The current rule specifies requirements for pooled sample testing of swine for PRRS and SECD. Again, except for PEDv, swine will no longer be tested for the diseases that fall under SECD. Currently, if using the method of collecting a pooled sample of swine by hanging a cotton rope in a group of swine:

- Herds with less than 150 swine must have one pooled sample of swine collected and tested. The number of swine to be pooled for samples is determined by the owner in consultation with the herd veterinarian.
- Herds with 150 or more swine must have three pooled samples of at least five swine collected and tested.

The proposed rule maintains the testing requirements for herds with less than 150 swine, but changes the requirements for testing herds with 150 or more swine as follows:

- Herds with 150 to 299 swine must have two pooled samples of swine collected and tested.
- Herds with 300 or more swine must have three pooled samples of swine collected and tested.
- The number of swine to be pooled for samples in either scenario must be determined by the owner in consultation with the herd veterinarian.

Imports. The current rule requires that swine imported to Wisconsin, with some exceptions, test negative for PRRS and the SECD within 90 days prior to import. Swine that test positive or that are not tested may be imported to Wisconsin with an import permit. Upon arrival to Wisconsin, the swine imported and/or swine at the premises will be quarantined until a herd plan is developed by a Wisconsin certified, accredited, licensed veterinarian and approved by the department.

The proposed rule:

- Allows the herd plan to be developed by an accredited veterinarian in another state, but the plan must still be approved by the department.
- Exempts swine imported to a licensed animal market from having to test for PRRS and PEDv (formerly SECD) prior to import if all swine on the market premises the day of sale are shipped directly to slaughter. However, under this scenario, the swine must still be accompanied by a CVI unless going to a federally approved livestock market.
- Clarifies that swine imported directly to a federally approved livestock marketing facility do not have to get an import permit if there is a negative PRRS and PEDv (formerly SECD) test from the swine's herd of origin conducted within 90 days prior to movement.

Movement within Wisconsin. For intrastate movement, the current rule requires that documentation of negative PRRS and SECD test reports be made available to the department upon request. The proposed rule requires test reports of PRRS and PEDv to be made available at the time of sale as well as to the department upon request.

The current rule provides PRRS and SECD testing exemptions for swine moving intrastate. The proposed rule adds an exemption for commercial swine moving directly to an animal market if all the swine on the market premises the day of the sale are shipped directly to slaughter.

The current rule requires the exhibitor of commercial exhibition swine that originate from Wisconsin and return to Wisconsin after an exhibition in another state to notify the department before returning to Wisconsin. The proposed rule exempts exhibitors from providing this notification if the out-of-state exhibition organizer requires all participating swine to have originated from herds that have tested negative for PRRS and PEDv (formerly SECD) within 90 days prior to the event.

Also see swine information under "Fairs and Exhibitions."

Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA)

The current rule requires, with some exceptions, EIA testing when purchasing, selling, or transferring ownership of any equine animal. EIA testing is not required when:

- An equine animal is *consigned* to an animal dealer or market, or *sold* to an animal market operator for sale directly to slaughter, or
- The dealer/market has the animal tested for EIA within 10 days after the animal is received/purchased.

The provisions relating to markets are unclear, and the usage of the term *consigned* for animal dealers (and markets) versus *sold* for market operators is confusing. Also, markets are required to remove animals from the premises within 4 days of receipt (unless awaiting test results). Thus, it is not permissible for markets to wait 10 days to test an animal.

The proposed rule will clarify that EIA testing is not required when an equine animal is consigned or sold as follows:

- To an animal dealer, provided the animal dealer ships the animal directly to slaughter or has the animal tested for EIA within 10 days after its consignment or sale to the dealer, or arrival at the premises. Until the negative EIA test results are obtained, the dealer may not consign, sell, or move the animal from the premises or allow the animal to commingle with other animals.
- To an animal market, provided that the animal market operator ships the animal directly to slaughter or has the animal tested for EIA within 4 days after it arrives at the premises. Until the negative EIA test results are obtained, an equine animal may not leave the premises or be commingled with any other animal.

Equine Animal Imports

The current rule specifies equine import requirements. With some exceptions, no person may import an equine animal unless the animal has tested negative on an equine infectious anemia test (EIA) conducted within a certain timeframe.

One of those exceptions is to obtain an import permit which will allow the animal to be imported if samples are collected from the animal prior to import, and the animal is confined to the premises and not commingled upon import until negative test results are received. The proposed rule deletes this exception.

Poultry and Farm-Raised Game Birds

Enrollment requirements. The current rule requires poultry and eggs used for breeding, hatching, or exhibition to originate from a certified flock or be individually tested for certain diseases. A certified flock includes a flock enrolled in the national poultry improvement plan (NPIP), a Wisconsin tested flock, or a Wisconsin associate flock.

The proposed rule will no longer require persons to enroll their flock in a Wisconsin tested flock or Wisconsin associate flock with the department. Instead, a flock owner must provide

documentation of being a Wisconsin tested flock or Wisconsin associate flock by completing a department approved form that requires certain information to be listed. This new form will include the same information as the application currently used to apply for flock certification from the department. The Wisconsin tested flock form will be valid for one year from the date the disease testing was conducted. The Wisconsin associate flock form will be valid as long as all birds or eggs introduced to the flock are acquired directly from a Wisconsin tested flock, a Wisconsin associate flock, or a flock enrolled in the National Poultry Improvement Plan.

The proposed rule requires that poultry and eggs exhibited at fairs or poultry shows (rather than those used for breeding, hatching and exhibitions) to either: reside in a flock that is certified under NPIP; have a completed, valid Wisconsin tested flock form or Wisconsin associate flock form; or be an individual sexually mature bird tested for certain diseases.

The current rule requires a person who sells poultry or eggs from certified flocks (or individually tested birds) to provide a copy of the flock certification (or individual bird test) to the buyer and to report the sale to the department.

The proposed rule requires these persons to provide a copy of a current NPIP flock certification, a Wisconsin tested flock form, a Wisconsin associate flock form, or individual bird tests to the buyer and to maintain poultry sale information (rather than report the information to the department). Sale information must be maintained for at least 3 years and be made available to the department for inspection and copying upon request.

The current rule provides an alternative method for youth exhibiting poultry at county fairs. The proposed rule deletes this provision as it is rarely, if ever, used.

National Poultry Improvement Plan (NPIP). The current rule specifies that the department may certify a flock as U.S. pullorum typhoid clean or Mycoplasma gallisepticum clean, or both, according to standards set forth in the national poultry improvement plan.

The proposed rule deletes this provision as it is already described under the NPIP standards and does not need to be repeated in the rule.

The current rule establishes fees to be paid for enrollment in the program and is ambiguous as to when the \$40 fee or the \$80 fee applies. The proposed rule clarifies that the \$40 fee applies to a flock consisting of not more than 200 breeders, and the \$80 fee applies to a flock consisting of more than 1,000 breeders.

The proposed rule specifies that the department *may*, rather than *shall*, inspect enrolled flocks and take other actions as appropriate, based on plan requirements.

Poultry Imports. The current rule requires that live poultry, eggs used for hatching, farm-raised game birds, and farm-raised game bird eggs used for hatching that are imported to Wisconsin be accompanied by a federal bureau form VS 9-3 or a valid certificate of veterinary inspection. These documents must certify that the birds/eggs originate from flocks meeting specified

requirements or a plan that the department determines to be equivalent to Wisconsin requirements.

The proposed rule requires a person who imports poultry to keep the federal bureau form VS 9-3 or certificate of veterinarian inspection (whichever is applicable) for at least three years, and to make them available to the department for inspection and copying upon request.

The proposed rule replaces the term *originate* with *are directly imported from* to clarify that the document accompanying the birds/eggs must certify the most recent location from which the birds were imported and not the original location from which the birds were purchased. Also, the proposed rule eliminates the equivalent plan as determined by the department as it has never been used.

The current rule prohibits the import of turkey poults from hatcheries that hatch eggs, other than turkey eggs. It also prohibits the import of started poultry, other than turkey poults, from hatcheries that hatch turkey eggs. The proposed rule eliminates this prohibition as it cannot be justified based on disease risk.

Farm-Raised Deer

Farm-raised deer herd registration. The current rule specifies that no person may keep farmraised deer at any location in this state unless the department has issued a current annual farmraised deer herd registration certificate authorizing the person to keep farm-raised deer at that location. There is an exception for persons who own less than 50% of a farm-raised deer or group of farm-raised deer, if certain requirements are met. The proposed rule eliminates this exemption, as it is not being used. The proposed rule creates two new exemptions as follows:

- Licensed animal dealers who purchase farm-raised deer from a keeper whose herd is currently registered, and who move those deer directly to slaughter, are not required to obtain a farm-raised deer herd registration, if certain requirements are met. One such requirement mandates the dealer to keep certain records for that deer, including chronic wasting disease test results.
- Temporary farm-raised deer exhibits, if certain requirements are met.

When applying for a farm-raised deer herd registration certificate, an applicant must include a breakdown by species, age, and sex of the farm-raised deer in the herd. The proposed rule requires only the breakdown of species of deer to be included on the application while eliminating the breakdown by age and sex.

The proposed rule allows a farm-raised deer keeper to maintain ownership of a deer that is moved to another premises as long as the owner at the new premises has a valid farm-raised deer herd registration certificate, and movement requirements are met. In addition, certain records must be kept by both parties, as follows:

• A person who receives a farm-raised deer but does not own the deer must keep records relating to deer that enter the herd, leave the herd, escape, are killed, etc. He or she

must test the deer for CWD upon death and keep those CWD test results for at least 5 years.

• A person who provides a farm-raised deer to another premises (including a hunting ranch), but who retains ownership of the deer, must keep records relating to that deer leaving the herd and when that deer dies, is killed, or slaughtered. He or she must also keep the CWD test results for at least 5 years.

Prohibitions. The current rule prohibits persons keeping farm-raised deer from commingling deer with bovine animals on the same premises, building, enclosure, or vehicle unless all the animals go to slaughter. The proposed rule will allow these animals to be on the same premises without having to send them all to slaughter if one of the following requirements is met:

- The herds of the two species are medically separated.
- The herds of both species are certified by the department as accredited Tuberculosis-free.
- The herds of both species meet the testing requirements to become a TB qualified herd, and the animal to be moved has been classified negative to an official TB test that was conducted within 90 days prior to the date of movement for farm-raised deer and 60 days prior to the date of movement for bovine animals. If the herd test was administered and the herd qualified (within 90 days prior to the date of movement for farm-raised deer and 60 days prior to the date of movement for bovine animals), the animal to be moved does not require an additional individual test.

The proposed rule creates the following prohibitions:

- Prohibits a keeper from intentionally releasing farm-raised deer to the wild or taking no action to prevent escapes.
- Prohibits a keeper from feeding or baiting in a manner that may attract wild deer to the fence of the farm-raised deer herd.

Chronic Wasting Disease Testing (CWD). The current rule specifies requirements for farmraised deer to be tested for CWD. The proposed rule also requires a farm-raised deer keeper whose herd is enrolled in the CWD herd status program, and who moves a deer to another location owned by the keeper, to test that deer for CWD upon death.

The current rule requires a person who is qualified to collect a CWD test sample to label the test sample with the animal's official individual identification, or if the official individual identification is not available, with the back tag, official slaughter identification, or carcass tag. The proposed rule changes the term "carcass tag" to "dead tag" for consistency throughout the rule. It also requires that all identification tags and numbers from the animal accompany the test sample.

The current rule requires a person who is qualified to collect a CWD test sample to submit a CWD sample to a veterinarian within 2 business days. The proposed rule allows that submission to occur within 9 calendar days after the farm-raised deer dies or is killed or slaughtered. The proposed rule clarifies that a veterinarian who accepts the CWD sample must submit the sample to an approved laboratory within 10 days *of receipt*.

The current rule allows the department to disqualify a person from collecting CWD test samples, including a veterinarian. The proposed rule clarifies that if a veterinarian is disqualified from taking CWD test samples, he or she will not be allowed to accept or submit CWD test samples.

Farm-Raised Deer Identification. The current rule specifies farm-raised deer identification requirements under the "Farm-raised deer; chronic wasting disease herd status program" section of the rule. The proposed rule moves the identification requirements to the "Farm-raised deer; identification" section of the rule as it is a more logical area to look for identification requirements. The cross-references relating to farm-raised deer identification requirements throughout the rule have been changed to reference its new location.

The current rule requires farm-raised deer in herds enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd status program to have two individual identifications. One must be an official individual identification or individual identification must be either an official individual identification or individual identification unique to the herd.

Under federal law, a person may not apply an official individual identification to any animal that already has an official individual identification, except that: An "840" tag may be applied to an animal that has a national uniform ear tagging system ear tag; a brucellosis vaccination tag may be applied when vaccinating an animal for brucellosis (although this would not apply to farm-raised deer); or an official individual identification may be applied as approved by the department.

The proposed rule has been modified to meet federal requirements. Thus, whenever a farmraised deer is required to have two individual identifications, one must be an official individual identification, and the second must be an individual identification unique to the herd or an official individual identification that meets one of the following:

- It was applied prior to the effective date of this rule.
- An "840" tag was applied to an animal that has a national uniform ear tagging system ear tag.
- An official individual identification as approved by the department.

A person who applies additional official individual identification specified above must keep a record of the existing and newly applied official identification numbers.

CWD Herd Status Program Suspension. The current rule allows the department to suspend enrollment in the CWD herd status program under certain circumstances. The proposed rule adds to the list of reasons enrollment may be suspended: A farm-raised deer keeper who fails to renew (maintain) his or her farm-raised deer herd registration.

The current rule has a note that no live farm-raised deer may be moved from a herd while a suspension is in effect. The proposed rule includes that language as part of the official rule, rather than a note.

Certificates of Veterinary Inspection for Farm-Raised Deer. The current rule specifies that farm-raised deer may not be imported to or moved within Wisconsin (with some exceptions) without a certificate of veterinary inspection (CVI). The CVI must include the official individual identification of the farm-raised deer. The proposed rule requires the CVI to list two individual identifications of each farm-raised deer.

Fish Farms

The current rule refers to the federal bureau when identifying fish or fish eggs of a species susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia. The department is now responsible for identifying these species of fish or fish eggs. The proposed rule reflects this change and includes a note as to how to find the list of susceptible species.

To apply for a fish farm registration, the current rule requires a fish farm operator to submit an application to the department on a form provided by the department. The proposed rule, in accordance with Wis. Stat. § 29.733 (1h), requires a person applying for an initial fish farm registration certificate to first contact the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources to determine whether a natural waterbody permit must be obtained.

The current rule requires that, in most cases, fish imported to Wisconsin be accompanied by a health certificate and requires fish imported for certain purposes be accompanied by an import permit. The current rule implies that health certificates only have to be kept as records if they accompany an import permit. The proposed rule clarifies that all health certificates and import permits must be kept as records.

The current rule requires a person importing fish to a registered fish farm (unless the fish are imported from another fish farm) to have an import permit issued by the department. The proposed rule requires an import permit for this situation only when fish or fish eggs harvested from the wild are imported to a registered fish farm.

The current rule requires that a valid health certificate must accompany fish that are found to be susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) and that are moved from a type 3 fish farm to any other location in this state. The proposed rule allows movement of VHS susceptible fish between type 3 fish farms without a health certificate.

Dog and Cat Imports

The current rule specifies that a CVI is not necessary when a dog is imported for treatment or returning home from treatment if certain requirements are met. The proposed rule specifies that cats do not need a CVI for these purposes either.

Fairs and Exhibitions

The current rule specifies requirements relating to organizers of fairs and exhibitions. It also specifies requirements for a licensed veterinarian that more properly should be the responsibility of the organizer.

The proposed rule makes it clear that the organizer of a fair or exhibition (rather than a veterinarian) must ensure that all exhibitors comply with rule requirements, including:

- Movement and exhibition of animals, including documentation to show compliance with import requirements, disease testing and other health requirements of Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10.
- Exhibitor information and the official ID (or if not applicable, the identification) of the animals exhibited.

The current rule requires an exhibitor to provide appropriate and reliable documentation to show that the animals were lawfully imported or moved to the fair or exhibition, if requested by the organizer of the fair or exhibition. The proposed rule requires the exhibitor to provide this information regardless of whether it is requested by the organizer.

The current rule requires an exhibitor to identify the animals exhibited. The proposed rule requires the exhibitor to provide official individual identification, if required, of the animal exhibited.

The current rule provides PRRS and SECD testing exemptions for swine participating at a fair or exhibition if the swine go directly to slaughter from that fair or exhibition. The proposed rule adds a testing exemption for swine that participate at a fair or exhibition if moved directly to an animal market if all the swine on the market premises the day of the sale are shipped directly to slaughter. The proposed rule will require fair or exhibition organizers of these "terminal" events to keep records relating to the swine movement.

Enforcement

The current rule allows the department to issue an order quarantining animals for certain purposes. The proposed rule creates an additional purpose to "protect the health of animals located in this state and of humans residing in this state, relating to the importation, movement, and care of animals and their products, the disinfection of suspected localities and articles, and the disposition of animals, as the department determines are necessary."

The current rule requires proof of service by staff whenever a quarantine is issued to a person having custody or control of the quarantined animals. Proof of service must be an affidavit or certified mail return receipt. The proposed rule requires a certificate of personal service or certified mail return receipt (instead of an affidavit) as proof of service.

The proposed rule allows the department to issue an emergency quarantine order that will affect a particular geographical location, county, counties, or the entire state in the event of a national, state, or regional animal disease outbreak. As with current quarantines, persons adversely affected by this quarantine may request a hearing to review the quarantine order.

The current rule allows the department to issue a temporary animal hold order if there is reason to believe the animal has been illegally moved or exposed to a disease. The proposed rule deletes the section relating to animal hold orders as they are rarely used, and quarantines may be issued for the same purpose.

The current rule specifies prohibited conduct. The proposed rule adds that no person may:

- Misrepresent to any person the age of any animal.
- Falsify, remove, alter, or tamper with any official identification or official back tag, regardless of how current rule may be interpreted to allow such action.
- Fail or refuse to permit reasonable access by the department to a premises to review certain records, documents, and any other records required under this chapter.
- Prevent the department from taking records off site for copying if deemed necessary for efficiency.
- Apply official individual identification to any animal that already has an official individual identification except under certain specified circumstances.

The current rule also prohibits the commingling of different livestock species other than different species of fish, poultry, camelids, or ratites during transit. The proposed rule also allows the commingling of sheep and goats or different species of South American camelids (rather than camelids).

The current rule prohibits the commingling of bovine animals and farm-raised deer unless all the animals go to slaughter at some point. The proposed rule will allow bovine animals and farm-raised deer to be on the same premises and not be sent to slaughter, if certain conditions are met. See "Prohibitions" under "Farm-Raised Deer Herd Registration" for more information.

Animal Truckers, Markets and Dealers

The current rule lists requirements relating to animal truckers, markets, and dealers under both ch. ATCP 10 and ch. ATCP 12. The proposed rule deletes some of the duplicated requirements from ch. ATCP 10 and includes a note to clarify that animal trucker, market, and dealer requirements are under ch. ATCP 12.

The current rule requires animal market operators to remove animals from the animal market within 4 days after they enter the market. However, some markets have personal livestock on the market premises which do not have to be moved. The proposed rule requires that animal markets clearly separate market animals from any other livestock on the premises and clarifies that market animals must be removed from the market within 4 days after entry.

The current rule requires animal markets and dealers to comply with certain requirements. The proposed rule adds compliance with federal traceability requirements when moving cattle interstate or releasing cattle for interstate movement. This requirement is not new but will allow the department to be more responsive when action is needed.

The current rule specifies requirements for moving and testing swine for Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome (PRRS) and the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea virus (PEDv) under ch. ATCP 10. The proposed rule modifies ch. ATCP 12 to require animal market operators and

dealers to notify potential buyers of any swine that test positive for PRRS or the PEDv before selling those swine. Market operators and dealers must also keep records of PRRS and PEDv test results and herd plan numbers, when those swine are required to have them.

The current rule exempts a licensed meat establishment that buys livestock solely for slaughter at the meat establishment from getting an animal dealer license. The proposed rule changes the term, "licensed meat establishment", to "slaughtering establishment", as that is the correct terminology to be used.

The current rule prohibits animal market operators from delivering livestock or wild animals to an unlicensed animal trucker if the operator knows or has reason to know the animal trucker is unlicensed. The proposed rule also prohibits animal market operators from delivering to an unlicensed animal dealer.

The current rule prohibits animal truckers from causing or permitting different species of animals to be commingled on the same animal transport vehicle or enclosure. The proposed rule allows the commingling of different species of animals if the animals are of comparable size and do not pose a known disease threat to the other species.

The current rule lists general prohibitions for animal truckers, markets and dealers. The proposed rule prohibits a person from refusing to permit access to a premises or vehicle to an authorized agent of the department.

