

Report From Agency

STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING	:	REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE	:	CR 18-074
MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD	:	

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

A comprehensive review of ch. Med 22 was conducted to ensure the rules are consistent with current standards for drafting style and format and applicable Wisconsin statutes. As a result, the following updates have been made:

- Sections Med 22.03 and 22.04 are revised to remove requirements for applications submitted prior to January 1, 2004.
- Revisions to s. Med 22.04 (3), (4), and (6) are made to, as required under s. 448.05 (6) (a), Stats., specify the passing score for the Perfusion Basic Science Examination, the Clinical Applications in Perfusion Examination, the statutes and rules examination, and the oral examination.
- Section Med 22.04 (9) is revised to clarify the requirement to complete further professional training or education before retaking an exam after a third failure does not apply to the Perfusion Basic Science Examination or the Clinical Applications in Perfusion Examination.
- Section Med 22.07 (5m) is created to void the application of an applicant who uses a recording device when reviewing an examination, and requires the applicant to reapply for licensure. A provision is created under s. Med 22.04 (5) that will allow the Board to require an applicant who has violated s. Med 22.07 (5m) to complete an oral examination.
- Section Med 22.10 (5) is revised to comply with s. 440.03 (4m), Stats., as created by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. Under this provision, the Board may require a credential holder to submit proof of completing continuing education programs or courses only if a complaint is made against the credential holder.

- Other provisions throughout ch. Med 22 have been updated to provide clarity and conform to current standards for drafting style and format.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Medical Examining Board held a public hearing on December 19, 2018. The Board did not receive any written or verbal comments.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Comment 5.b:

The language currently in s. Med 22.04 (5) (f) has been in place for over 14 years, and during this time the Board has not been made aware of any uncertainty concerning its application.

All other Legislative Council recommendations have been incorporated into the proposed rule.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A