The current rule requires an animal trucker who receives any bovine or swine for sale or shipment to slaughter to immediately identify the animals with an official back tag and record the back tag number if the animal is not already identified. The proposed rule exempts an animal trucker from this requirement if the trucker: 1) Picks up bovine animals/swine from a farm premises and takes the bovine animal/swine directly to a slaughtering establishment, and 2) The bovine animals/swine are not commingled with animals picked up from other farms.

The current rule requires an animal dealer or market operator who receives swine to immediately record the official individual identification of that swine or to apply such identification if the swine does not already have it. The proposed rule exempts market swine from having to be identified with official individual identification unless shipment to slaughter does not occur. Market swine is defined as either a barrow which is a castrated boar, or a gilt which is a female that has not reproduced, that are sold to go directly to a slaughtering establishment.

The current rule requires an animal dealer or market operator who receives farm-raised deer to identify the deer with an official individual identification if it does not already have it. The proposed rule deletes this requirement as current rule requires any farm-raised deer that is moved to have two individual identifications (one of which must be official identification) before it may be moved. Thus, the animal dealer or market operator should never have to apply identification.

The current rule requires animal market operators, dealers, or truckers to keep a copy of any certificate of veterinary inspection that accompanied the animal. The proposed rule clarifies that the CVI must be kept if it was required to accompany the animal.

Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal statutes and regulations

The USDA administers federal regulations related to the interstate movement of animals, particularly with respect to certain major diseases. States regulate intrastate movement and imports into the state.

Federal CWD Herd Certification Program (HCP) requirements include official individual identification of animals, regular inventories, and CWD testing of cervids over 12 months of age that die. Interstate movement of cervids will be dependent on a state's participation in the program, maintaining compliance with program requirements, and having achieved herd certification status.

Federal traceability requirements establish minimum national official identification and documentation for the traceability of livestock moving interstate. These regulations specify approved forms of official identification and documentation for each species.

Public Hearings and Summary of Public Comments

Written comments were received during the economic impact analysis comment period from June 29, 2018, through August 29, 2018. The department held a public hearing in Eau Claire on December 10, 2018, in Appleton on December 12, 2018, and in Madison on December 18, 2018. Following these public hearings, the hearing record remained open until January 10, 2019 for additional written comments.

The department received four comments or registrations during the public hearings and 58 unique written comments. Comments broke down in the following categories:

One comment supported requiring brucellosis testing for breeding dogs entering Wisconsin from other states.

One comment requested eliminating the current requirement to submit brucellosis vaccination records to the department. ATCP 10.10, Wis. Admin. Code, requires a veterinarian to file a vaccination report with the department within 30 days after the veterinarian performs the vaccination in order for the animal to qualify as an official brucellosis vaccinate. This reporting is required by Wis. Stat. § 95.46 (2) and therefore cannot be changed as a part of this rule draft.

Comments Related to AZA and ZAA

Three comments requested that the department include Zoological Association of America (ZAA) accredited facilities in the exemptions that currently apply to Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) accredited facilities. There is currently one ZAA accredited facility and five AZA accredited facilities in Wisconsin. The tables below list the current exemptions for AZA accredited facilities under Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10, general information regarding the accreditation process of each organization, and the accreditation standards of each organization with regards to animal disease management.

Exemption	Additional Information
Wis. Admin. Code ss. ATCP 10.01 (37) and 10.87: excludes AZA accredited facilities from the definition of "exhibition" and the associated requirements.	"Exhibition" means an organized fair, swap meet, rodeo, trail ride, show, or other organized event at which animals owned by different persons are brought together from different premises and exhibited on the same premises. "Exhibition" does not include any of the following: (a) An animal market. (b) An exhibition operated by an institution accredited by the association of zoos and aquariums. (c) A wild animal exhibition operated pursuant to a permit from the Wisconsin
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.01 (42) excludes AZA accredited facilities from the definition of "farm-raised deer" and the associated requirements.	department of natural resources. A premises with animals owned by a single person would not be an exhibition. Farm-raised deer, and other cervids, are susceptible to tuberculosis and brucellosis, both of which are zoonotic diseases that can pass from animals to humans. Farm-raised deer, and other cervids, are also susceptible to chronic wasting disease (CWD). Wis. Stat. s. 95.55 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. 10
	Subchapter VII establish requirements relating to farm- raised deer. These requirements include, but are not limited to, registration, fencing requirements, CWD testing requirements, and requirements for movement.
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.56 (1) (b) exempts AZA accredited facilities from certificate of veterinary inspection requirements for in-state movement of farm- raised deer.	Farm-raised deer, and other cervids, are susceptible to tuberculosis and brucellosis, both of which are zoonotic diseases that can pass from animals to humans. Farm-raised deer, and other cervids, are also susceptible to chronic wasting disease (CWD).
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.82 (3) (b) exempts AZA accredited facilities from tuberculosis import testing requirements for exotic ruminants.	Tuberculosis is a zoonotic disease, which means it can pass from animals to humans and vice versa.
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.82 (4) (b) exempts AZA accredited facilities from brucellosis import testing requirements for exotic ruminants.	Brucellosis is a zoonotic disease, which means it can pass from animals to humans and vice versa.
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.84 (4) (b) exempts AZA accredited facilities from wild animal import prohibitions if the department issues a permit. (prairie dogs and the following rodents from Africa: tree squirrels, rope squirrels, dormice, Gambian giant pouched rat, brush-tailed porcupine, and striped mice)	In 2003, a shipment of tree squirrels, dormice, Gambian giant pouched rat, brush-tailed porcupine, and striped mice were imported to the US from Africa. Some of the animals were infected with monkeypox and passed the virus to prairie dogs while at an animal vendor. The prairie dogs were sold as pets prior to developing signs of infection. Monkeypox is a zoonotic disease, which means it can pass from animals to humans. There were 37 confirmed cases of monkeypox in humans after contact with the infected prairie dogs. The CDC advised potentially exposed individuals to get the smallpox vaccine. (https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/outbreak.ht ml)
Wis. Admin. Code s. ATCP 10.86 (3) exempts AZA accredited facilities from tuberculosis import testing requirements for elephants.	Tuberculosis is a zoonotic disease, which means it can pass from animals to humans and vice versa.

Table 1. Current DATCP Rule Exemptions for AZA Accredited Facilities

Accreditation	AZA	ZAA
Process	Quotations below are from:	Quotations below are from:
1100088	https://www.aza.org/becoming-accredited	http://zaa.org/accreditation
Initiating the	"Every candidate for accreditation fills out a	"Submit the completed ZAA Accreditation
accreditation	detailed questionnaire which includes copies	Application with required attachments and
process	of their policies, procedures, records, lists,	photographs."
	and reports."	
Accrediting	"AZA carefully selects the expert	ZAA has an accrediting committee to
body	Accreditation Commission members who	evaluate applications. The ZAA website does
	evaluate each zoo and aquarium. These experts are leaders in their fields and have	not indicate the number or types of members on the accrediting committee.
	many years of experience and education in	on the accrediting committee.
	zoo and aquarium operations, animal	
	management, and veterinary medicine. There	
	are twelve experts on the Accreditation	
	Commission."	
Accreditation	"After the Accreditation Commission studies	"Inspections are performed by the
inspection	the application, a team of inspectors visit the	accreditation inspection team of two of more
	zoo or aquarium in person. Each team	individuals. Re-accreditation of members in
	includes at least one veterinarian along with	good standing requires one or more
	animal and operations experts. The inspectors	inspectors. The site inspections will be
	spend several long days at the zoo or	conducted at the expense of the applicant.
	aquarium visiting every area, interviewing	The inspectors are chosen by the
	staff, checking records, and examining the	accreditation committee chair. The applicant
	physical facilities and the animal collection. The inspectors then write a detailed report	has the right of refusal for any inspector."
	about everything they saw and evaluated and	
	submit it to the Accreditation Commission."	
Accreditation	"The Accreditation Commission meets twice	"The accreditation committee will review the
approval	a year to consider all candidates for	application and site inspection. The applicant
	accreditation. They examine the application,	may be a). tabled and given a timeframe to
	the supporting documents submitted by the	correct deficiencies, b). denied, or c).
	zoo or aquarium, the inspection team's report,	approved as an accredited facility member.
	and any information and comments received	Once approved, the applicant will be
	from outside organizations and individuals.	submitted to the board of directors for
	The zoo or aquarium's senior officials must	approval with a pro simple majority vote."
	go to the Accreditation Commission's meeting to answer questions. Finally, the	
	Accreditation Commission decides whether	
	or not to grant accreditation. It doesn't matter	
	if an institution is new or was previously	
	accredited, standards are high and not every	
	candidate receives accreditation."	
Maintaining	"AZA-accredited zoos and aquariums are	"The accreditation status is valid for five
accreditation	constantly evolving and standards are	years. The facility must re-apply for
	continuously being raised. Each zoo or	accreditation and have a site inspection
	aquarium must keep up with these changes to	before their accreditation expires."
	remain AZA-accredited. And to prove it, they	
	must go through the entire accreditation	
	process every five years."	

Table 2. General Information Regarding the Accreditation Process

Table 3. Accreditation Standards Regarding Animal Disease Management

	AZA	ZAA
Accreditation	Quotations below are from:	Quotations below are from:
Standard	https://www.speakcdn.com/assets/2332/aza-	http://www.zaa.org/images/pages/misc/ZAA_
	accreditation-standards.pdf	Accreditation_Standards.pdf
Veterinary	"A full-time staff veterinarian is	The documented ZAA accreditation standards
coverage and	recommended. In cases where such is not	do not contain requirements for veterinary
inspections	necessary because of the number and/or	coverage or veterinary inspections.
	nature of the animals residing there, a	
	consulting/part-time veterinarian must be	
	under written contract to make at least twice	
	monthly inspections of the animals and to	
	respond as soon as possible to any	
	emergencies." (Section 2.1.1.) "So that	
	indications of disease, injury, or stress may be	
	dealt with promptly, veterinary coverage	
	must be available to the animals 24 hours a	
51	day, 7 days a week." (Section 2.1.2)	
Disease	"The veterinary care program must	The documented ZAA accreditation standards
prevention	emphasize disease prevention Preventative	do not contain requirements for disease
	medicine programs (vaccinations, TB testing, parasite exams, etc.) must be in force for all	testing, zoonotic disease training, or
	of the institution's animals and must be under	tuberculosis prevention.
	the direction of a qualified veterinarian."	
	(Section 2.0.2.)	
Disease	"Institutions should be aware of, and prepared	The documented ZAA accreditation standards
outbreak	for periodic disease outbreaks in wild or other	do not contain requirements for disease
response	domestic or exotic animal populations that	outbreak response or preparation.
	might affect the institution's animals (ex –	
	Avian Influenza, Eastern Equine Encephalitis	
	Virus, etc.). Plans should be developed that	
	outline steps to be taken to protect the	
	institution's animals in these situations."	
	(Section 2.0.3.).	
Quarantines	"The institution must have holding facilities	In regards to fish, "the institution must have
	or procedures for the quarantine of newly	holding facilities or procedures for the
	arrived animals and isolation facilities or	quarantine of newly arrived animals and
	procedures for the treatment of sick/injured	isolation facilities or procedures for the
	animals." (Section 2.7.1.) "Written, formal	treatment of sick/injured animals. Written,
	procedures for quarantine must be available and familiar to all paid and unpaid staff	formal procedures for quarantine must be available and familiar to all staff working
	working with quarantined animals." (Section	with quarantined animals." (Section IX. 7. a.
	2.7.2)	i.) The documented ZAA accreditation
	22)	standards do not contain requirements for the
		quarantine of other (non-fish) animals.
Animals used	"For animals used in offsite programs and for	"All wildlife that will be used for contact
offsite and in	educational purposes, the institution must	with the public shall have been evaluated by
programs	have adequate written protocols in place to	the exhibitor to insure compatibility with the
-	protect the rest of the animals at the	uses intended. All wildlife shall be exhibited
	institution from exposure to infectious	in a manner that prevents injuries to the
	agents To protect the health of the animals	public and the wildlife. The exhibitor shall
	at the institution, written protocols required	take reasonable sanitary precautions to
	above, and their implementation, must	minimize the possibility of disease or parasite
	include a veterinary risk assessment and	transmission which could adversely affect the
	veterinary approval." (Section 1.5.5.) "The	health or welfare of citizens or wildlife."

[]		
a p ((s	nstitution should design facilities, develop animal care protocols and present animals for public contact in ways that minimize this risk e.g., hand-washing or hand sanitizing stations and signage, where applicable, etc.)." Section 11.1.2.)	(Section III. 3. a.) The documented ZAA accreditation standards do not contain requirements for the veterinary oversight of animals at the facility or of animals moving from the facility for exhibition.
	Training and procedures must be in place	In regards to fish quarantines, "Precautions
-		must be taken to minimize the risk of
	egarding zoonotic diseasesDiseases that can be transmitted between animals and	
	numans (Zoonotic disease, zoonoses) present	zoonotic disease to personnel." (Section IX. 7. b. iii.) The documented ZAA accreditation
·	potential risk for paid and unpaid staff and	standards do not contain requirements for
	he visiting public. The institution should	disease testing, zoonotic disease training, or
	lesign facilities, develop animal care	tuberculosis prevention.
	protocols and present animals for public	tuberediosis prevention.
	contact in ways that minimize this risk (e.g.,	
	hand-washing or hand sanitizing stations and	
	signage, where applicable, etc.). Institutions	
	nust train appropriate paid and unpaid staff	
ii	n methods to prevent zoonotic disease."	
	Section 11.1.2.). "The institution must have	
	an occupational health and safety program	
	An effective occupational health and safety	
	brogram is based on hazard identification and	
	isk assessment. The nature of the program	
	will depend upon animal species, potential nazards, facility design, and workplace	
	activities. The extent and level of	
	participation (e.g. vaccinations, TB testing,	
	parasite exams, immunizations, personal	
	protective equipment, etc.) will vary	
d	lepending upon potential hazard exposure	
	and risk management." (Section 11.1.2.1.) "A	
	uberculin (TB) testing/surveillance program	
	nust be established for appropriate paid and	
	inpaid staff in order to assure the health of	
	both the paid and unpaid staff and the $\frac{1112}{12}$	
	nimals." (Section 11.1.3.) 'Perimeter fencing must be separate from all	"1. Facility requirements for Class I
•	exhibit fencing or other enclosures, and be of	animals b. The facility shall have a
	good quality and construction. All facilities	perimeter boundary, including access points,
0	nust be enclosed by a perimeter fence which	to be designed, constructed, and maintained
	s at least 8' in height or by a viable barrier.	to discourage unauthorized entry and so far as
Г	The fence must be constructed so that it	reasonably practical, as an aid to the
	protects the animals in the facility by	confinement of all animals within the
	estricting animals outside the facility and	perimeter of the institution. The perimeter
	inauthorized persons from going through it	boundary cannot also act as animal exhibit
	or under it and having contact with the	barrier and must be located at least 3 feet
	animals in the facility, and so that it can	from the primary enclosure. c. The facility
	unction as a secondary containment system for the animals in the facility There are rare	shall be bounded by a fence of not less than eight (8) feet in height, constructed of not less
	nstances where the terrain surrounding the	than 11 $1/2$ gauge chain link, or equivalent, to
	acility provides a viable barrier. The	prevent escape from the property of any
	Accreditation Commission will determine	wildlife that may escape the primary caging.
	what constitutes a "viable barrier" and must	2. Facility requirements for Class II
	approve a waiver. However, most facilities	animals b. The facility shall have a
n	nust be enclosed by a perimeter fence.	perimeter boundary, including access points,

Facilities located in rural areas and which are PPEQ-approved must meet special USDA standards for fencing. Institutions which are entirely enclosed within a building may be exempt from this requirement." (Section 11.8.1.).	to be designed, constructed, and maintained to discourage unauthorized entry and so far as reasonably practical, as an aid to the confinement of all animals within the perimeter of the institution. The perimeter boundary cannot also act as animal exhibit barrier and must be located at least 3 feet from the primary enclosure. c. The facility shall be bounded by a fence of not less than eight (8) feet in height, constructed of not less than 11 1/2 gauge chain link, or equivalent, or, as an alternative, a fence of not less than six (6) feet in height, with a 2-foot, 45 degree, inward angle overhang. The inward angle fencing and vertical fencing shall be constructed of 11 1/2 gauge chain link or equivalent. This fencing is to prevent escape from the property of any wildlife that may escape from primary caging. 3. Facilities maintaining Class III wildlife only: a. Facility shall meet same requirements as Class II facilities except that the perimeter fence may be 6 foot high with no overhang." (Section II.). The ZAA accreditation standards categorize farm-raised deer as class III
	II.). The ZAA accreditation standards categorize farm-raised deer as class III animals (Section I.).

The AZA accreditation standards include specific requirements for veterinary oversight and disease prevention and response. The department determined that the AZA accreditation standards provide sufficient requirements to prevent disease transmission and that maintaining the current exemptions for AZA accredited facilities would not pose a risk to public health or livestock commerce.

At this time, the documented ZAA accreditation standards do not contain requirements for the veterinary oversight of animals at the facility or of animals moving from the facility for exhibition. The ZAA accreditation standards do no reference disease testing, zoonotic disease training, or tuberculosis prevention. Facilities with farm-raised deer are required to have secondary containment fencing of only six feet in height. The department determined that current documented ZAA accreditation standards do not include sufficient requirements for veterinary oversight and disease prevention to warrant exempting ZAA accredited facilities from requirements under Wis. Admin. Code ch. ATCP 10.

Due to these findings, the department determined not to change current rule language regarding exemptions for AZA accredited facilities. This determination is consistent with Wis. Stat. § 169.01 (28), which defines a "public zoo or aquarium" as a zoo or aquarium that is operated by the state or by a city, village, or county, or that is an accredited member of the American Zoo and Aquarium Association.

Comments Related to Farm-Raised Deer Enhanced Fencing and Movement Restriction

Three comments and one registrant supported the farm-raised deer enhanced fencing requirements and county movement restrictions. All three comments cited the threat of CWD expansion as the reason for support. Two comments discussed the impact to Tribes of Wisconsin and the Great Lakes, and to traditional lifeways. One comment discussed the impact to deer hunting culture in smaller and northern communities.

Seventeen comments opposed movement restrictions affecting the exhibition of reindeer in unaffected counties. Reindeer owners commented that they keep and breed reindeer specifically for exhibition; therefore, being unable to move from an affected county to an unaffected county would be detrimental to their businesses. Other comments were from events coordinators and/or municipalities that host events where reindeer are present, primarily around Christmas time. The commenters noted that the restriction on movement would limit their ability to have reindeer on display, which in turn might reduce attendance at holiday events, thereby reducing revenues to local businesses.

Thirty-six comments opposed the enhanced fencing requirements. One of these expressed support of alternate types of enhanced fencing or barriers, but not the type of enhanced fencing proposed in the hearing draft. Eighteen comments opposed the county movement restrictions. Comments opposed to the enhanced fencing requirement and the movement restriction cited the following concepts:

- Economic Impact:
 - Enhanced fencing requirements would impose substantial, if not devastating, costs on farm-raised deer keepers.
 - The cost is not supported by any demonstrated benefit.
 - The cost of enhanced fencing, even electric fencing, would be devastating to farm-raised deer keepers.
 - $\circ\,$ The electric fence maybe the most affordable, but it will do nothing to stop fence-line contact.
 - Many farm-raised deer keepers would not be able to perform the labor themselves due to a variety of factors, which would add to the cost.
 - The state should assist in paying for the second fence as it will serve to protect captive herd from the wild, and because the rule is not based on clear scientific evidence.
- CWD Research:
 - There is no scientific evidence to support that the rule will have any impact on the spread of CWD among wild or captive deer.
 - Farmers have never observed any fence line contact between farm-raised deer and captive deer.
 - Enhanced fencing and restrictions on movement do not address the larger issue posed by baiting and feeding of deer in the wild or of wild deer carcasses on the landscape.
- Feasibility of Implementation:
 - The 6-inch electric fence requirement will not be feasible because of weeds and snow, and it serves no purpose, but should rather start at least 24 inches from the ground.

- The compliance date of 90 days after the effective date of the rule is not long enough. Two years may not be enough for some locations with extensive acreages and dense wooded or marsh terrain.
- Movement:
 - Entities that have been enrolled in the CWD herd status program and testing at 100% should be allowed to move deer in commerce without restriction.
 - Farm-raised deer from any area should be allowed to move directly to slaughter, regardless of where the slaughter facility is located.

In response to comments, the department determined to re-evaluate fencing requirements and movement restrictions, and has removed enhanced fencing requirements and county movement restrictions from this rule package.

Comments Related to Other Farm-Raised Deer Rule Draft Proposals

Six comments opposed changing the expiration date for farm-raised deer keeper registrations from March to August. Of these, four stated that they opposed the change because it would include newborn calves in the herd headcount and two stated that they opposed the change because August is a busier time of year for keepers. The department determined to remove the rule proposal from the final draft.

Four comments discussed the prohibition regarding feeding or baiting in a manner that may attract wild deer to the fence of the farm-raised deer keeper. One comment supported the prohibition. Three comments opposed the prohibition and expressed concerns about if neighbors were to place bait near the keeper's fence. The department determined to keep the proposed rule in the final rule draft. The prohibition is specific to farm-raised deer keepers and does not include actions taken by other persons outside of farm-raised deer premises.

Two comments discussed the prohibition regarding intentionally releasing farm-raised deer to the wild or taking no action to prevent escapes. One comment supported the prohibition and recommended rewording to require keepers to "take all necessary action" to prevent escapes. One comment opposed the prohibition and expressed concerns about weather events. The department determined to keep the proposed rule in the final rule draft.

Four comments opposed including deer slaughtered on the farm, provided that the department conducts an ante mortem inspection and post-mortem inspection, within the 25% CWD testing mandate. Reasons cited included concerns about scheduling, whether there would be an inspection cost, whether deer for the keeper's personal food would be included, and whether deer killed after sustaining an injury would be included. The department determined to remove the rule proposal from the final rule draft.

One comment supported requiring all identification tags and numbers to accompany CWD test samples and one comment opposed this requirement. The department determined to keep this requirement in the final rule draft, as it is necessary to ensure accuracy of CWD test sampling.

One comment, regarding the proposed rule to allow farm-raised deer to commingle with bovine animals without having to send them all to slaughter if requirements are met, expressed concerns that the practice would be overbearing to farmers. The department determined to keep the proposed rule in the final rule draft, as it allows more options for keepers than the current rule and does not increase the risk of disease spread.

One comment opposed requiring a keeper whose herd is enrolled in the CWD herd status program, and who moves a deer to another location owned by the keeper, to test that deer for CWD upon death in accordance with the testing required in ATCP 10.52 (1m) (a), Wis. Admin. Code. The department determined to keep the rule proposal in the final rule draft. Herds enrolled in the CWD herd status program may move deer in state and across state lines. Movement of deer is a high risk activity for disease spread, so it is critical to ensure appropriate testing for enrolled herds.

One comment opposed moving from a note to official rule that no live farm-raised deer may be moved from a herd while a suspension is in effect, and recommended allowing movement if animals leaving are tested at 100%. The department determined to keep the rule proposal in the final rule draft, as movement of animals while a suspension is in effect creates a higher risk for disease spread.

One comment opposed making it a prohibited activity to "prevent the department from taking records off site for copying if deemed necessary for efficiency," and recommended rewording to state that "the department may require copies of all necessary records." The department determined to keep the proposed rule language in the final draft, as it would not be deemed necessary for efficiency to remove records off site for copying if copies are supplied.

One comment expressed concerns about the submission of CWD samples to veterinarians and whether the keeper is responsible for the timing of a veterinarian submitting samples. The current and proposed rules delineate responsibilities for submitting samples by the collector and the veterinarian.

Comments Recommending Further Farm-Raised Deer Rulemaking

Two comments recommended further requirements for farm-raised deer keepers, including:

- Double fencing for all facilities
- Fence inspections annually and after severe weather events
- Specifications to keep fence-lines clear
- Alarms to indicate whether the fence has been breached or gates left open
- Maintaining fencing if CWD is detected until there is an appropriate remediation of the prion-contaminated environment
- All facilities to be enrolled in the CWD herd status program
- Testing 100% of dead captive cervids aged 5 months and over
- Herds with CWD positive tests be humanely depopulated in 30 days
- 100% of cervids sent to slaughter be tested for CWD
- Keeping cevid carcasses separate until CWD status is confirmed

- Carcasses that test positive for CWD be considered unfit for human consumption or animal feed
- Facilities maintain insurance to cover costs of depopulation and carcass disposal in the event CWD is detected
- Moratorium on the transport and import of live cervids and cervid biological materials until an effective live test is developed and approved
- Carcasses from CWD positive facilities be disposed of either within a clay-lined landfill or bio digestion
- Surfaces that come into contact with CWD-contaminated carcasses be cleaned in a manner shown to disinfect CWD prions and/or is permanently segregated

One comment recommended rectal biopsies before animals are sold to another farm or out of state and recommended incorporating genetic testing into the rule.

One comment recommended prohibiting import of cervids from other states.

One comment recommended developing an exit plan for farm-raised deer keepers willing to get out of business.

The department determined that further restrictions, requirements, or rulemaking related to farmraised deer keepers would not be added to this final rule draft. Recommendations submitted in comments may be further evaluated for consideration in future rule processes.

Additional Comments Regarding Brucellosis Canis and Heartworm

After the DATCP Board approval of the original final rule draft on July 10, 2019, the department received interest from members of the public regarding brucellosis canis and heartworm testing and treatment requirements for dogs imported into Wisconsin. As stated earlier, the department received one comment in support of brucellosis canis testing requirements during the public hearing comment period.

In response to public interest, the department accepted additional comments from July 24, 2019, through August 15, 2019. Fifty-four (54) additional comments supported the requirements. One thousand seven hundred and ninty-nine (1,799) comments opposed the requirements. Ten comments did not state a position. Four comments stated a position that supported some of the requirements and opposed some of the requirements:

- One comment supported requiring testing prior to import and opposed requiring treatment prior to import.
- One comment supported the requirements regarding heartworm and opposed the requirements regarding brucellosis canis.
- One comment supported heartworm testing requirements for dogs six months and older, supported brucellosis canis testing requirements for international imports, and opposed brucellosis canis testing requirements for interstate imports.
- One comment supported requirements for most international imports and opposed requirements for interstate imports and Canadian imports.

Comments supporting the requirements cited the following concepts:

- Disease Risk:
 - Protecting the health of animals in Wisconsin, including pets, breeding dogs, and livestock
 - Protecting human health, in the case of brucellosis
 - o Heartworm and brucellosis are serious diseases
 - Disease transmission is a serious risk
 - Brucellosis is more common in dogs brought up from the South because there are more sexually intact stray and loose dogs in the South
- Cost and Feasibility:
 - Testing is not a significant cost
 - The timing for testing is not unreasonable
- Rescues and Adoption:
 - Testing would ensure that dogs adopted to Wisconsin families are healthy
 - Some commenters had unknowingly adopted a heartworm positive dog and felt it should have been tested prior to adoption
 - Heartworm is difficult to treat and expensive to treat
 - The person adopting the dog may not be able to afford the treatment. If the person does not know that the dog they are adopting has heartworm, and cannot afford the treatment, he/she will likely have to surrender the dog, or worse, might abandon the dog
 - Some rescues are posting misinformation about heartworm and brucellosis
 - Some rescues are concerned about profits more than the care of animals
 - It should not be the mission of rescues to be the sole provider of dogs in the state
 - Impulse adoption events by some rescues create a cyclical pattern of abandoned animals
- Further Recommendations:
 - Screening for additional diseases
 - Quarantine of rescue dogs for a set duration to ensure that the dog does not have other diseases
 - Evaluation of dogs prior to adoption, including animal behavior and identification

Comments opposing the requirements cited the following concepts:

- Disease Risk:
 - \circ The diseases are not serious enough to justify the cost to rescue organizations
 - The risk of disease transmission is low
 - Heartworm cannot be transmitted to humans
 - Heartworm is not contagious between dogs
 - Heartworm is treatable
 - Brucellosis is rare
 - Brucellosis is not life threatening
 - Brucellosis is spread primarily through sexual contact and spaying/neutering prevents the spread of brucellosis
 - Brucellosis is unlikely to transmit from a dog to a human
 - The tests have high error rates
 - The brucellosis test has a 60% false positive rate

- Cost and Feasibility:
 - Testing is a significant cost
 - The timing for testing is not feasible
- Rescues and Adoption:
 - These requirements would increase the number of dogs euthanized in other states because:
 - The rescue or shelter would not be able to afford the test
 - The rescue or shelter would not be able to afford the treatment in the case of positive test results
 - Dogs with a positive or a false positive test result may be euthanized
 - Shelters are overcrowded
 - Shelter overcrowding increases during natural disasters
 - Some commenters had adopted a heartworm positive dog and felt it that if these requirements had been in place the dog would have been euthanized in its state of origin
 - Humane societies are experts in the field
 - Stated that they trust the judgements of humane societies
 - Humane societies test for heartworm and brucellosis before they adopt animals out
 - The tests should not prevent animals from entering the state to be tested in Wisconsin and treated in Wisconsin
 - These requirements would cause rescue organizations to transport dogs to other states, rather than to Wisconsin, which would decrease the number of dogs available for adoption in Wisconsin
 - \circ The rule would benefit breeders by reducing the number of rescue dogs in Wisconsin
 - $\circ\,$ These requirements would increase the use of substandard organizations and illegal imports

In response to comments, and due to the timeline for the expiration of the statement of scope on Feburary 4, 2020, the department determined to remove changes regarding brucellosis canis and heartworm testing and treatment and to submit a revised final rule draft to the DATCP Board for approval.

Response to Clearinghouse Comments

DATCP modified the final draft rule to address all of the Rules Clearinghouse comments, except for comments 2. b., c., and d. and 5. a. and c., which are no longer applicable as the proposed changes have been removed from the final draft in response to public comments.

Comparison with Rules in Adjacent States

Surrounding state animal health programs are comparable to those in Wisconsin. Programs for historically important diseases, such as tuberculosis, brucellosis, and CWD in other Midwest states, are similar to Wisconsin, as all are based on well-established federal standards.

Summary of Factual Data and Analytical Methodologies

This proposed rule makes minor, technical changes based on the Division's review and use of the rule and does not depend on any complex analysis of data.

Analysis and Supporting Documents Used to Determine Effect on Small Business or in Preparation of an Economic Impact Analysis

The majority of these proposed changes make requirements throughout the rule consistent.

Effect on Small Business

The majority of these rule modifications serve to re-organize the contents, to reflect federal requirements, or to make purely technical changes that have no fiscal effect. The rule modifications that may have an economic impact on small business and the entities that may be affected are as follows:

Animal Health Licensees (Medical Separation)

Upon the effective date of this rule, any person licensed by the division of animal health who wishes to have medical separation of species on their premises will pay \$400 for each day (or portion of a day) needed to complete the inspection by the department. Most medical separation inspections are completed within one day. However, the time needed to complete an inspection may vary depending on the number of acres and terrain to be inspected. It is unknown how many entities licensed by the division will request medical separation of their premises.

Currently there are 31 farm-raised deer herds and 3 fish farms that are medically separated. No inspection is required for renewal of an existing license if the department has previously inspected the premises, and there have been no changes. Thus, there will be no fiscal effect to licensees whose premises are currently medically separated.

Owners of Intermediate Livestock Handling Facilities

Upon the effective date of this rule, an entity that imports any livestock (not just bovine) may request certification to become an intermediate livestock handling facility and pay an annual fee of \$140. Currently, the department has approved one intermediate livestock handling facility in Wisconsin. This facility will be charged \$140 annually for certification as the review process for certification is extensive and there is continuous review of permits and monitoring of the facility throughout the year.

Johne's Disease Certified Veterinarians

Upon the effective date of this rule, veterinarians will no longer be required to recertify, after having been initially certified, for Johne's risk assessment or management plans (RAMPs) and Johne's vaccination. These veterinarians will no longer have to pay an initial fee of \$50 for these certifications. This proposal is anticipated to affect approximately 460 veterinarians.

Swine Disease Testing

Upon the effective date of this rule, swine owners and veterinarians will continue to be required to test swine for Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome (PRRS) and Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea virus (PEDv) within 90 days prior to movement. However, swine will no longer have to be tested for other diseases that fall under the Swine Enteric Coronavirus Disease (SECD) which includes the Porcine Delta Coronavirus (PDCoV) and Transmissible Gastroenteritis (TGE).

Testing costs will likely be less because currently a multiplex test must be used to screen for PEDv, PDCoV, and TGE. The proposed rule will require only a test for PEDv, so a multiplex test will no longer be necessary. The cost difference between requiring the use of a multiplex versus a single PEDv test is unknown. Also, costs relating to the development of herd plans for swine that test positive for PDCoV will decline. The costs associated with developing a herd plan will vary greatly depending on the location of the swine herd within the state, the type of farm operation, the number of swine in the herd, the amount of time it takes to write the plan, and veterinarian fees. Thus, these costs are indeterminate.

Since the time that the rule became effective on February 1, 2018, 32 herd plans have been developed by veterinarians. Of that total, 16 plans were developed because of PRRS positive swine, and 6 plans were developed because swine were not tested or were anticipating movement. The 10 remaining herd plans were developed because of SECD positive cases. All were due to weak positives for PDCoV. Thus far, the department has not received notice of a positive PEDv herd.

The pigs that have tested positive for PDCoV were not ill and had not shown clinical signs, according to the private practitioners who were involved. It has been found that birds carry their own Delta Corona viruses that can interfere/cross-react with the swine tests. There is not a cost effective or reasonable test that would enable producers to differentiate between the avian and porcine viruses. While destructive, PDCoV is not as devastating as PEDv.

Poultry Producers

Upon the effective date of this rule, only poultry and eggs exhibited at fairs or poultry shows (rather than those used for breeding, hatching, and exhibitions such as egg swap meets) must be acquired directly from a certified flock (namely a flock enrolled in the national poultry improvement plan, a Wisconsin tested flock, or a Wisconsin associate flock) or be an individual bird tested for certain diseases.

The antigen used to conduct individual bird testing costs \$200. One bottle of antigen can test up to 1,000 birds. The cost is the same whether testing one bird or 1,000 birds. The proposed rule will reduce or eliminate testing costs for hundreds of poultry producers who attend swap meets or breed or hatch birds.

Farm-Raised Deer Keepers

Farm-Raised Deer and Bovine Animals on the Same Premises. Upon the effective date of this rule, there will be options to allow farm-raised deer and bovine animals to be kept on the same premises without having to send them all to slaughter. Any costs associated with these options are voluntary as the owner of the premises may choose not to keep these two species on the same premises and the owner who chooses to keep both species on the premises may send all to slaughter. For those who choose to move these animals to a place other than slaughter, the rule provides the following options:

- The herds of both species are medically separated. Costs related to medical separation are discussed above.
- The herds of both species are certified by the department as accredited Tuberculosis-free. Tuberculosis-free certification costs include:
 - For farm-raised deer, there will be no additional cost as currently deer must meet Tuberculosis testing requirements (in addition to other requirements) prior to movement.
 - For a herd of bovine animals, \$100 for a 2-year Tuberculosis-free certification. All animals in the herd must be tested for Tuberculosis every 2 years. The cost to conduct a whole-herd test will vary depending on a veterinarian's fee, location of the herd, and the number of animals to be tested. Department staff contacted 4 veterinarians in different areas of the state regarding fees charged to conduct Tuberculosis testing. Fees varied greatly in amount and structure. For instance, one clinic charges \$140 per hour regardless of the number of animals to be tested, another charges a \$32 trip fee and \$4 per head of cattle, while other providers varied on the amount charged per trip and the amount charged per head.
- The herds of both species meet the testing requirements to become a Tuberculosisqualified herd, and the animal to be moved has been classified negative to an official Tuberculosis test that was conducted prior to the date of movement (90 days for farmraised deer and 60 days for bovine animals).

Herds do not have to be certified as Tuberculosis-qualified, but they must meet testing requirements to become a Tuberculosis-qualified herd. Whole herd testing is effective for 365 days. An individual Tuberculosis test must be conducted for the animal that is leaving the herd unless the herd test was conducted prior to the date of movement (within 90 days for farm-raised deer, and 60 days for bovine). Tuberculosis-qualified costs include:

- For farm-raised deer, there will be no additional cost as currently deer must meet Tuberculosis testing requirements (in addition to other requirements) prior to movement.
- For a herd of bovine animals, all animals in the herd must be tested. The cost to conduct a whole-herd test will vary depending on a veterinarian's fee, location of the herd, and the number of animals to be tested. The cost for a Tuberculosis test to be conducted for an individual bovine animal will also vary depending on when

the whole-herd test was conducted, the veterinarian's fee and location of the herd. As indicated above, costs for Tuberculosis testing can vary widely.

Fairs and Exhibitions

Upon the effective date of this rule, fairs and exhibitions will be responsible for checking exhibitor information rather than hired veterinarians. This will most likely reduce costs to fairs and exhibitions as their staff may now check for exhibitor and movement information, rather than paying a veterinarian to do so.

It is not known how much fairs or exhibitions pay for veterinarians nor how much time veterinarians spend on checking this information on behalf of fairs or exhibitions. Thus, these anticipated cost savings to fairs and exhibitions are indeterminate.

Environmental Impact

This rule does not have an environmental impact.

Standards Incorporated by Reference

None.

DATCP Contact

Darlene Konkle, DVM, MS, DACVIM State Veterinarian, Division of Animal Health Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection P. O. Box 8911 Madison, WI 53708-8911 (608) 224-4902 Darlene.Konkle@wisconsin.gov

50 SECTION 1. ATCP 10.01 (5) is amended to read:

51 ATCP 10.01 (5) "Animal market" means any premises that are open to the public for the purpose

52 of trading in livestock or wild animals, and that have facilities to keep, feed, and water livestock

53 or wild animals prior to sale, that is required to be licensed under s. ATCP 12.02 (1).

- 54 SECTION 2. ATCP 10.01 (60) to (62), (90), and (105) (d) and Note are amended to
- 55 read:

_

ATCP 10.01 (60) "Keep farm-raised deer" means to own, rent, or lease, or serve as the
 custodian of farm-raised deer.

58 (61) "Keeper of farm-raised deer" or "farm-raised deer keeper" means a person who
59 keeps farm-raised deer.

60 (62) "Livestock" means bovine animals, equine animals, goats, poultry, sheep, swine
61 other than wild hogs, farm-raised deer, farm-raised game birds, <u>South American</u> camelids,
62 ratites, and fish.

(90) "Slaughtering establishment" means a facility to slaughter animals that is licensed
and inspected by the department, or that is subject to inspection by USDA. "Slaughtering
establishment" includes all premises used in connection with the slaughter operation including an
approved intermediate livestock handling facility <u>approved under s. ATCP 10.07 (5)</u>.

67 (105) (d) A post axillary tuberculosis test for exotic ruminants or South American
68 camelids.

Note: Under s. ATCP 10.82 (3), the department must approve tuberculosis tests used for
 different species of exotic ruminants.

71 **SECTION 3.** ATCP 10.01 (112m) is created to read:

ATCP 10.01 (112m) "Wild deer disease control area" means a CWD-affected area designated by the department of natural resources under ch. NR 10 or other disease area designated by the department of natural resources or the department affecting wild cervid.

75 **SECTION 4.** ATCP 10.025 is created to read:

ATCP 10.025 Medical separation. (1) Any person requesting medical separation for premises under this chapter, including ss. ATCP 10.07 (4) (a) 5., 10.46 (1) (f), (5) (c) and (d),

35

and 10.61 (5m), shall pay a nonrefundable fee of \$400 for each day, or portion of a day, needed
to complete the inspection.

80 (2) The fee under sub. (1) shall be paid before the department conducts the inspection and
81 prior to being eligible for any registration, license or certification issued under this chapter.

(3) No inspection is required for the renewal of an existing medically separated premises
if the department has previously inspected the premises for medical separation and there have
been no changes in registration, licensure, certification, ownership or use of premises.

85 **SECTION 5.** ATCP 10.03 (3) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.03 (3) A person may make a report under sub. (1) or (2) by telephone, by email, by fax, or by any other effective means of communication that ensures the report will reach the department within the applicable time limit set forth in this section. The reported information shall include <u>official individual identification</u>, if required under this chapter, the species, age, sex, and breed of the animal possibly infected with the disease, the address of the farm, and the premises code, if any. If the report is not initially in writing, the person shall confirm the report in writing by mail, e-mail, or fax within 10 days.

93 SECTION 6. ATCP 10.03 (7) is created to read:

ATCP 10.03 (7) If the state veterinarian determines that a new disease is reportable under sub. (1) or (2) because it presents a threat to animals or humans residing in this state, he or she may issue an order to make the disease reportable within one or ten days until the new disease is published under ch. ATCP 10 Appendix A or B.

98 SECTION 7. ATCP 10.04 (1) (title) is amended to read:

99 ATCP 10.04 (1) (title) DUTY TO SUBMIT SAMPLES AND REPORT TEST RESULTS.

SECTION 8. ATCP 10.04 (1) (am) is created to read:

36

101 ATCP 10.04 (1) (am) A person under par. (a) shall submit test samples to a laboratory 102 approved by the department.

103 SECTION 9. ATCP 10.04 (1) (e) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.04 (1) (e) A test result report under this subsection shall include the official individual identification of the animal to which the test result pertains. If the animal has no official individual identification, the person under par. (a) who collected <u>collects</u> the test sample shall identify the animal with an <u>apply</u> an official individual identification <u>to any livestock</u>, other <u>than fish</u>, or another appropriate identifier shall be used for other non-livestock animals, prior to collecting the test sample.

110 SECTION 10. ATCP 10.045 (3) is created to read:

ATCP 10.045 (3) (a) A person may not apply an official individual identification to any
animal that already has an official individual identification, except as follows:

1. An "840" tag may be applied to an animal that has a national uniform ear taggingsystem ear tag under s. ATCP 10.01 (70) (a).

115 2. A brucellosis vaccination tag may be applied when vaccinating an animal under s.116 ATCP 10.10.

117 3. An official individual identification as approved by the department.

(b) A person that applies identification specified under this subsection shall keep a record
of the existing and newly applied official identification numbers and the information under
subds. 1. and 2.

SECTION 11. ATCP 10.05 (1) (intro.) and (2) (a) 3. are is amended to read:

122 ATCP 10.05 (1) AUTOMATIC CERTIFICATION. (intro.) A veterinarian certified

under this section shall follow accreditation standards under 9 CFR 160 to 162 and is

automatically certified as a Wisconsin certified veterinarian, without any action by thedepartment, if all the following apply:

126 (2) (a) 3. The department suspends or revokes the certification for cause, including

127 <u>violations under 9 CFR 160 to 162</u>.

128 SECTION 12. ATCP 10.052 is created to read:

129 ATCP 10.052 Brucellosis testing and control. (1) WHO MAY COLLECT TEST

SAMPLES. A person who collects a brucellosis test sample, for purposes of this chapter, ch.ATCP 12 or ch. ATCP 16, shall be one of the following:

(a) An accredited veterinarian. If the veterinarian collects the sample in this state, theveterinarian shall also be a Wisconsin certified veterinarian.

(b) An authorized employee or agent of the department or the federal bureau.

(c) A person who collects samples at a slaughtering establishment under the supervisionof the department or the federal bureau.

(2) TEST PROCEDURE. A person who collects a brucellosis test sample under this
chapter shall comply with requirements in the brucellosis uniform methods and rules that apply
to that animal species, when applicable. The person shall submit the sample to a private, state or
federal laboratory that the department or federal bureau has approved to conduct brucellosis
tests.

(3) VETERINARIAN TO REPORT. A veterinarian who collects a brucellosis test
sample from an animal that resides in this state shall report the test result to the department and
the animal owner according to s. ATCP 10.04 (1).

145 Note: A test report must include the animal's official individual identification, if
146 required under this chapter. If, at the time of testing, a livestock animal does not have official

individual identification, the person collecting the test sample must apply the official individual
identification to the animal prior to collecting the test sample. If, at the time of testing, animals
other than livestock do not have proper identification, the person collecting the test sample must
apply a proper identifier prior to collecting the test sample. See s. ATCP 10.04 (1) (e).

(4) CLASSIFICATION. Upon receiving a brucellosis test result, the department or the federal bureau shall classify the tested animal as negative, suspect, or reactor, according to the brucellosis uniform methods and rules, where applicable. For species not covered by the brucellosis uniform methods and rules, the department and federal bureau shall determine animal classification. The department or the federal bureau may use supplemental brucellosis tests to confirm test results, and to evaluate whether animals may be infected with brucellosis.

(5) REACTORS IN LIVESTOCK. (a) Within 15 days after the department or the
federal bureau classifies an animal as a brucellosis reactor under sub. (4), the department shall
quarantine the animal and require other testing or measures to control or eradicate the disease.
(b) The department may extend a deadline under par. (a) for good cause, but may not

161 extend a deadline by more than 15 days without federal bureau approval.

(c) An owner of an animal raised primarily to produce food for human consumption may request an indemnity under s. 95.26 (7), Stats., for a brucellosis reactor slaughtered under par. (a). The animal owner shall file the request with the department, on a form provided by the department. An animal owner does not qualify for an indemnity if the owner fails to comply with the requirements specified in the quarantine issued by the department.

167 (6) TEST POSITIVE ANIMAL; MOVEMENT RESTRICTED. No person may sell or
168 move an animal that tests positive on any brucellosis test until one of the following occurs:
169 (a) The department determines that the animal is not a brucellosis suspect or reactor.

- (b) The animal is classified as a brucellosis suspect or reactor, and appropriate action istaken as determined by the department.
- 172 SECTION 13. ATCP 10.055 (3) Note is amended to read:
- 173 ATCP 10.055 (3) Note: A test report must include the animal's official individual
- 174 identification. If the animal has no official individual identification, the person collecting the
- 175 test sample must identify the animal with an official individual identification it must be applied
- 176 prior to collecting the test sample. See s. ATCP 10.04 (1) (e).
- 177 SECTION 14. ATCP 10.055 (5) and (6) are created to read:
- 178 ATCP 10.055 (5) TEST POSITIVE ANIMAL; FURTHER TESTING AND

179 CLASSIFICATION. Whenever the department receives a positive tuberculosis test report under 180 sub. (3), the department or the federal bureau shall conduct confirmatory testing to determine 181 whether the animal is a tuberculosis suspect or reactor. The department or the federal bureau 182 shall test and classify animals according to the tuberculosis uniform methods and rules for the 183 species of animal tested, when applicable. For species that are not covered by the tuberculosis 184 uniform methods and rules, the department and federal bureau shall determine animal 185 classification.

(6) TUBERCULOSIS REACTORS. (a) Within 15 days after the department or the
federal bureau classifies an animal as a tuberculosis reactor, the department shall quarantine the
animal and require additional testing or measures to control or eradicate the disease.

- (b) The department may extend a deadline under par. (a) for good cause, but may notextend a deadline under par. (a) by more than 15 days without federal bureau approval.
- (c) An owner of an animal raised primarily to produce food for human consumption may
 request an indemnity under s. 95.25 (5), Stats., for a tuberculosis reactor slaughtered under par.

(a). The animal owner shall file the request with the department, on a form provided by the
department. An animal owner does not qualify for an indemnity if the owner fails to comply
with pars. (a) and (b).

196 Note: The tuberculosis uniform methods and rules apply to cattle, bison and farm-raised 197 deer and are on file with the department and the legislative reference bureau. Copies may be

198 obtained from the USDA website at:

199 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth. Copies may also be obtained by

200 written request made to the following address:

201 Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

202 Division of Animal Health

203 P.O. Box 8911

204 Madison, WI 53708-8911

205 **SECTION 15.** ATCP 10.06 (1) (c) 10. is amended to read:

ATCP 10.06 (1) (c) 10. An animal that is imported directly to a veterinary facility for treatment, and returned directly to its state place of origin immediately after treatment, with no change in ownership.

209 **SECTION 16.** ATCP 10.06 (3) (a) Note, (4) (a), and (6) (a), (b) and (c) Note are 210 amended to read:

ATCP 10.06 (3) (a) Note: A certificate of veterinary inspection issued in another state for fish imported to this state must be issued on a form provided by, or approved by, the department. See s. ATCP 10.65 (1). A certificate of veterinary inspection issued for intrastate movement from a licensed dog seller or dog facility operator must be on a form issued <u>or</u> <u>approved</u> by the department. See s. ATCP 16.16.

(4) (a) The number, species, breed, sex, and age, and purpose of movement of the
animals included in the shipment.

(6) (a) If this chapter requires a certificate of veterinary inspection to accompany animals 218 219 imported to this state, the veterinarian who signs the certificate shall also file copies with the department and the chief livestock health official in the state of origin. The veterinarian shall file 220 the copies within 7 calendar days after issuance. The chief livestock health official shall file the 221 certificate with the department within 7 calendar days of receipt. If the state of origin does not 222 have a chief livestock health official that submits certificates of veterinary inspection for a 223 particular species, the veterinarian who signs the certificate shall file copies with the department 224 within 7 calendar days after issuance. 225

(b) Whenever a Wisconsin certified veterinarian issues a <u>an interstate</u> certificate of
veterinary inspection for export or intrastate movement of Wisconsin animals, the veterinarian
shall file a copy of the certificate with the department within 7 <u>calendar</u> days after the export or
intrastate movement <u>issuance</u>. If the animals are being exported, the veterinarian <u>department</u>
shall also file a copy of the certificate with the chief livestock health official of the state of
destination within 7 calendar days after receipt.

(c) Note: Intrastate certificates of veterinary inspection, including those issued under s.
 ATCP 16.16 (dog sales; certificate of veterinary inspection) are not required to be filed with the
 department.

235 **SECTION 17.** ATCP 10.07 (4) (a) 1. is amended to read:

ATCP 10.07 (4) (a) 1. The animal market is licensed <u>as a Class A animal market</u> under s.
ATCP 12.02.

SECTION 18. ATCP 10.07 (4) (a) 5. and (bn) are created to read:

ATCP 10.07 (4) (a) 5. The animal market is medically separated, meeting all of the
following requirements:

a. Fencing and facilities are adequate to maintain at least 30 feet of separation betweenthe animal market and other livestock facilities at all times.

b. Bio-security procedures, including procedures to prevent the commingling of animal
species, effectively prevent disease transmission between animals.

c. The department finds that the medical separation complies with this subdivision based
on an inspection under s. ATCP 10.025. For each inspection under this subparagraph, the
registrant shall pay the fee required under s. ATCP 10.025.

(bn) Animals imported to a federally approved livestock marketing facility shall be testedfor diseases specified under this chapter prior to import.

250 SECTION 19. ATCP 10.07 (5) is created to read:

251 ATCP 10.07 (5) INTERMEDIATE LIVESTOCK HANDLING FACILITY

252 CERTIFICATION; FACILITY APPROVAL; MOVEMENT PERMIT. (a) *Definition*. In this 253 subsection, "shipment" means one or more truckloads of animals from the same source premises 254 which are transported on the same day for delivery to the same intermediate handling facility 255 prior to slaughter.

(b) *Facility certification required*. The department may issue a certificate designating a facility as an approved intermediate livestock handling facility for purposes of this section. A certificate expires June 30 annually. No facility may be certified as an intermediate livestock handling facility unless the operator of the facility holds an agreement with the federal bureau for a livestock facility at that location for handling livestock in interstate commerce pursuant to 9 CFR 71, 75, 78, 79, and 85 (January, 2011).

262	(c) Facility certification application. 1. To obtain certification as an intermediate
263	livestock handling facility, a facility operator shall submit an application on a form provided by
264	the department.
265	2. The application shall identify all of the following information relating to the facility to
266	be approved:
267	a. The location of the facility by street address and county, or if the address is not
268	available, by county, town, and section.
269	b. A copy of the agreement with the federal bureau under par. (b).
270	c. The species of livestock that the facility will be handling.
271	d. The premises code of the facility required under ch. ATCP 17.
272	e. Any other relevant information required by the department.
273	3. The application shall include a nonrefundable fee of \$140. The department shall grant
274	or deny an application within 60 days after a complete application is filed with the department.
275	The department may conduct any inspections it deems necessary.
276	Note: A person may obtain an intermediate livestock handling facility application form
277	by calling (608) 224-4872, by visiting the department website at http://datcp.wi.gov, or by
278	writing to the following address:
279	Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
280	Division of Animal Health
281	P.O. Box 8911
282	Madison, WI 53708-8911
283	(d) Denying, suspending or revoking a certificate. The department may deny, suspend,
284	or revoke a certificate under this subsection for cause, including any of the following:

1. Filing an incomplete or fraudulent application, or misrepresenting any information onan application.

287 2. Violating ch. 95, Stats., or this chapter.

288 3. Violating the terms of the certificate.

(e) *Conditional certificate*. The department may issue a certificate under this subsection on a conditional basis, contingent upon pertinent circumstances or acts. If a certificate is conditioned upon compliance with specific requirements within a specific time period, and the certificate holder fails to comply with those requirements within that time period, the certificate is void.

294 (f) *Facility requirements*. A certified intermediate livestock handling facility may accept 295 shipment of and handle only one species of livestock at the facility and shall meet all of the 296 following requirements:

297 1. Animals may not be held more than 7 days at the facility and ownership of the animals298 may not be transferred by the operator during that 7 days.

2. Animals transported in vehicles closed with official seals may not enter the facility.
3. Animals may not enter the facility except pursuant to a movement permit issued under
par. (h).

4. Animals may not be released from the facility except pursuant to the movement
permit issued under par. (h) and may only be released directly to slaughter at the original
slaughter destination facility listed in the movement permit.

5. Each shipment of animals shall be kept separated, in pens, from other shipments of any animals while in the intermediate livestock handling facility. No animals may be added to a

pen holding animals from a separate shipment delivered to the facility under a separatemovement permit.

6. The certified intermediate livestock handling facility premises may not house any
other livestock at the facility except for livestock approved in the application under par. (c) 2. c.
7. Serviceable cleaning and disinfecting equipment shall be furnished, maintained, and
used as necessary in the facility.

8. A sign shall be posted at all facility entrances and exits stating: "All animals enteringthis facility are only released directly to slaughter."

9. The operator of a certified intermediate livestock handling facility shall keep complete and accurate records of all animals entering and leaving the facility organized by movement permit number. The operator shall retain the records for at least 5 years after the animals leave the facility, and shall make the records available for inspection and copying by the department or the federal bureau upon request. Records shall include all of the following:

a. A record of each animal shipment received, including the date of receipt, the number of animals in the shipment, the name and address of the shipper, the name and address from which the shipment originated, any official individual identification of any animal in the shipment, any documents related to any animal in the shipment, and the movement permit number issued under par. (h).

b. A record of each animal leaving the facility, including the date the animal left, the number of animals included in the truckload, the name and address of the shipper, the name and address of the person receiving the animals, any official individual identification of any animal in the truckload, and the movement permit number issued under par. (h).

329 c. A record of any animal that died in the facility, including the date of death, any official 330 individual identification on the animal that died, any document related to the animal that died 331 including necropsy or test result reports, the movement permit number under which the animal 332 entered the facility, and the final disposition of the carcass.

(g) *Movement permit*. No person may move animals into or out of a certified
intermediate livestock handling facility except pursuant to a movement permit from the
department under par. (h).

(h) Movement permit application. To obtain a movement permit, a facility operator shall 336 submit an application on a form provided by the department. The application shall be submitted 337 to the department before any animals listed in the permit application are delivered to the facility. 338 The application shall include the name and street address of the certified intermediate livestock 339 handling facility accepting delivery of the animal shipment, the breed and quantity of animals in 340 the shipment, the name and street address of the origin of the shipment, the name and street 341 address of the slaughtering establishment destination for the shipment, and the name and address 342 of the shipper. The operator of the facility may make the application by fax or email. The 343 permit shall be evidenced by a unique movement permit number. The department may issue the 344 345 movement permit number in paper, verbal, or electronic form. The movement permit number shall be recorded on the movement permit by the department or the facility operator, as 346 applicable. 347

348 SECTION 20. ATCP 10.08 (2) (b) Note, (r) Note, and (s) Note are amended to read: 349 ATCP 10.08 (2) (b) Note: See also ss. s. ATCP 10.11, 10.28 (4), and 10.50 (4) 10.052. 350 (r) Note: See also ss. s. ATCP 10.055 (2) and (3), 10.13 (3), and 10.48 (6).

351	(s) Note: See ss. s. ATCP 10.16 related to Johne's disease. Animals infected with or
352	exposed to other contagious or infectious diseases, not listed in par. (a) under this subsection,
353	may be quarantined at the discretion of the department under s. ATCP 10.89 and other provisions
354	of this chapter.
355	SECTION 21. ATCP 10.11 is repealed and recreated to read:
356	ATCP 10.11 Brucellosis testing and control. Brucellosis testing and control
357	requirements are specified under s. ATCP 10.052.
358	SECTION 22. ATCP 10.13 is repealed and recreated to read:
359	ATCP 10.13 Tuberculosis testing and control. Tuberculosis testing and control
360	requirements are specified under s. ATCP 10.055
361	SECTION 23. ATCP 10.14 (2) (a) 3. is created to read:
362	ATCP 10.14 (2) (a) 3. An animal in the herd is commingled with animals of lesser or no
363	tuberculosis status.
364	SECTION 24. ATCP 10.20 (1) (a) is renumbered 10.20 (1) (intro.)
365	SECTION 25. ATCP 10.20 (1) (b) is repealed.
366	SECTION 26. ATCP 10.20 (2) (a) is renumbered 10.20 (2) (intro.)
367	SECTION 27. ATCP 10.20 (2) (b) is repealed.
368	SECTION 28. ATCP 10.21 (2) (a) (intro.) and 1. are amended to read:
369	ATCP 10.21 (2) (a) SLAUGHTER IDENTIFICATION. (intro.) (a) If an animal-trucker,
370	animal dealer, animal market operator, federally approved livestock marketing facility operator,
371	$\frac{1}{2}$ or <u>a</u> slaughtering establishment operator receives any bovine animal over 2 years old for
372	slaughter, or for sale or shipment to slaughter, that person shall do all of the following unless the
373	animal is a steer or official spayed heifer:

- Identify the animal with an official back tag at the time of receipt, unless the animal is
 already back tagged or has official individual identification. Back tags shall be applied 4 inches
- 376 behind the shoulder and 4 inches below the topline.
- **SECTION 29.** ATCP 10.21 (2) (b) 5. is repealed.
- **SECTION 30.** ATCP 10.21 (2) (c) Note is created to read:
- ATCP 10.21 (2) (c) Note: Animal identification requirements for animal truckers, animal
 markets and animal dealers are specified under ch. ATCP 12.
- **SECTION 31.** ATCP 10.22 (1) (b) 1. is amended to read:
- ATCP 10.22 (1) (b) 1. An animal imported directly to a slaughtering establishment or an
 intermediate livestock handling facility, approved under sub. (10), for slaughter.
- **SECTION 32.** ATCP 10.22 (6) (c) (intro.) and (d) (intro.) are amended to read:
- ATCP 10.22 (6) (c) *Post-import testing*. (intro.) The owner of a bovine animal imported to this state from a tuberculosis modified accredited state <u>or a modified accredited zone</u> shall have the animal tested for tuberculosis not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days after it is imported. This testing requirement does not apply to any of the following:
- (d) *Post-import confinement*. (intro.) Bovine animals imported from a tuberculosis
 modified accredited state or a modified accredited zone may not be removed from the premises
 at which they are first received in this state unless one of the following applies:
- **SECTION 33.** ATCP 10.22 (10) is repealed.
- **SECTION 34.** ATCP 10.26 (4) is amended to read:
- ATCP 10.26 (4) SURVEILLANCE TESTING. The department shall may conduct a
 surveillance sampling program for pseudorabies. The program shall include systematic

collection and testing of blood or tissue samples from Wisconsin swine. Samples may includeblood samples routinely collected from slaughtered swine.

SECTION 35. ATCP 10.28 is repealed and recreated to read:

ATCP 10.28 Swine brucellosis; testing and control. Brucellosis testing and control
 requirements are specified under s. ATCP 10.052.

401 SECTION 36. ATCP 10.291 (title), and (1) (intro.) are amended to read:

402 ATCP 10.291 (title) Swine porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and

403 swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus: testing and control.

404 (1) WHO MAY COLLECT TEST SAMPLE. (intro.) A person who collects a porcine
405 reproductive and respiratory syndrome and swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic
406 <u>diarrhea virus</u> test sample, for purposes of this chapter or ch. ATCP 12, shall be one of the
407 following:

408 **SECTION 37.** ATCP 10.291 (2) (intro.) and (a) 2. are amended to read:

409 ATCP 10.291 (2) TEST PROCEDURE. (intro.) A test and test strategy used to

410 determine whether the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and the swine enteric

411 coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus is in the herd of origin shall be approved by

the department and shall provide 90% confidence that the disease would be identified if present

413 at 30% prevalence in the herd by using one of the following:

414 (a) 2. For herds with 150 or more to 299 swine, three two pooled samples of at least five

swine shall be collected and tested. The number of swine to be pooled for samples shall be

- 416 <u>determined by the owner in consultation with his or her herd veterinarian.</u>
- 417 **SECTION 38.** ATCP 10.291 (2) (a) 3. is created to read:

418	ATCP 10.291 (2) (a) 3. For herds with 300 or more swine, three pooled samples of swine
419	shall be collected and tested. The number of swine to be pooled for samples shall be determined
420	by the owner in consultation with his or her herd veterinarian.
421	SECTION 39. ATCP 10.291 (2) (c) Note is amended to read:
422	ATCP 10.291 (2) (c) Note: Testing can be done for both porcine reproductive and
423	respiratory syndrome and the swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus
424	using the samples collected under pars. (a) and (b).
425	SECTION 40. ATCP 10.291 (3), (4) (a) and (5) are amended to read:
426	ATCP 10.291 (3) SUBMITTING SAMPLES AND REPORTING TEST RESULTS. A
427	veterinarian under sub. (1) shall submit the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and
428	swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus samples from swine in this
429	state to a department-approved laboratory and shall report any positive test results to the
430	department and the swine owner.
431	(4) QUARANTINE. (a) The department may quarantine swine whenever the
432	department reasonably suspects that the swine may be infected with or exposed to the porcine
433	reproductive and respiratory syndrome or the swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic
434	diarrhea virus. The department may quarantine all swine located on the premises. The
435	quarantine shall comply with s. ATCP 10.89.
436	(5) HERD PLAN. A herd plan is an agreement, between the department and an owner of
437	swine, for the control of the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and the swine enteric
438	coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. The goal of the herd plan is to bring the
439	herd to negative from both diseases and limit the spread of the diseases. A herd plan shall be

440 developed by a Wisconsin certified, accredited, licensed veterinarian on behalf of the swine

441 owner <u>or an accredited veterinarian from a state other than Wisconsin</u>, establish testing protocols 442 relating to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome or swine enteric coronavirus disease 443 <u>porcine epidemic diarrhea virus</u>, or both, as appropriate, establish notification requirements of at 444 risk farms <u>and potential buyers</u>, establish biosecurity requirements, and shall be broken down 445 into separate production categories that need to be managed.

446

46 **SECTION 41.** ATCP 10.30 (1) (a) 3. and (b) 3. are amended to read:

ATCP 10.30 (1) (a) 3. a. For commercial swine, a report of a negative porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus test from the swine's herd of origin conducted within 90 days prior to movement into Wisconsin and a statement from the veterinarian that there are no clinical signs of the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and the swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus at the time of inspection. This subparagraph does not apply to commercial swine imported directly to a market licensed under s. ATCP 12.02, if all swine on

454 the market premises the day of the sale are shipped directly to slaughter.

b. For commercial swine purchased or obtained from a commingled auction, sale, or 455 exhibition, a report of a negative porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and swine 456 457 enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus test from the swine's herd of origin conducted within 90 days prior to movement into Wisconsin; a statement from the event's 458 veterinarian that all the swine commingled at the auction, sale, or exhibition had a negative 459 460 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus test from their respective herds of origin conducted within 90 days prior 461 462 to movement to the auction, sale, or exhibition; and a statement from the event's veterinarian that

there are no clinical signs of the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and the swine
 enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus at the time of inspection.

465 c. For commercial swine imported directly to a federally approved livestock marketing 466 facility under s. ATCP 10.07 (4) a report of a negative porcine reproductive and respiratory 467 syndrome and swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus test from the 468 swine's herd of origin conducted within 90 days prior to movement into Wisconsin and a 469 statement from the veterinarian that there are no clinical signs of the porcine reproductive and 470 respiratory syndrome and the swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic diarrhea virus 471 at the time of inspection.

(b) 3. A commercial swine imported directly to a veterinary facility for treatment,
provided that the swine is returned to its state place of origin immediately following treatment
and there is no change of ownership while the swine is in this state.

475 **SECTION 42.** ATCP 10.30 (2) (b) 3. is amended to read:

ATCP 10.30 (2) (b) 3. Commercial swine originating from a state designated as a
pseudorabies stage IV or V state by the federal bureau and meeting the requirements under sub.
(1) (a) 3. a. or b.

479 **SECTION 43.** ATCP 10.31 (1) (intro.) is amended to read:

480 **ATCP 10.31 Slaughter swine identification.** (1) IDENTIFICATION REQUIRED.

(intro.) Except as provided in sub. (3), an animal trucker, animal dealer, animal market operator,
federally approved livestock marketing facility operator, or <u>a</u> slaughtering establishment operator
shall do all the following whenever that person receives a sow, boar, or stag for slaughter, or for
sale or shipment to slaughter:

485	SECTION 44. ATCP 10.31 (1) (a) is renumbered ATCP 10.31 (1) (a) (intro.) and as
486	renumbered is amended to read:
487	ATCP 10.31 (1) (a) (intro.) Identify If the animal meets either of the following criteria,
488	identify the swine with an official swine back tag, a premises identification number ear tag or
489	other approved slaughter identification, unless the swine already bears an official individual
490	identification or slaughter identification-:
491	SECTION 45. ATCP 10.31 (1) (a) 1. and 2. are created to read:
492	ATCP 10.31 (1) (a) 1. The animal does not pass the inspection process completed by
493	state or federal inspectors.
494	2. The animal is tested for disease.
495	SECTION 46. ATCP 10.31 (1) (b) is amended to read:
496	ATCP 10.31 (1) (b) Make If the animal meets the criteria under par. (a) 1. or 2., make a
497	record under sub. (2) for that swine.
498	SECTION 47. ATCP 10.31 (2) (a) 3. is repealed.
499	SECTION 48. ATCP 10.31 (3) (c) Note is created to read:
500	ATCP 10.31 (3) (c) Note: Animal identification requirements for animal truckers, animal
501	markets and animal dealers are specified under ch. ATCP 12.
502	SECTION 49. ATCP 10.32 (2) (title), (a), and (b) are amended to read:
503	ATCP 10.32 (2) (title) PORCINE REPRODUCTIVE AND RESPIRATORY
504	SYNDROME AND SWINE ENTERIC CORONAVIRUS DISEASE PORCINE EPIDEMIC
505	DIARRHEA VIRUS. (a) Test required. 1. Except as provided in par. (b), no person may move
506	commercial swine within this state unless the herd of origin has tested negative on a porcine
507	reproductive and respiratory syndrome and swine enteric coronavirus disease porcine epidemic

508 diarrhea virus test conducted not more than 90 days prior to the intrastate movement and 509 documentation of the negative test reports are made available at the time of sale and to the department upon request. Testing under this paragraph shall comply with s. ATCP 10.291. 510

511 2. If the swine's herd of origin does not meet the requirements under par. (a) subd. 1., the department shall quarantine the herd of origin and follow the procedures under s. ATCP 10.291 512 (4) and (5) before any swine may be moved from the premises. 513

3. If commercial exhibition swine originate from Wisconsin and return to Wisconsin 514 after an exhibition in another state, the exhibitor must notify the department of the movement 515 before returning to Wisconsin. The department shall quarantine the returning swine, herd of 516 origin, or both and follow the procedures under s. ATCP 10.291 (4) and (5) before any swine 517 may be moved from the premises. This subdivision does not apply if the out-of-state exhibition 518 organizer requires all participating swine to test negative for porcine reproductive and respiratory 519 syndrome and porcine epidemic diarrhea virus within 90 days prior to the event. 520

(b) 1. The swine are moved directly to a slaughtering establishment for slaughter or 521 directly to an animal market to be sold at a sale where all swine on the market premises are 522 shipped directly to a slaughtering establishment.

524 2. The swine are moved to one fair or exhibition under s. ATCP 10.87 prior to being

523

shipped directly to slaughter or directly to an animal market to be sold at a sale where all swine 525

on the market premises are shipped directly to a slaughtering establishment. 526

527 **SECTION 50.** ATCP 10.32 (2) (b) 3. is created to read:

ATCP 10.32 (2) (b) 3. Commercial swine moving directly to an animal market, if all 528 529 swine on the market premises the day of the sale are shipped directly to slaughter.

530 **SECTION 51.** ATCP 10.35 (1) (b) 3. and 4. are amended to read:

531	ATCP 10.35 (1) (b) 3. An equine animal consigned or sold to an animal dealer, or market
532	for sale provided the animal dealer ships the animal directly to slaughter. If or has the animal is
533	not shipped directly to a slaughter establishment tested for equine infectious anemia within 10
534	days after it is received at the dealer or market, it shall be tested immediately its consignment or
535	sale to the dealer. Until the negative equine infectious anemia test results are obtained, the
536	animal dealer may not consign or sell the animal or move the animal from the animal dealer
537	premises or allow the animal to commingle with any other animal on the premises.
538	4. An equine animal consigned or sold to an animal market operator, provided that the
539	animal market operator ships the animal directly to slaughter or has the animal tested for equine
540	infectious anemia within 10 ± 4 days after purchase it arrives at the animal market premises. Until
541	the negative equine infectious anemia test results are obtained, an equine animal may not leave
542	the market premises and may not be commingled with any other animal on the premises.
543	SECTION 52. ATCP 10.36 (4) (intro.) is amended to read:
544	ATCP 10.36 (4) (intro.) EQUINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA TEST; EXEMPTIONS.
545	Subsection (3) does not apply to any of the following as specified under s. ATCP 10.35 (1) (b)
546	and as follows:
547	SECTION 53. ATCP 10.36 (4) (a) and (b) and Note, and (e) and Note are repealed.
548	SECTION 54. ATCP 10.36 (5) (b) (intro.) is amended to read:
549	ATCP 10.36 (5) (b) (intro.) If an equine animal tests positive for equine infectious
550	anemia under sub. (4) (b) 2. or (e) s. ATCP 10.35 (1) (b) 3. or 4. after it enters this state, the
551	owner or custodian of the animal shall do one of the following:
552	SECTION 55. ATCP 10.40 (title) and (1) (title), (intro.) and (a) are amended to read:

553	ATCP 10.40 (title) Poultry and farm-raised game birds; breeding, hatching, and
554	exhibition requirements for birds and eggs exhibited at fairs or poultry shows. (1) (title)
555	BIRDS AND EGGS USED FOR BREEDING OR HATCHING EXHIBITED AT FAIRS OR
556	POULTRY SHOWS. (intro.) Except as specified under sub. (8), no-No person may use exhibit
557	poultry or farm-raised game birds or their eggs for breeding or hatching, or for exhibition at a
558	fair or livestock exhibition poultry show, unless one of the following applies:
559	(a) The Documentation that the birds or eggs originate from currently reside in a flock
560	that is enrolled in the national poultry improvement plan under s. ATCP 10.41-and meets all of
561	the following requirements:.
562	SECTION 56. ATCP 10.40 (1) (a) 1. and 2. are repealed.
563	SECTION 57. ATCP 10.40 (1) (b) and (c) are amended to read:
564	ATCP 10.40 (1) (b) The Documentation that the birds or eggs originate from currently
565	reside in a flock that qualifies as an affiliate flock under the national poultry improvement plan.
566	(c) The Documentation that the birds or eggs originate from currently reside in a flock
567	that is enrolled as documents that it is a Wisconsin tested flock under sub. (2) or a Wisconsin
568	associate flock under sub. (3).
569	SECTION 58. ATCP 10.40 (1) (c) Note is repealed.
570	SECTION 59. ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) (intro.) is repealed.
571	SECTION 60. ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) 1. is amended to read:
572	ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) 1. They have individually Documentation that individual birds
573	tested negative for pullorum, fowl typhoid and, in the case of turkeys, Mycoplasma
574	gallisepticum, within the preceding 90 days, and there has been no change of ownership of any
575	birds since the completion of testing. Testing for purposes of a fair or livestock exhibition

576 <u>poultry show shall be completed before the birds arrive at the fair or livestock exhibition poultry</u>
577 <u>show.</u>

578	SECTION 61. ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) 2. is repealed and recreated to read:
579	ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) 2. Only sexually mature birds may be individually tested under this
580	paragraph. A sexually mature bird is over 4 months old except that, in the case of turkeys, it is a
581	bird over 6 months old. Eggs and sexually immature birds may not be tested under this
582	paragraph.
583	SECTION 62. ATCP 10.40 (1) (d) 2. Note and (g) are repealed.
584	SECTION 63. ATCP 10.40 (2) (a) and (b) (intro.) are consolidated, renumbered ATCP
585	10.40 (2) (a) (intro.), and amended to read:
586	ATCP 10.40 (2) (a) (intro) The owner of a flock of poultry or farm-raised game birds
587	may annually enroll shall document that the flock as is a Wisconsin tested flock by completing.
588	An annual enrollment expires on June 30 of each year.
589	(b) A flock owner shall apply for enrollment under par. (a) on a form provided by the
590	department. There is no fee to enroll. The enrollment application form shall include all of the
591	following:
592	SECTION 64. ATCP 10.40 (2) (b) 4. Note is amended to read:
593	ATCP 10.40 (2) (b) 4. Note: A flock owner may request an enrollment application \underline{A}
594	Wisconsin tested flock form by calling (608) 224-4877, by visiting the department website at
595	http://datcp.wi.gov, or by writing to the following address:
596	Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
597 598	Division of Animal Health P.O. Box 8911
599	Madison, WI 53708-8911

600 **SECTION 65.** ATCP 10.40 (2) (b) is created to read:

601	ATCP 10.40 (2) (b) The Wisconsin tested flock form under par. (a) shall be valid one
602	year from the date that tests were conducted under par. (c).
603	SECTION 66. ATCP 10.40 (2) (c) (intro.) is amended to read:
604	ATCP 10.40 (2) (c) (intro.) An enrollment application A Wisconsin tested flock form
605	under par. (b) (a) shall include proof that all sexually mature birds in the flock has have tested
606	negative as specified under sub. (4) for all of the following during the calendar year of the
607	enrollment application, in a test under sub. (4) that included all sexually mature birds then in the
608	flock :
609	SECTION 67. ATCP 10.40 (2) (e) is repealed.
610	SECTION 68. ATCP 10.40 (3) (a) and (b) (intro.) are consolidated, renumbered ATCP
611	10.40 (3) (a) (intro.), and amended to read:
612	ATCP 10.40 (3) (a) (intro.) The owner of a flock of poultry or farm-raised game birds
613	may annually enroll shall document that the flock as is a Wisconsin associate flock. A
614	Wisconsin associate flock enrollment expires on June 30 of each year.
615	(b) A flock owner shall apply for enrollment under par. (a) on by completing a form
616	provided by the department. There is no fee to enroll. An enrollment application The form shall
617	include all of the following:
618	SECTION 69. ATCP 10.40 (3) (b) is created to read:
619	ATCP 10.40 (3) (b) The Wisconsin associate flock form under par. (a) is valid as long as
620	all birds or eggs introduced to the flock were acquired directly from a flock under par. (2), (3) or
621	s. ATCP 10.41.
622	SECTION 70. ATCP 10.40 (3) (c) is repealed.
623	SECTION 71. ATCP 10.40 (4) (b) 3. and (d) are repealed.

624 SECTION 72. ATCP 10.40 (5) is repealed. SECTION 73. ATCP 10.40 (7) (a) and (b) (intro.) and 1. are amended to read: 625 ATCP 10.40 (7) (a) A person who sells poultry or eggs from flocks under sub. (1) (c) 626 627 subs. (2) or (3) or s. ATCP 10.41, shall do all of the following: 1. Report Fill out, retain for at least 3 years, and make available to the department for 628 629 inspection and copying upon request, a copy of the sale to the department within 10 days, on a information on a form provided by the department. 630 2. Provide, to the buyer, a copy of the current flock enrollment certificate Wisconsin 631 632 tested flock form under sub. (2), or Wisconsin associate flock form under sub. (3), or flock enrollment certificate under s. ATCP 10.41. 633 (b) (intro.) A person who sells poultry meeting the criteria under sub. (1) (d) shall do all 634 of the following: 635 1. Report Fill out, retain for at least 3 years, and make available to the department for 636 inspection and copying upon request, a copy of the sale to the department within 10 days, on a 637 information on a form provided by the department. 638 **SECTION 74.** ATCP 10.40 (7) (b) 2 Note is repealed. 639 640 SECTION 75. ATCP 10.40 (8) is repealed. SECTION 76. ATCP 10.41 (1) is amended to read: 641 ATCP 10.41 (1) ANNUAL FLOCK ENROLLMENT. The owner of a flock of poultry 642 643 or farm-raised game birds may annually enroll that flock in the national poultry improvement plan. An annual enrollment expires on June 30 of each following the year of enrollment. 644 SECTION 77. ATCP 10.41 (4) is repealed. 645 **SECTION 78.** ATCP 10.41 (5) (a) and (d) 1. are amended to read: 646

647 ATCP 10.41 (5) (a) \$40 if the flock <u>includes no more than 200 breeders or consists</u> 648 solely of specialty breeds, other than breeds commonly raised for meat or egg production, and 649 the flock owner raises the birds primarily for exhibition.

(d) 1. \$80 if the flock includes <u>more than 200 but</u> no more than 1,000 breeders.

651 **SECTION 79.** ATCP 10.41 (7) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.41 (7) COMPLIANCE AND INSPECTION. A flock enrolled in the national poultry improvement plan shall comply with all applicable requirements under the plan. The department shall may inspect enrolled flocks and take other actions as appropriate, based on plan requirements.

656 **SECTION 80.** ATCP 10.42 (1) (a) 1. and 2. are amended to read:

657 ATCP 10.42 (1) (a) 1. They originate <u>are directly imported</u> from flocks that are enrolled 658 in the national poultry improvement plan, or a plan that the department determines to be 659 equivalent.

660 2. They originate <u>are directly imported</u> from flocks that are classified as "U.S. pullorum-661 typhoid clean" and, in the case of turkeys and turkey eggs, "Mycoplasma gallisepticum clean" 662 under the national poultry improvement plan or a plan that the department determines to be 663 equivalent.

664 SECTION 81. ATCP 10.42 (1) (b) is repealed and recreated to read:

ATCP 10.42 (1) (b) The person who imports poultry under this section shall retain the information under par. (a) for at least 3 years and make the records available to the department for inspection and copying upon request.

668 **SECTION 82.** ATCP 10.45 (2) (c) is repealed.

669 **SECTION 83.** ATCP 10.46 (1) (a), (d) and (f) are amended to read:

670 ATCP 10.46 (1) (a) Except as provided in par. (e), (g) or sub. (1m)-(h), no person may 671 keep farm-raised deer at any location in this state unless the department has issued a current annual farm-raised deer herd registration certificate authorizing that person to keep farm-raised 672 673 deer at that location or a location at which a person holds a valid farm-raised deer herd registration certificate under this subsection. A herd registration certificate is not transferable 674 675 between persons or locations, except as authorized under s. 95.55 (3c) (b), Stats. (d) A herd registration certificate under par. (a) shall bear one or more current livestock 676 premises codes that, together, cover all of the herd locations identified in the registration 677 certificate. 678 (f) Except as provided under sub. (11) (d) 1., before registering any herd to be kept at the 679 same location as bovine animals, the department shall inspect the location to determine whether 680 the herds are medically separated. For each inspection under this paragraph, the registrant shall 681 pay the fee required under sub. (7) (b) s. ATCP 10.025. No inspection is required for the 682 renewal of an existing herd registration if the department has previously inspected the herd 683 premises under this paragraph. 684 **SECTION 84.** ATCP 10.46 (1) (g) and (h) are created to read: 685 686 ATCP 10.46 (1) (g) Paragraph (a) does not apply to an animal dealer licensed under s. ATCP 12.03, who has purchased farm-raised deer from a farm-raised deer keeper whose herd is 687 registered under this section, and who moves those deer directly to slaughter, if all of the 688 689 following requirements are met: 1. The animal dealer adheres to farm-raised deer slaughter identification 690

691 requirements under s. ATCP 12.05 (5) (a) and (b).

692	2. The animal dealer adheres to farm-raised deer slaughter movement
693	requirements under s. ATCP 10.56 (1).
694	3. The animal dealer tests the farm-raised deer for chronic wasting disease as
695	required under s. ATCP 10.52, according to the testing requirements of the herd from which the
696	deer were purchased.
697	4. The animal dealer keeps records of chronic wasting disease test results and
698	movement requirements under s. ATCP 10.46 (10) (b) for those deer, for 5 years.
699	5. The animal dealer provides a copy of movement documents and chronic
700	wasting disease test results, if applicable, to the owner of the herd from which the farm-raised
701	deer were purchased.
702	6. The animal dealer removes the farm-raised deer from the premises from which
703	the farm-raised deer were purchased prior to the expiration date of the herd's registration under
704	this section.
705	(h) Paragraph (a) does not apply to temporary farm-raised deer exhibits, if all of
706	the following requirements are met:
707	1. The deer owner adheres to farm-raised deer identification requirements under s.
708	ATCP 10.54 (3).
709	2. If the farm-raised deer are imported to an exhibit in Wisconsin, the deer owner
710	meets the requirements under s. ATCP 10.55.
711	3. If the farm-raised deer are moved from a premises in Wisconsin to an exhibit in
712	Wisconsin, the deer owner adheres to farm-raised deer movement requirements under s. ATCP
713	10.56.

- 714 4. The farm-raised deer are not moved from the exhibit and are not commingled715 with any other animals at the exhibit.
- 5. The farm-raised deer leave Wisconsin or return to their place of origin before
 the certificate of veterinary inspection issued for the animals under subd. 2. or 3. expires.
- 6. The exhibit lasts no longer than 30 days from the date of arrival at the exhibit.
 SECTION 85. ATCP 10.46 (1m) is repealed.
- 720 SECTION 86. ATCP 10.46 (2) (c) Note, (3), and (4) (b) 1. are amended to read:

ATCP 10.46 (2) (c) Note: An Except under ATCP 10.46 (1) (g), an animal dealer license under s. ATCP 12.03 does not entitle the license holder to keep farm-raised deer unless that person also holds a registration certificate under sub. (1).

- (3) REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE EXPIRES. A registration certificate under sub.
- (1) expires on March 15 of each year. The holder of a registration certificate may renew that
- 726 <u>apply for a subsequent</u> certificate by submitting an annual renewal application under sub. (6).
- 727 (4) (b) 1. Those farm-raised deer are identified with 2 individual identifications that meet
- 728 the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3). One identification shall be official individual
- 729 identification and the second identification shall be either official individual identification or
- 730 individual identification unique to the herd.
- 731 SECTION 87. ATCP 10.46 (5) (b) 2. a., (c) 1., (d), and (e) are amended to read:
- ATCP 10.46 (5) (b) 2. a. Any farm-raised deer moved under this subdivision is identified
- 733 with 2 individual identifications that meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3). One
- 734 identification shall be official individual identification and the second identification shall be
- either an official individual identification or an individual identification unique to the combined
 herds.

(c) 1. Fencing and facilities are adequate to maintain that <u>at least 30 feet of separation at</u>
all times.

(d) Before registering any herd to be kept at the same location as another, medically
separated registered herd, the department shall inspect the location to determine whether the
herds are in fact medically separated. For each inspection under this subdivision, the registrant
shall pay the fee required under sub. (7) (b)s. ATCP 10.025. No inspection is required for the
renewal of an existing herd registration if the department has previously inspected the herd
premises under this paragraph.

(e) If any of the herds are enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd status program, all
the farm-raised deer in those enrolled herds are identified with 2 individual identifications <u>that</u>
<u>meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3)</u>. One identification shall be official individual
identification and the second identification shall be either official individual identification or
<u>individual identification unique to that herd.</u>

750 SECTION 88. ATCP 10.46 (6) (intro.), (e) and (f) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.46 (6) APPLYING FOR REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE. (intro.) To obtain 751 an annual registration certificate under sub. (1), a person shall file an application on a form 752 753 provided by the department. The application shall include the fees required under sub. (7) and all information required under s. ATCP 17.02 (4) for purposes of livestock premises 754 identification. The registration application form shall include all of the following information: 755 756 (e) A breakdown, by species, age, and sex, of the farm-raised deer in the herd. (f) Additional information, if any, required under s. ATCP 17.02 (4) for purposes of The 757 758 livestock premises registration code assigned under s. ATCP 17.02 (7) for the location at which 759 the farm-raised deer will be kept.

760	SECTION 89. ATCP 10.46 (7) (a) 1. (intro.) and b. are amended to read:
761	ATCP 10.46 (7) (a) 1. (intro.) A Except as specified under par. (ab), a nonrefundable
762	annual fee of \$85.00 if the herd includes no more than 15 farm-raised deer and the following
763	criteria are met:
764	b. No farm Farm-raised deer are killed on the premises except for consumption by the
765	farm-raised deer keeper or are slaughtered on the premises provided that the department
766	conducts an ante mortem inspection of the deer and a post-mortem inspection of the carcass
767	under s. 97.42, Stats., and ch. ATCP 55.
768	SECTION 90. ATCP 10.46 (7) (a) 1. c. Note is repealed.
769	SECTION 91. ATCP 10.46 (7) (a) 2. and 3. are amended to read:
770	ATCP 10.46 (7) (a) 2. A Except as specified under par. (ac), a nonrefundable annual fee
771	of \$162.50 if the herd includes no more than 15 farm-raised deer, and the herd does not meet all
772	of the criteria under subd. 1.
773	3. A Except as specified under par. (ad), a nonrefundable annual fee of \$325 if the herd
774	includes more than 15 farm-raised deer.
775	SECTION 92. ATCP 10.46 (7) (b), (c) and (d) are amended to read:
776	ATCP 10.46 (7) (b) A person who applies to register a medically separated herd at the
777	same location where another herd is registered shall pay a nonrefundable fee of \$200 for each
778	day needed to complete an inspection under sub. (5) (d) specified under s. ATCP 10.025.
779	(c) An applicant shall pay a registration fee surcharge of \$250 if the department
780	determines that, within 365 days prior to submitting the complete registration application under
781	sub. (6), the applicant kept farm-raised deer at any location without a required registration

certificate that identifies that location. In addition to the surcharge, the applicant shall pay thefee due for the year in which the applicant failed to obtain the required registration certificate.

(d) A person who applies for the renewal of a herd registration certificate after that
certificate has expired shall pay, in addition to all other fees required under this subsection, a late
fee equal to 20% of the registration fees.

787 SECTION 93. ATCP 10.46 (10) (a) 1. and 6., (am) 1. and (c) 2. are amended to read:

ATCP 10.46 (10) (a) 1. The 2 individual identifications that meet the requirements of s. ATCP $\frac{10.53}{2}$ (2) (d) 4. 10.54 (3) of the farm-raised deer.

6. A copy of any certificate of veterinary inspection that accompanied the farm-raised
deer under s. ss. ATCP 10.55 or 10.56.

(am) 1. The 2 individual identifications which meet the requirements of s. ATCP 10.53
 (2) (d) 4. 10.54 (3) of the farm-raised deer.

(c) 2. Any identification <u>All individual identification</u> attached to the farm-raised deer,
 including any carcass identification required under sub. (13).

796 **SECTION 94.** ATCP 10.46 (10) (cm) is created to read:

ATCP 10.46 (10) (cm) 1. A person who receives farm-raised deer but does not own the
 deer shall keep all records required under this subsection relating to the farm-raised deer.

2. A person who provides farm-raised deer to another premises, including a hunting
ranch, but who retains ownership of the deer, shall keep records required under pars. (am) and
(c).

802 **SECTION 95.** ATCP 10.46 (11) (c) and (d) 1. are amended to read:

ATCP 10.46 (11) (c) Move a live farm-raised deer or any portion of a farm-raised deer carcass from the premises at which it has been kept unless the farm-raised deer has individual

805	identification	required	under s. ATCI	P 10.54 (1) (c) , slaughte	er identification	under	s. ATCP	10.54
806	(2) 12.05 (5)	<u>(b)</u> , or the	e farm-raised d	leer carcass	complies v	vith sub. (13).			

(d) 1. Except as authorized under subd. 2., cause or allow farm-raised deer to
commingle with bovine animals on the same premises or in the same building, enclosure or
vehicle except farm raised deer and bovines may be commingled if no live farm raised deer or
live bovine animal is moved off the premises, except unless all the animals are shipped directly
to slaughter a slaughtering establishment and accompanied by a completed federal bureau form
VS 1-27 or a department permit under s. ATCP 10.08 (3).

813 SECTION 96. ATCP 10.46 (11) (d) 2. is repealed and recreated to read:

ATCP 10.46 (11) (d) 2. Farm-raised deer may be kept on the same premises as bovine animals and moved to a premises other that a slaughtering establishment under subd. 1., if one of the following requirements is met and an animal is moved in accordance with the requirements under this chapter:

a. The herd of farm-raised deer and bovine animals are medically separated as specifiedunder sub. (5) (c).

b. The herd of farm-raised deer and bovine animals are certified by the department asaccredited tuberculosis-free.

c. The herd of both farm-raised deer and bovine animals meet the testing requirements to become a tuberculosis-qualified herd and any animal to be moved has been classified negative to an official tuberculosis test that was conducted prior to the date of movement. The test under this paragraph shall be conducted within 90 days prior to the date of movement of farm-raised deer, and 60 days prior to the date of movement of bovine animals. If the herd test to achieve qualified

827	herd status was conducted within 90 days of individual movement for farm-raised deer, and 60
828	days for bovine animals, the animal to be moved does not require an additional individual test.
829	SECTION 97. ATCP 10.46 (11) (e) and (f) are created to read:
830	ATCP 10.46 (11) (e) Intentionally release farm-raised deer to the wild or take no action
831	to prevent escapes.
832	(f) Feed or bait in a manner that may attract wild deer to the fence of the farm-raised deer
833	herd.
834	SECTION 98. ATCP 10.46 (12) (a) 3. is created to read:
835	ATCP 10.46 (12) (a) 3. A person keeping farm-raised deer that, through deliberate action
836	or inaction, permit deer to escape into the wild may be found in violation of par. (11).
837	SECTION 99. ATCP 10.46 (12) (d) and Note, (13) (b) and (14) (b) are amended to read:
838	ATCP 10.46 (12) (d) If a farm-raised deer escapes into a wild deer disease control area
839	that the Wisconsin department of natural resources has designated by rule, and is returned to the
840	herd more than 24 hours after the escape, it the herd loses any status that it may have had in a
841	herd certification or herd status program under s. ATCP 10.49, 10.51, or 10.53, and is treated as
842	a new addition to the herd.
843	Note: For example, if a farm-raised deer escapes into a chronic wasting disease
844	management zone affected area established by the department of natural resources under s. NR
845	10, and is returned to the herd more than 24 hours after the escape, it loses any status that it had
846	under the chronic wasting disease herd status program (s. ATCP 10.53). It also loses any status
847	that it had under the tuberculosis herd certification program (s. ATCP 10.49) and the brucellosis

848 herd certification program (s. ATCP 10.51).

849 (13) (b) The department shall, upon request, issue dead tags under par. (a) to persons
850 holding valid farm-raised deer herd registration certificates under this section or others as
851 <u>allowed by the department</u>. The department may charge fees for dead tags to cover the
852 department's reasonable costs to produce and distribute the dead tags.

(14) (b) A reinspection fee under par. (a) is payable when the reinspection is completed,
and is due upon written demand from the department. The department may issue a demand for
payment when it issues a <u>re-registration renewal</u> application form to the farm-raised deer keeper.
SECTION 100. ATCP 10.47 (2) (a) and (b), (3) (b) 5., (4) (intro.) and (4m) are amended
to read:

ATCP 10.47 (2) (a) The <u>A</u> person holds a valid farm-raised deer herd registration certificate under s. ATCP 10.46 (1) for the premises, and keeps the farm-raised deer at the registered premises.

(b) The <u>A person holds a valid hunting ranch certificate under sub.</u> (3) for the premises.
(3) (b) 5. An estimate of the farm-raised deer population on the hunting ranch premises,
by species, age, and sex.

(4) CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE TESTING. (intro.) A person required to hold a 864 865 hunting ranch certificate under this section shall comply with chronic wasting disease testing requirements under s. ATCP 10.52. The person shall give a hunter the results of each chronic 866 wasting disease test, required under s. ATCP 10.52 (1m) (b) 4-, which was conducted on a farm-867 868 raised deer killed by that hunter on the person's hunting ranch if any of the following occurs: (4m) OFFICIAL INDIVIDUAL IDENTIFICATION. All non-natural additions to a 869 870 hunting ranch premises shall have 2 individual identifications, at least one of which is visible and 871 meets that meet the requirements of s. ATCP $\frac{10.53}{2}$ (2) (d) 4 10.54 (3).

872 SECTION 101. ATCP 10.47 (4m) Note is repealed. SECTION 102. ATCP 10.47 (8) (a) is amended to read: 873 ATCP 10.47 (8) (a) Violate or allow others to violate s. 29.314 (3) or ch. 951, Stats. 874 875 SECTION 103. ATCP 10.47 (8) (c) is created to read: ATCP 10.47 (8) (c) Feed or bait in a manner that may attract wild deer to the fence of the 876 877 hunting ranch. SECTION 104. ATCP 10.48 is repealed and recreated to read: 878 ATCP 10.48 Tuberculosis in farm-raised deer. Tuberculosis testing and control 879 requirements are specified under s. ATCP 10.055. 880 **SECTION 105.** ATCP 10.49 (3) (a) 3. is created to read: 881 ATCP 10.49 (3) (a) 3. Any farm-raised deer in the herd is commingled with animals of 882 lesser or no tuberculosis status. 883 SECTION 106. ATCP 10.50 is repealed and recreated to read: 884 ATCP 10.50 Brucellosis in farm-raised deer. Brucellosis testing and control 885 requirements are specified under s. ATCP 10.052. 886 **SECTION 107.** ATCP 10.51 (2) (c) is amended to read: 887 888 ATCP 10.51 (2) (c) The department may renew issue a subsequent herd certification under sub. (1) for a 36-month period beginning immediately after the applicable herd 889 certification expiration date under par. (a) or (b) if the herd keeper submits proof that all test-890 891 eligible farm-raised deer in the herd have tested negative for brucellosis within 3 months before or after that expiration date. 892 893 SECTION 108. ATCP 10.52 (1m) (c) and (d) are created to read:

ATCP 10.52 (1m) (c) A farm-raised deer keeper who receives farm-raised deer but does not own the deer shall test that deer for chronic wasting disease as specified under par. (a) regardless of whether the keeper's herd is enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd status program.

(d) A farm-raised deer keeper whose herd is enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd
status program under s. ATCP 10.53, and moves a deer to another location owned by the farmraised deer keeper, shall test that deer for chronic wasting disease as specified under par. (a).

901 **SECTION 109.** ATCP 10.52 (3) (c) (intro), 1. and 1m. and 2. a. and b. and (d) are 902 amended to read:

903 ATCP 10.52 (3) (c) (intro.) A person who collects or submits a test sample under this
904 section shall do all of the following:

905 1. Comply with standard procedures established by the department or the federal bureau906 when collecting or submitting the test sample.

907 1m. Label the test sample with the number of the official individual identification, or if 908 the official individual identification number is not available, the back tag, official slaughter 909 identification approved by the department, or carcass <u>dead</u> tag of the farm-raised deer from 910 which the sample was collected. <u>All identification tags and numbers from the animal shall</u>

911 <u>accompany the test sample.</u>

912 2. Submit the test sample as follows:

a. If the collector of a test sample is a veterinarian, to a laboratory approved under sub.(5) within 10 calendar days <u>of collecting the sample</u>.

b. If the collector is not a veterinarian, to a veterinarian for submission to a laboratory
under par. (d) within 2 business 9 calendar days for submission to a laboratory under par. (d)
after the farm-raised deer dies or is killed or slaughtered.

918 (d) A certified veterinarian who accepts a test sample from a test sample collector under
919 this section shall submit the test sample to a laboratory approved under sub. (5) within 10
920 calendar days of receipt.

921 **SECTION 110.** ATCP 10.52 (4) (b) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.52 (4) (b) The department may be written notice, without prior notice of 922 923 hearing, disqualify a person from collecting samples under sub. (1m), or from accepting or submitting samples under sub. (3) (d) and (c) 2. a. The notice shall specify the reason for the 924 disqualification. The department may disqualify a person if the person lacks required 925 qualifications, fails to collect samples that are consistently testable, leaves the employment of a 926 farm-raised deer keeper, or fails to meet other responsibilities under this chapter. A disqualified 927 person may not collect test samples under sub. (1m), accept test samples under sub. (3) (d) or 928 submit test samples under sub. (3) (c) 2. a. A disqualified person may later apply to be a 929 qualified chronic wasting disease test sample collector only after successfully completing 930 931 training offered or approved by the department. Successful completion of training under this paragraph also allows a certified veterinarian to accept and submit samples under sub. (3) (c) 2. 932 933 a. and (d). 934 SECTION 111. ATCP 10.52 (7) is renumbered ATCP 10.52 (7) (title) (a).

935 SECTION 112. ATCP 10.52 (7m) and (8) (a) 3. are amended to read:

936 ATCP 10.52 (7m) HERD OR INDIVIDUAL FARM-RAISED DEER QUARANTINE.
937 Based on the epidemiological evaluation under sub. (7) (a), the department may quarantine a

herd or individual farm-raised deer traced back or forward from the herd in which a farm-raised deer tested positive for chronic wasting disease. The quarantine issued for a farm-raised deer or herd shall remain in effect up to five years from the date of the last possible exposure to a farmraised deer that tested positive for chronic wasting disease under sub. (7).

942 (8) (a) 3. Require the herd owner or custodian to enter into a premises plan agreement 943 under par. (b), within a reasonable time <u>period</u> specified in the order, as a condition to the 944 payment of indemnities under par. (c). The terms of a premises plan agreement may be 945 contingent on the outcome of disease testing.

946 SECTION 113. ATCP 10.53 (2) (d) 4. and (f) are amended to read:

947 ATCP 10.53 (2) (d) 4. The 2 individual identifications of each farm-raised deer <u>that meet</u>
948 <u>the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3)</u>. Each farm raised deer shall have 2 individual
949 identifications, one an official individual identification and the second identification shall be
950 either an official individual identification or an individual identification unique to the herd.

951 (f) A physical herd inventory verifying the herd census and completed by the herd 952 veterinarian or an authorized agent of the department listing each farm-raised deer's 2 individual 953 identifications, required under par. (d) 4 that meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3).

954 SECTION 114. ATCP 10.53 (4) (a) and (b) (intro.) are amended to read:

955 ATCP 10.53 (4) (a) Identify every farm-raised deer in the herd with 2 individual

956 identifications that meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3) before the farm-raised deer is

- 957 one year old. One identification shall be official individual identification and the second
- 958 identification shall be either an official individual identification or an individual identification

959 unique to the herd.

961

963

(b) (intro.) Have a chronic wasting disease test performed, according to s. ATCP 10.52, on each of the following farm raised deer that is at least 12 months old:

962 **SECTION 115.** ATCP 10.53 (4) (b) 1. and 2. are repealed.

SECTION 116. ATCP 10.53 (4) (g) Note and (h) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.53 (4) (g) Note: Under s. ATCP 10.46 (12), if a farm-raised deer escapes from a herd enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd status program, and is returned to the herd more than 120 hours after the escape, it loses its status under the program and is treated as a new addition to the herd. That will adversely affect the program status of the entire herd.

A more stringent rule applies if the farm-raised deer escapes into a wild deer disease control area designated by the Wisconsin department of natural resources. If that escaped farmraised deer is returned to the herd more than 24 hours after the escape, it loses its status under the program and is treated as a new addition to the herd. That will adversely affect the program status of the whole herd.

973 If an escaped farm-raised deer is *not* returned to the herd, there is no effect on the 974 program status of the herd, except as provided in sub. (7).

975 (h) Submit a physical herd inventory completed by the herd veterinarian or an authorized 976 agent of the department at least once every 3 years. Each farm-raised deer in the herd shall have 977 2 forms of individual identification identifications, as required under par. (a), which meet the 978 requirements of sub. (2) (d) 4 s. ATCP 10.54 (3). Each farm-raised deer keeper with a herd 979 enrolled in the herd status program under this section shall submit the first complete physical 980 herd inventory by December 31, 2015.

981

1 **SECTION 117.** ATCP 10.53 (5) (a) 4. and (b) 2. are amended to read:

982	ATCP 10.53 (5) (a) 4. The 2 individual identifications which meet the requirements of
983	sub. (2) (d) 4. s. ATCP 10.54 (3) for each farm-raised deer that is at least one year old.
984	(b) 2. The 2 individual identifications which meet the requirements of sub. (2) (d) 4. s.
985	ATCP 10.54 (3) for each farm-raised deer that has left the herd.
986	SECTION 118. ATCP 10.53 (5m) (b) Note is amended to read:
987	ATCP 10.53 (5m) (b) Note: A farm-raised deer keeper, with a herd containing white-
988	tailed deer, that discontinues enrollment in the chronic wasting disease herd status program may
989	will be required to comply with fencing and other requirements of the DNR, as well as other
990	testing requirements under this chapter. See s. ATCP 10.52 (1m) (b) and s. NR 16.45.
991	SECTION 119. ATCP 10.53 (7) (a) 8. is created to read:
992	ATCP 10.53 (7) (a) 8. The herd keeper fails to maintain a current herd registration under
993	s. ATCP 10.46.
994	SECTION 120. ATCP 10.53 (7) (b) Note is repealed.
995	SECTION 121. ATCP 10.53 (7) (c) and Note are created to read:
996	ATCP 10.53 (7) (c) No live farm-raised deer may be moved from a herd while a
997	suspension under this subsection is in effect.
998	Note: A herd keeper may request a hearing on a suspension, pursuant to s. 227.42, Stats.,
999	and ch. ATCP 1. A request for hearing does not automatically stay a summary suspension.
1000	SECTION 122. ATCP 10.53 (8) (d) is created to read:
1001	ATCP 10.53 (8) (d) No live farm-raised deer may be moved from a herd after a herd
1002	enrollment is revoked under this this subsection.
1003	SECTION 123. ATCP 10.53 (8) (d) Note is amended to read:

1004	ATCP 10.53 (8) (d) Note: No live farm raised deer may be moved from a herd after a
1005	herd enrollment is revoked under sub. (8). See ss. ATCP 10.52 (2) and 10.56 (1). A herd keeper
1006	may request a hearing on a revocation, pursuant to s. 227.42, Stats., and ch. ATCP 1. A request
1007	for hearing does not automatically stay a summary revocation.
1008	SECTION 124. ATCP 10.53 (11) (c) 1. is amended to read:
1009	ATCP 10.53 (11) (c) 1. Two individual identifications which meet the requirements of
1010	sub. (2) (d) 4. s. ATCP 10.54 (3), for every farm-raised deer in the new herd, including those less
1011	than one year old.
1012	SECTION 125. ATCP 10.54 (1) (am) and (c) (intro.) are amended to read:
1013	ATCP 10.54 (1) (am) Whenever a person qualified under s. ATCP 10.52 (4) collects test
1014	samples from a farm-raised deer for chronic wasting disease testing, the person shall identify the
1015	farm-raised deer with an official individual identification or a dead tag, unless the farm-raised
1016	deer already bears all required individual identification, and shall record the official individual
1017	identification or dead tag number on the test sample. All identification tags and numbers from
1018	the animal shall accompany the test sample.
1019	(c) (intro.) A keeper of farm-raised deer shall identify each of the following farm-raised
1020	deer with 2 individual identifications meeting the requirements of s. ATCP 10.53 (2) (d) 4., sub.
1021	(3), unless that farm-raised deer already bears all the required individual identification:
1022	SECTION 126. ATCP 10.54 (1) (d) and (e) are repealed.
1023	SECTION 127. ATCP 10.54 (2) (a) and (b) (intro.) are amended to read:
1024	ATCP 10.54 (2) SLAUGHTER IDENTIFICATION. (a) Whenever an animal trucker,
1025	animal dealer, animal market operator, or a slaughtering establishment operator receives any
1026	farm-raised deer for slaughter, or for sale or shipment to slaughter, that recipient operator shall

immediately identify that farm-raised deer with an official backtag or other official slaughter
identification approved by the department unless the farm-raised deer already bears official
individual identification or slaughter identification.

1030 (b) (intro.) Whenever <u>any person an operator under par.</u> (a) receives a farm-raised deer 1031 for slaughter, or for sale or shipment to slaughter, that <u>recipient operator</u> shall immediately 1032 record the following information related to that farm-raised deer:

1033 **SECTION 128.** ATCP 10.54 (3) is created to read:

1034 ATCP 10.54 (3) TWO INDIVIDUAL IDENTIFICATIONS FOR FARM-RAISED

1035 DEER. Whenever a farm-raised deer is required to have 2 individual identifications under this 1036 chapter, the farm-raised deer keeper shall ensure the identification meets all of the following 1037 requirements:

1038 (a) One is official individual identification.

1039 (b) The second is either an individual identification unique to the herd or official 1040 individual identification that meets one of the following requirements:

1041 1. It was applied prior to the effective date of this rule (LRB INSERT DATE).

1042 2. It is an "840" tag applied to an animal that has a national uniform ear tagging system
1043 ear tag under s. ATCP 10.01 (70) (a).

1044 3. It is an official individual identification approved by the department.

1045 Note: A record of the official individual identification applied under subd. 2. or 3. must

1046 be recorded per s. ATCP 10.045 (3) (b).

1047 (c) At least one of the identifications under par. (a) or (b) is visible.

1048 Note: Animal identification requirements for animal truckers, animal markets and animal1049 dealers are specified under ch. ATCP 12.

- 1050 **SECTION 129.** ATCP 10.55 (1) Note, and (3) (d) are amended to read:
- 1051 **ATCP 10.55 (1)** Note: See also ss. ATCP <u>10.46 (1) (h) (importing to temporary farm-</u>
- 1052 raised deer exhibits), ATCP 10.81 (importing circus, rodeo, racing, and menagerie animals) and
- 1053 10.84 (importing wild animals).
- 1054 (3) (d) Official Two individual identification identifications on the farm-raised deer that
- 1055 meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3).
- 1056 **SECTION 130.** ATCP 10.55 (3) (d) Note is repealed.
- 1057 **SECTION 131.** ATCP 10.55 (3) (e) 1. is amended to read:
- 1058 ATCP 10.55 (3) (e) 1. "All cervids identified on this certificate originate from a herd
- 1059 currently enrolled for the past in good standing with at least 5 years of status in a state chronic
- 1060 wasting disease program meeting the federal bureau standards."
- **SECTION 132.** ATCP 10.56 (1) (a) 2. (intro.) and 3. are amended to read:
- 1062 ATCP 10.56 (1) (a) 2. (intro.) The farm-raised deer is tested for chronic wasting disease 1063 after being slaughtered as required under s. ATCP 10.52 (1m) (a) or (b), if the deer is any of the 1064 following:
- 1065 3. The farm-raised deer has identification required under s. ATCP 10.54 (2) (a) (3).
- **SECTION 133.** ATCP 10.56 (1) (d) Note is created to read:

1067 ATCP 10.56 (1) (d) Note: See also s. ATCP 10.46 (1) (h) (movement to temporary farm-1068 raised deer exhibits).

- **SECTION 134.** ATCP 10.56 (2) (c) and (3) (b) are amended to read:
- 1070 ATCP 10.56 (2) (c) Official Two individual identification identifications of the farm-
- 1071 raised deer that meet the requirements under s. ATCP 10.54 (3).

1072 (3) (b) The farm-raised deer originates from a herd that is classified as a tuberculosis 1073 qualified herd under s. ATCP 10.49, based on a whole herd test completed within the preceding 1074 365 days of movement. 1075 **SECTION 135.** ATCP 10.56 (3) (d) 2. Note is repealed. 1076 SECTION 136. ATCP 10.56 (3) (e) is created to read: ATCP 10.56 (3) (e) The farm-raised deer originates from a herd that is on the same 1077 premises as bovine animals that meets one of the requirements under s. ATCP 10.46 (11) (d) 2. 1078 1079 SECTION 137. ATCP 10.56 (4) (b) is amended to read: 1080 ATCP 10.56 (4) (b) It has been is currently enrolled in the chronic wasting disease herd status program under s. ATCP 10.53, is in good standing, and has at least 5 years of status. 1081 SECTION 138. ATCP 10.61 (5m) (b) and (c) are amended to read: 1082 1083 ATCP 10.61 (5m) (b) Each registered fish farm under par. (a) is considered a separate fish farm for purposes of disease control and movement. Fish Except as provided under s. ATCP 1084 10.64 (3) (a), fish and fish eggs, from species found to be the department has identified as being 1085 susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS), that are moved from a type 3 fish farm to any 1086 1087 location in the state shall be accompanied by a valid health certificate under s. ATCP 10.65 (4)(c). The fish farm operator shall keep a record, under sub. (10) (a), related to each movement of 1088 1089 fish or fish eggs between any of the registered fish farms. 1090 (c) Before the department issues separate registration certificates under par. (a) for fish farms located on the same land parcel or contiguous land parcels that include at least one type 3 1091

1092 fish farm, the department shall inspect the fish farms for compliance with par. (b). The fish farm
1093 operator shall pay a nonrefundable fee of \$400 for each day, or portion of a day, needed to

1094

80

complete the inspection specified under s. ATCP 10.025. A single fee covers all of the inspected

1095 fish farms. No inspection is required for the renewal of an existing fish farm registration if the

1096 department has previously inspected the fish farm under this paragraph.

SECTION 139. ATCP 10.61 (6) (intro.) is amended to read:

1098 ATCP 10.61 (6) APPLYING FOR A REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE. (intro.) To

1099 obtain an annual Before applying for an initial fish farm registration certificate under sub. (1), an

1100 applicant shall contact the Wisconsin department of natural resources to determine whether a

1101 <u>natural waterbody permit must be obtained. Once the applicant either receives the natural</u>

1102 waterbody permit or a determination that the permit is not necessary from the Wisconsin

1103 department of natural resources, a fish farm operator shall submit an application to the

1104 department on a form provided by the department. The application shall include all of the

1105 following:

1106 **SECTION 140.** ATCP 10.61 (6) (d) Note is created to read:

ATCP 10.61 (6) (d) Note: An initial fish farm registration includes fish farm operators
who let their fish farm registration certificates lapse for more than one year then apply for a new
license.

1110 **SECTION 141.** ATCP 10.61 (6m) (b) 6. and 7. are amended to read:

1111 ATCP 10.61 (6m) (b) 6. The registration fee under sub. (7) (a) 2. and the medical

1112 <u>separation fee, if required under sub. (5m),</u> when amending a type 1 registration to a type 2 or 1113 type 3 fish farm registration. The previous type 1 registration fee payment is not credited toward 1114 payment of the type 2 or type 3 fish farm registration.

1115 7. The registration fee under sub. (7) (a) 1. and the medical separation fee, if required
1116 <u>under sub. (5m)</u>, when amending a type 2 or type 3 registration to a type 1 registration. The

previous type 2 or type 3 registration fee payment is not credited toward payment of the type 1fish farm registration.

SECTION 142. ATCP 10.61 (7) (b) is repealed and recreated to read:

1120 ATCP 10.61 (7) (b) A medical separation fee specified under s. ATCP 10.025, if

1121 required under sub. (5m).

1122 **SECTION 143.** ATCP 10.61 (7) (f) is amended to read:

1123 **ATCP 10.61 (7)** (f) A fish farm operator who applies for the renewal of a fish farm 1124 registration certificate after that certificate has expired shall pay, in addition to all other fees 1125 required under this subsection, a late fee equal to 20% of the registration fees.

SECTION 144. ATCP 10.61 (10) (a) 5., (c) 5., and (13) (b) are amended to read:

1127 ATCP 10.61 (10) (a) 5. Any import permit or required under s. ATCP 10.62 and any
1128 health certificate required under s. ATCP 10.62 10.65 (1).

(c) 5. Any import permit or required under s. ATCP 10.62 and any health certificate
required under s. ATCP 10.62 10.65 (1).

(13) (b) A reinspection fee under par. (a) is payable when the reinspection is completed,
and is due upon written demand from the department. The department may issue a demand for
payment when it issues a <u>re-registration renewal</u> application form to the fish farm operator.

SECTION 145. ATCP 10.62 (1) (a) 3., (2) (e) and Note are amended to read:

1135 **ATCP 10.62** (1) (a) 3. Holding or rearing the fish, or hatching the fish eggs, at a fish

1136 farm for which a registration certificate is required under s. ATCP 10.61 (1) if the imported fish

1137 <u>or fish eggs are harvested from the wild</u>.

(2) (e) Live fish or fish eggs of species that are not susceptible to viral hemorrhagic
septicemia, as determined by the federal bureau department, imported directly for personal use as

- bait, in amounts not exceeding 600 fish or fish eggs per shipment, and in compliance with s. NR20.08.
- 1142 Note: Species that the federal bureau department has found to be susceptible to viral
- 1143 hemorrhagic septicemia are listed at:
- 1144 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth
- 1145 <u>https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Homepage.aspx</u>.
- 1146 SECTION 146. ATCP 10.63 (1) (b) and Note are amended to read:
- 1147 **ATCP 10.63 (1)** (b) A health certificate is not required for live fish or fish eggs of
- 1148 species that are not susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia, as determined by the federal
- 1149 bureau department, imported directly for personal use as bait in amounts not exceeding 600 fish
- 1150 or fish eggs per shipment and in compliance with s. NR 20.08.
- 1151 Note: Species that the federal bureau department has found to be susceptible to viral
- 1152 hemorrhagic septicemia are listed at:
- 1153 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth
- 1154 <u>https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Homepage.aspx</u>.
- 1155 **SECTION 147.** ATCP 10.64 (1) and Note, and (3) (a) are amended to read:
- 1156 ATCP 10.64 (1) VALID HEALTH CERTIFICATE REQUIRED. Except as provided in
- sub. (3), no person may move any live fish or fish eggs of a species that the federal bureau
- 1158 <u>department</u> has found to be identified as being susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia
- (VHS) from a type 3 fish farm to any other location in this state, unless those fish or fish eggs are
- 1160 covered by a valid health certificate under s. ATCP 10.65. A qualified fish health inspector shall
- 1161 issue the health certificate based on an inspection of the fish or fish eggs, or of the farm from

which they originate. A health certificate does not cover a movement that occurs after the healthcertificate expires.

1164 Note: Species that the federal bureau department has found to be susceptible to viral

1165 hemorrhagic septicemia are listed at:

1166 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth

1167 https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Homepage.aspx.

(3) (a) Live fish or fish eggs moved between type 3 fish farms registered under s. ATCP
10.61 by the same fish farm operator, if the operator keeps a complete record of the movement
under s. ATCP 10.61 (10).

1171 SECTION 148. ATCP 10.645 (intro.) and (2) Note are amended to read:

ATCP 10.645 Bait fish from wild sources. (intro.) No bait dealer who is required to hold a license under s. 29.509, Stats., may distribute for use as bait any of the following fish or fish eggs, of a species that the federal bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS), unless the fish or fish eggs are covered by a valid fish health certificate that complies with s. ATCP 10.65:

1177 (2) Note: A "wild source" under s. ATCP 10.645 includes a wild source in this state or 1178 outside this state. Fish and fish eggs imported from other states (including bait fish and fish 1179 eggs) must also comply with import requirements under s. ATCP 10.62. Species that the federal 1180 bureau department has found to be susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia are listed at:

1181 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth

1182 <u>https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Homepage.aspx</u>. Section ATCP 10.645 applies to additional species

1183 if and when the federal bureau department finds that those species are susceptible. DATCP will

1184 identify susceptible species (per USDA findings) in the fish health certificate form under s.

1185 ATCP 10.65.

SECTION 149. ATCP 10.65 (1) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.65 Fish health certificates. (1) GENERAL. A fish health certificate under s.
ATCP 10.61 (3) (g) 2. and (5m) (b), 10.62 (1) (d) and (2) (f), 10.63 (1), 10.64 (1), or 10.645 shall
comply with this section.

1190 SECTION 150. ATCP 10.65 (4) (a) (intro.), and 4. and (b) (intro.), 3. and Note, and (c)
1191 (intro.), 2. and Note, and (d) 3. Note (intro.) are amended to read:

1192 ATCP 10.65 (4) CERTIFICATE CONTENTS. (a) (intro.) A fish health certificate 1193 under s. ATCP 10.62 (1) (d) <u>or (2) (f)</u> shall certify that the listed species of fish and fish eggs in 1194 the inspected shipment, or at the inspected fish farm, are free of all of the following:

4. Viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) if an import shipment covered by the health certificate includes fish or fish eggs of a species that the federal bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia and the fish or fish eggs are from a state or province where that disease is known to occur.

(b) (intro.) Except as provided in s. ATCP 10.655, a <u>A</u> fish health certificate issued under
s. ss. ATCP 10.61 (3) (g) 2. or 10.63 (1) shall certify that the listed species of fish and fish eggs
in the inspected shipment, or at the inspected fish farm, are free of all of the following:

3. Viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) if the health certificate covers fish or fish eggs of a species that the federal bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) and the fish or fish eggs are from a wild source or a type 3 fish farm.

Note: A "wild source" under subd. 3. includes a wild source in this state or outside this 1206 1207 state. Fish and fish eggs imported from other states (including bait fish and fish eggs) must also comply with import requirements under s. ATCP 10.62. Species that the federal bureau 1208 1209 department has found to be susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia are listed at: 1210 www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Homepage.aspx. Subdivision 3. applies to additional species if and 1211 when the federal bureau department finds that those species are susceptible. DATCP will 1212 identify susceptible species (per USDA findings) in the fish health certificate form under s. 1213 1214 ATCP 10.65. (c) (intro.) A fish health certificate issued under s. ss. ATCP 10.61 (5m) (b) or ATCP 1215 10.64 (1) shall certify that the listed species of fish and fish eggs in the inspected shipment, or at 1216 1217 the inspected fish farm, are free of all of the following: 2. Viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) if the health certificate covers fish or fish eggs of 1218 species that the federal bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to 1219 1220 viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS). 1221 Note: A health certificate under s. ATCP 10.64 (1) is for species of fish or fish eggs that 1222 the federal bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) and are being moved from a type 3 fish farm. 1223 (d) 3. Note: (intro.) A fish health certificate is required under s. ATCP 10.645 whenever a 1224 1225 bait dealer distributes as bait any of the following fish or fish eggs of a species that the federal 1226 bureau has found to be department has identified as being susceptible to VHS: 1227 SECTION 151. ATCP 10.655 (1) (intro.) is amended to read:

1228 **ATCP 10.655 Fish reintroduced into their original wild source.** (1) HEALTH

1229 CERTIFICATE EXEMPTION. (intro.) Section ATCP 10.65 (4) (b) does not apply to the 1230 reintroduction of fish or fish eggs to the same lake from which they, or the eggs from which they 1231 were hatched, were collected, or to the same point or a downstream point in the same river 1232 system from which they, or the eggs from which they were hatched, were collected, if all of the 1233 following apply:

1234

SECTION 152. ATCP 10.68 (2) and (2m) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.68 (2) RENEWAL. The department may renew re-issue a certification under sub. (1) if, within 14 months after the last certification date, the flock owner submits the results of another flock test showing that all rams in the flock have tested negative for brucella ovis. If a herd owner fails to meet the deadline under this subsection, certification expires and may not be reinstated except by the procedure under sub. (1).

(2m) Every application for certification under sub. (1) or certification renewal re issuance under sub. (2) shall include a nonrefundable fee of \$50 for each year of certification.

1242 SECTION 153. ATCP 10.73 (2) to (3) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.73 (2) RENEWAL. The department may <u>renew re-issue</u> a certification under sub. (1) if, within 14 months after the last certification date, the herd owner submits proof that the herd has again tested negative for brucellosis in a herd test that included all goats over 6 months old. If a herd owner fails to meet the deadline under this subsection, certification expires and may not be reinstated except by the procedure under sub. (1).

1248 (2m) Every application for certification under sub. (1) or certification renewal re1249 issuance under sub. (2) shall include a nonrefundable fee of \$50.

1250 (3) TEST PROCEDURE. Testing under this section shall comply with the brucellosis
 1251 uniform methods and rules s. ATCP 10.052.

1252 **SECTION 154.** ATCP 10.73 (3) Note is repealed.

1253 **SECTION 155.** ATCP 10.74 (3) (a) 3. is created to read:

1254 ATCP 10.74 (3) (a) 3. Any goat in the herd is commingled with animals of lesser or no 1255 tuberculosis status.

1256 **SECTION 156.** ATCP 10.76 (2) (b), (c) (intro.) and (d) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.76 (2) (b) A goat from a tuberculosis modified accredited state or a modified
 accredited zone may not be imported to an animal market.

(c) (intro.) A goat imported from a modified accredited state <u>or a modified accredited</u>
<u>zone</u> may not be removed from the premises where it is first received in this state unless one of
the following applies:

(d) The owner of a goat imported from a tuberculosis modified accredited state or a
 <u>modified accredited zone</u> shall have the goat tested for tuberculosis not less than 60 days nor
 more than 90 days after it is imported.

1265 **SECTION 157.** ATCP 10.80 (3) (a) and (b) are amended to read:

ATCP 10.80 (3) (a) A dog <u>or domestic cat</u> imported directly to a veterinary facility for treatment, provided that the dog <u>or domestic cat</u> is returned to its place of origin immediately following treatment and there is no change of ownership while the dog <u>or domestic cat</u> is in this state.

(b) A dog <u>or domestic cat</u> returning directly to its place of origin in this state following
treatment in a veterinary facility outside this state, provided that the dog <u>or domestic cat</u> was

1272 taken directly to the veterinary facility and there was no change of ownership while the dog or

1273 <u>domestic cat</u> was outside the state for veterinary treatment.

1274 SECTION 158. ATCP 10.82 (3) (a) Note and (b) are amended to read:

1275 ATCP 10.82 (3) (a) Note: To obtain a list of tuberculosis tests approved for various

1276 species of exotic ruminants, contact. Information regarding approved tuberculosis testing of

1277 <u>specific species may be found by contacting</u> the department at the following address:

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
Division of Animal Health
P.O. Box 8911
Madison, WI 53708-8911
Phone: (608) 224-4872

(b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to an exotic ruminant imported directly to an institution accredited by the association of zoos and aquariums. An animal imported to an accredited institution under this paragraph may not be moved to any place <u>in Wisconsin</u> that is not an accredited institution unless the animal tests negative on a tuberculosis test approved for that species by the department. The test shall be conducted not more than 60 days before the animal is moved.

1290 **SECTION 159.** ATCP 10.84 (4) (b) 4. is amended to read:

1283

1291 ATCP 10.84 (4) (b) 4. The animal is returning directly to <u>its place of origin</u> in this state 1292 following veterinary treatment in another state, provided there was no change of ownership 1293 while the animal was outside this state.

1294 **SECTION 160.** ATCP 10.86 (3) (b) is amended to read:

ATCP 10.86 (3) (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to an elephant imported directly to an institution accredited by the association of zoos and aquariums. An animal imported to an accredited institution under this paragraph may not be moved to any place in Wisconsin that is

1298	not an accredited institution unless the animal tests negative on a tuberculosis test approved by
1299	the department. The test shall be conducted not more than 365 days before the animal is moved.
1300	SECTION 161. ATCP 10.87 (1) (a) and (c) are amended to read:
1301	ATCP 10.87 (1) (a) Comply with this section, and take reasonable steps to ensure that all
1302	exhibitors comply.
1303	(c) Appoint a licensed veterinarian to do all of the following on behalf of the organizer
1304	conduct a daily inspection of the exhibited animals, if the fair or exhibition lasts for more than 24
1305	hours <u>.</u> :
1306	SECTION 162. ATCP 10.87 (1) (c) 1. and 2. are repealed.
1307	SECTION 163. ATCP 10.87 (1) (d) is created to read:
1308	ATCP 10.87 (1) (d) 1. Keep all of the following information for any swine meeting the
1309	criteria under s. ATCP 10.32 (2) (b) 2. that participate at the fair or exhibition:
1310	a. A record of any swine that were transported directly to a slaughtering establishment.
1311	b. A record of any swine that were transported to an animal market where all the animals
1312	sold at the market sale for that day were shipped directly to a slaughtering establishment.
1313	2. The organizer shall keep the records required under subd. 1. in written or electronic
1314	form, keep the records for at least 5 years, and make the records available to the department for
1315	inspection and copying upon request.
1316	SECTION 164. ATCP 10.87 (2) (b) 2., 3. and 5. are amended to read:
1317	ATCP 10.87 (2) (b) 2. Identification The number, type, description and official
1318	individual identification, if official individual identification is required under this chapter, of
1319	animals exhibited. If official individual identification is not required, identifying information of
1320	animals exhibited, including number, type, and description.

3. Appropriate and reliable documentation to show compliance with disease testing and
other health requirements under this section <u>chapter</u>.

1323 5. Appropriate and reliable documentation, if requested by the organizer of the fair or
1324 exhibition, to show that the animals were lawfully imported or moved to the fair or exhibition.
1325 SECTION 165. ATCP 10.89 (1) (a) 3. is created to read:

ATCP 10.89 (1) (a) 3. To protect the health of animals located in this state and of humans residing in this state, relating to the importation, movement, and care of animals and their products, the disinfection of suspected localities and articles, and the disposition of animals, as the department determines are necessary.

SECTION 166. ATCP 10.89 (2) (title) (intro.) and (a) to (c) are renumbered 10.89 (2)

1331 (title) (a) and 1. to 3. and as renumbered, 10.89 (2) (a) (intro.), is amended to read:

ATCP 10.89 (2) (title) (a) (intro.) A Except as provided under par. (b), a quarantine order under sub. (1) shall be served upon a person having custody or control of the quarantined animals, or shall be posted on the premises affected by the quarantine order. A quarantine order under this paragraph may be served by any of the following methods:

SECTION 167. ATCP 10.89 (2) (b) is created to read:

ATCP 10.89 (2) (b) The department may issue an emergency quarantine order under sub. (1) that will affect a particular geographical location, county, counties or the entire state in the event of a national, state, or regional animal disease outbreak. An emergency quarantine order under this paragraph shall become effective upon the department issuing a news release to media outlets in all affected areas.

SECTION 168. ATCP 10.89 (3) is amended to read:

- 1343 ATCP 10.89 (3) PROOF OF SERVICE. Service under sub. (2) (a) may be proved by
- 1344 affidavit certificate of personal service or by certified mail return receipt.
- **SECTION 169.** ATCP 10.89 (4) (title) (intro.) is renumbered 10.89 (4) (title) (a) and as renumbered, 10.89 (4) (title) (a) (intro.) and 6. are amended to read:
- 1347 ATCP 10.89 (4) (title) (a) (intro.) A quarantine order served under sub. (1) (2) (a) shall
- 1348 contain <u>all of the following information</u>:
- 1349 6. Notice that persons adversely affected by the quarantine may request a hearing <u>under</u>1350 sub. (6) to review the quarantine order.
- **SECTION 170.** ATCP 10.89 (4) (b) is created to read:
- 1352 ATCP 10.89 (4) (b) A quarantine order issued under sub. (2) (b) shall contain all of the 1353 following information:
- 1354 1. A description of the animals affected by the quarantine.
- 1355 2. A description of the geographical location affected by the quarantine.
- 1356 3. The reason or justification for the quarantine.
- 1357 4. All terms and conditions applicable to the quarantine.
- 1358 5. Notice that persons adversely affected by the quarantine may request a hearing under
- 1359 sub. (6) to review the quarantine order.
- **SECTION 171.** ATCP 10.90 is repealed.
- **SECTION 172.** ATCP 10.91 (2) is amended to read:
- ATCP 10.91 (2) SERVICE OF ORDER. An order under sub. (1) shall be served upon a person having custody or control of the animals affected by the order. The order may be served in person or by certified mail. Service may be proved by affidavit certificate of personal service or by certified mail return receipt.

1366 **SECTION 173.** ATCP 10.92 (3), (4), (5) (intro.) and (b), (11), and (13) are amended to 1367 read:

- 1368 ATCP 10.92 (3) Misrepresent to any person the <u>age</u>, identity, origin, or disease status of 1369 any animal, or of the herd from which an animal originates.
- 1370 (4) Falsify, remove, alter, or tamper with any official identification or official back tag
 1371 required under this chapter or ch. ATCP 12.
- 1372 (5) (intro.) Fail or refuse to permit reasonable department <u>access to premises or</u>
 1373 inspection of any of the following:

(b) Relevant records related to the health and movement of animals, including health or
shipping documents related to animals in transit, and any other records required under this
<u>chapter</u>.

1377 (11) Cause or permit the commingling of different livestock species, other than <u>sheep and</u>
 1378 <u>goats or different species of fish, poultry, South American camelids, or ratites, during transit.</u>

1379 (13) Falsify, or fail to submit to the department, upon request, any record required under

1380 this chapter or ch. ATCP 12 or prevent the department from taking such records off site for

1381 <u>copying if deemed necessary for efficiency</u>.

SECTION 174. ATCP 10.92 (19), (20), and Note are created to read:

ATCP 10.92 (19) Except as authorized under s. ATCP 10.045 (3), apply official
individual identification to any animal that already has an official individual identification
attached to it.

(20) Except as authorized under s. ATCP 10.46 (11) (d) 2., cause or allow farm-raised
deer to commingle with bovine animals on the same premises or in the same building, enclosure
or vehicle unless all the animals are shipped directly to a slaughtering establishment and are

1389 accompanied by a completed federal bureau form VS 1-27 or a department permit under s.

1390 ATCP 10.08 (3).

- 1391 Note: Federal bureau form VS 1-27 may be completed by an accredited veterinarian, an1392 authorized state animal health official, or the federal bureau.
- **SECTION 175.** Ch. ATCP 10, Appendices A and B, are repealed and recreated to read:

Chapter ATCP 10 APPENDIX A Diseases Reported Within One Day

African horse sickness	Japanese encephalitis
African swine fever	Lumpy skin disease
Akabane	Melioidosis
Anthrax	New world screwworm (Cochliomyia hominivorax)
Arenavirus	Old world screwworm (Chrysomya bezziana)
Avian influenza	Nipah virus infection
B Virus Infection	Nairobi sheep disease
Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy	Orthopoxvirus infection
Brucellosis	Peste des petits ruminants
Chronic wasting disease	Plague
Classical swine fever	Pseudorabies (Aujesky's disease)
Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia	Pullorum disease
Contagious Equine Metritis	Rabies
Crimean Congo hemorrhagic disease	Rift Valley fever
Dourine	Rinderpest
Equine encephalomyelitis (Eastern, Western or	Scrapie
Venezuelan) Epizootic lymphangitis	Sheep pox and goat pox
Equine infectious anemia	Surra (Trypanosoma evansi)
Equine influenza	Swine influenza
Exotic Newcastle disease	Swine vesicular disease
Filovirus Infection	Tuberculosis
Foot and mouth disease	Tularemia
Glanders (Farcy)	Vesicular conditions including vesicular stomatitis
Hendravirus	Any disease that is a foreign or exotic disease to Wisconsin

Chapter ATCP 10 APPENDIX B Diseases Reported Within 10 Days

Multiple species diseases

Bluetongue Echinococcosis/hydatidosis Epizootic hemorrhagic disease Heartwater Influenza virus Leptospirosis Mange Paratuberculosis also known as Johne's Disease Q Fever (Coxiellosis)

Fish diseases

Epizootic hematopoietic necrosis Infectious hematopoietic necrosis Infectious salmon anemia Epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) (Infection with Aphanomyces invadans) Gyrodactylosis (Gyrodactylus salaris) Infection with salmonid alphavirus Koi herpesvirus disease Largemouth bass virus Oncorhynchus masou virus disease Proliferative kidney disease Red seabream iridoviral disease Spring viremia of carp Streptococcus iniae Viral hemorrhagic septicemia Whirling disease (Myxobolus cerebralis) White sturgeon iridovirus

Sheep and goat diseases

Caprine Arthritis Contagious agalactia (mycoplasma) Contagious caprine pleuropneumonia Enzootic abortion of ewes (ovine chlamydiosis) Maedi-visna Ovine epididymitis (Brucella ovis) Ovine progressive pneumonia (Maedi-visna) Ovine pulmonary adenomatosis Salmonellosis (S. abortusovis)

Swine diseases

Atrophic rhinitis of swine Enterovirus encephalomyelitis Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PED) Porcine cysticercosis Porcine delta coronavirus (PDCoV) Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome Swine influenza Swine enteric coronavirus disease (SECD) Transmissible gastroenteritis Trichinellosis Vesicular exanthema

Bee diseases

Acariosis of bees American foulbrood European foulbrood Nosemosis of bees Small hive beetle infestation Varroosis

Lagomorph diseases

Myxomatosis Rabbit hemorrhagic disease

Cattle diseases

Bovine anaplasmosis Bovine babesiosis Bovine spongiform encephalopathy Bovine cysticercosis Bovine genital campylobacteriosis Bovine viral diarrhea (BVD) Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis/infectious pustular vulvovaginitis Dermatophilosis Enzootic bovine leukosis Hemorrhagic septicemia Malignant catarrhal fever Theileriosis Trichomonosis Trypanosomosis (tsetse-borne)

Equine diseases

Equineherpesvirus (Equine Herpes Myeloencephalopathy) Equine piroplasmosis Equine viral arteritis Horse pox Pigeon Fever Strangles West Nile Virus

Avian diseases

Avian infectious bronchitis Avian infectious encephalomyelitis Avian infectious laryngotracheitis Avian mycoplasmosis (M. gallisepticum) Avian tuberculosis Duck virus enteritis Duck virus hepatitis Fowl cholera Ornithosis (psittacosis) Paramyxovirus infections of poultry other than Newcastle disease Psittacosis Salmonellosis

Avian diseases cont.

Fowl pox Fowl typhoid Marek's disease Mycoplasma meleagridis Infectious bursal disease (Gumboro disease) Turkey rhinotracheitis

Mollusc diseases

Haplosporidiosis (H. nelsoni or H. costale) Bonamiosis Marteiliosis Mikrocytosis (Mikrocytos mackini) Perkinsosis Infection with Xenohaliotis californiensis Infection with abalone herpes virus

Crustacean Diseases

Taura syndrome White spot disease Necrotising hepatopancreatitis (Candidatus Hepatobacterpenaei) (NHP, early mortality syndrome) Yellowhead (Infection with Yellowhead virus genotype 1) Infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis Crayfish plague (Aphanomyces astaci) Infectious myonecrosis White tail disease Acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (V.parahemolyticus pVA-1 plasmid)

1404

1405	Diseases of other animal species
1406	Canine Influenza
1407	Leishmaniasis
1408	Infection with Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis
1409	Infection with ranavirus
1410	Hantavirus
1411	

1412

1413 SECTION 176. Ch. ATCP 12 second Note is amended to read

1414 Ch. ATCP 12 Note: Requirements regarding federally approved livestock marketing

- 1415 facilities can be found under s. ATCP 10.07 (4), approved import feed lots under s. ATCP 10.22
- 1416 (9), and intermediate livestock handling facilities under s. ATCP 10.22 (10) 10.07 (5).
- 1417 SECTION 177. ATCP 12.01 (1g) is amended to read:

1418	ATCP 12.01 (1g) "Animal market" means any premises that are open to the public for
1419	the purpose of trading in livestock or wild animals, and that have facilities to keep, feed, and
1420	water livestock or wild animals prior to sale, and is required to be licensed under s. ATCP 12.02
1421	<u>(1)</u> .
1422	SECTION 178. ATCP 12.01 (14) is created to read:
1423	ATCP 12.01 (14) "Market swine" means a barrow which is a castrated boar, or a gilt
1424	which is a female that has not reproduced, that are sold for shipment to slaughter.
1425	SECTION 179. ATCP 12.01 (25) is amended to read:
1426	ATCP 12.01 (25) "Slaughtering establishment" means a facility to slaughter animals
1427	that is subject to licensing licensed and inspected by the department, or that is subject to
1428	inspection by the United States department of agriculture. "Slaughtering establishment" includes
1429	all premises used in connection with a slaughter operation, including an intermediate livestock
1430	handling facility approved under s. ATCP 10.22 (10) 10.07 (5).
1431	SECTION 180. ATCP 12.02 (8) (g) is amended to read:
1432	ATCP 12.02 (8) (g) Remove market animals from the animal market within 4 days after
1433	they enter the market, except as provided in sub. (10). The animal market operator shall remove
1434	market bovine calves less than 12 weeks old from the animal market within 24 hours after the
1435	calves are sold.
1436	SECTION 181. ATCP 12.02 (8) (k), (L), and (m) are created to read:
1437	ATCP 12.02 (8) (k) Clearly separate market animals from any other livestock on the
1438	premises.
1439	(L) Comply with 9 CFR Part 86 when moving cattle interstate or releasing cattle for
1440	interstate movement.

1441 (m) Notify potential buyers of any swine that test positive for porcine reproductive and 1442 respiratory syndrome or the porcine epidemic diarrhea virus before those swine are presented for 1443 sale at the market.

1444 **SECTION 182.** ATCP 12.02 (11) (c) is amended to read:

1445 ATCP 12.02 (11) (c) Deliver livestock or wild animals to an unlicensed animal trucker

1446 for transport <u>or animal dealer</u>, if the operator knows or has reason to know that the animal

1447 trucker or animal dealer is unlicensed.

1448 **SECTION 183.** ATCP 12.03 (2) (d) is amended to read:

1449 ATCP 12.03 (2) (d) The operator of a licensed meat slaughtering establishment or an

1450 <u>employee of a slaughtering establishment</u> who buys livestock solely for slaughter at that meat
1451 slaughtering establishment.

1452 **SECTION 184.** ATCP 12.03 (9) (j) and (k) are created to read:

1453 ATCP 12.03 (9) (j) Comply with 9 CFR Part 86 when moving cattle interstate or 1454 releasing cattle for interstate movement.

(k) Notify potential buyers of any swine that test positive for porcine reproductive andrespiratory syndrome or the porcine epidemic diarrhea virus before sold by the dealer.

1457 **SECTION 185.** ATCP 12.04 (9) (a) is amended to read:

1458 ATCP 12.04 (9) PROHIBITED CONDUCT. (a) No animal trucker may cause or permit

1459 the commingling of different species of animals to be commingled on the same animal transport

1460 vehicle or enclosure if the animals are not of comparable size, or if one species may pose a

1461 known disease threat to the other species.

1462 **SECTION 186.** ATCP 12.05 (1) (a) Note is repealed.

1463 **SECTION 187.** ATCP 12.05 (1) (b) 1. is amended to read:

ATCP 12.05 (1) (b) Slaughter identification. 1. Whenever an animal dealer, animal 1464 1465 market operator, or animal trucker receives any bovine animal for sale or shipment to slaughter, that person shall immediately identify the animal with an official back tag and record the back 1466 1467 tag number, if unless the bovine animal is not a steer or already identified according to par. (a). 1468 If a bovine animal is already identified according to par. (a), or backtagged at the time of receipt, the person receiving the animal shall record its official identification or back tag number. This 1469 paragraph does not apply to an animal trucker that picks up any bovine animals from a farm 1470 premises and takes the bovine animals directly to a slaughtering establishment without 1471 1472 commingling with bovine animals from other farms. **SECTION 188.** ATCP 12.05 (1) (b) 2. Note is repealed. 1473 SECTION 189. ATCP 12.05 (2) (a) and (b) are amended to read: 1474 ATCP 12.05 (2) IDENTIFICATION OF SWINE. (a) Official individual identification. 1475

Whenever an animal dealer or animal market operator receives any swine, the animal dealer or market operator shall immediately record the official individual identification of that swine. If a swine has no official individual identification at the time of receipt, the animal dealer or market operator shall immediately identify that swine with an official individual identification. This paragraph does not apply to <u>market swine or</u> swine that are backtagged for slaughter under par. (b) 1.

(b) *Slaughter identification*. 1. Whenever an animal dealer, animal market operator, or animal trucker receives any sow, boar, or stag for sale or shipment to slaughter, that person shall immediately identify the swine with an official swine back tag or premises identification ear tag and record the back tag or ear tag number, if the swine is not already identified according to par. (a). If a sow, boar, or stag is already identified according to par. (a), or backtagged or tagged

with a premises identification ear tag at the time of receipt, the person receiving the swine shall record its official identification back tag, or ear tag number. <u>This subdivision does not apply to</u> an animal trucker that picks up any swine from a farm premises and takes the swine directly to a <u>slaughtering establishment without commingling with swine from other farms</u>.

2. Any animal dealer or animal market operator that has <u>a market swine under sub. (2)</u> 1492 (<u>a) or an animal that is backtagged for movement to slaughter under subd. 1., but shipment to</u> 1493 slaughter does not occur, shall immediately identify that animal with an official individual 1494 identification, if not already applied, and record that official individual identification number.

1495 **SECTION 190.** ATCP 12.05 (2) (b) 2. first Note is repealed.

1496 **SECTION 191.** ATCP 12.05 (5) (a) and (b) are amended to read:

1497 ATCP 12.05 (5) IDENTIFICATION OF FARM-RAISED DEER. (a) Official

individual identification. 1. Whenever an animal dealer or animal market operator receives any farm-raised deer, the animal dealer or market operator shall immediately record the official individual identification of that farm-raised deer. If a farm-raised deer has no official individual identification at the time of receipt, the animal dealer or market operator shall immediately identify that farm-raised deer with an official individual identification. This paragraph does not apply to farm-raised deer which are backtagged for slaughter under par. (b).

1504 2. No animal dealer or animal market operator may deliver a farm-raised deer to the
1505 custody of any other person unless that deer bears 2 individual identifications meeting the
1506 requirements of s. ATCP 10.53 (2) (d) 4 10.54 (3) or unless that deer is backtagged under par.
1507 (b) and shipped directly to slaughter.

(b) Slaughter identification. Whenever an animal dealer, animal market operator oranimal trucker receives a farm-raised deer for sale or shipment to slaughter, that recipient shall

immediately identify that deer with an official back tag or other slaughter identification approved by the department, unless that deer already bears an official <u>individual identification</u> or slaughter identification. The animal dealer, animal market operator, or animal trucker shall immediately record the back tag or slaughter identification number.

1514 SECTION 192. ATCP 12.06 (1m) (f) and (h) are amended to read:

1515 ATCP 12.06 (1m) (f) A copy of any certificate of veterinary inspection that accompanied 1516 <u>if required to accompany</u> the animal. An animal market veterinarian may keep the certificate 1517 copy for the animal market operator.

1518 (h) Equine infectious anemia test results, if required under s. ss. ATCP $\underline{10.35(1)}$ and

1519 10.36(3) or (4) for an equine animal.

1520 SECTION 193. ATCP 12.06 (1m) (j) and (k) are created to read:

ATCP 12.06 (1m) (j) The chronic wasting disease test results and records required under
s. ATCP 10.46 (10) (b) if an animal dealer purchases a farm-raised deer under s. ATCP 10.46 (1)
(g).

(k) Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and porcine epidemic diarrhea virus test results, if required under s. ATCP 10.30 (1) (a) 3. or 10.32 (2) and herd plan number, if required under ss. ATCP 10.30 (2) (d) or 10.32 (2) (a) 2.

SECTION 194. ATCP 12.06 (2) is amended to read:

1528 ATCP 12.06 (2) RECORDS RETAINED FOR 5 YEARS; INSPECTION AND

1529 COPYING. A person who is required to keep records under sub. (1), (1m), or (1s) shall retain

1530 those records for at least 5 years, and shall make them available to the department for inspection

1531 and copying upon request. The department may take records off site for copying if deemed

1532 necessary for efficiency.

1533 **SECTION 195.** ATCP 12.08 (4), (5) (intro.) and (b), (6), (8) and (26) are amended to 1534 read:

ATCP 12.08 (4) Transport any animal without certificates of veterinary inspection,
permits, or other documents required by this chapter, ch. ATCP 10, or ch. 169, Stats., or 9 CFR
Part 86.

1538 (5) (intro.) Fail or refuse, upon reasonable demand by any authorized agent of the 1539 department, to permit <u>access to a premises or vehicle or</u> the examination of:

(b) Health or shipping documents accompanying animals in transit and <u>any other records</u>required under this chapter.

1542 (6) Fail to provide, within 10 days following the receipt of a written request from the 1543 department, any relevant report or document relating to the transportation of animals or any 1544 official individual identification of an animal purchased, sold, or transported. The department 1545 may extend the compliance deadline under this subsection for good cause shown.

1546 (8) Cause or permit the commingling of different <u>animal species animals</u> during transit if 1547 the animals are not of a comparable size, or if one species may pose a known disease threat to 1548 the other species.

1549 (26) Falsify, remove, alter, or tamper with any official identification or official back tag
 1550 required under this chapter or ch. ATCP 10.

1551 **SECTION 196.** ATCP 12.08 (28) is created to read:

1552 ATCP 12.08 (28) Misrepresent to any person the age, identity, origin, or disease status of 1553 any animal, or of the herd from which an animal originates.

- 1554 SECTION 197. EFFECTIVE DATE AND INITIAL APPLICABILITY. (1) This rule takes effect
- 1555 on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin administrative register, as
- 1556 provided in s. 227.22 (2), Stats.
- 1557 (2) The treatment of s. ATCP 10.07 (5) by this rule first applies to persons operating an
- 1558 intermediate handling facility June 30 following the effective date of this rule.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2019.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

Randy J. Romanski Interim Secretary