ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	August 23, 2018	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Med 13		
4. Subject Continuing medical education for physicians		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $20.165(1)(hg)$	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Image: No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Image: Indeterminate Image: Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☑ Increase Costs ☑ Decrease Costs ☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
Yes No 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
Current rules require a physician who holds a U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration number to complete 2 of the 30		
required hours of continuing medical education from a Board-approved educational course or program related to the		
opioid prescribing guidelines issued by the Board. As this requirement expires after the renewal date occurring on		
November 1, 2019, the proposed rules define requirements for the completion of continuing education hours related to		
prescribing controlled substances for the renewal date occurring on November 1, 2021. 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to		
solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be		
affected by the rule. No comments were received.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local		
Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,		
local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.		
The Department estimates one-time administrative costs of \$65.42. These costs may be absorbed in the agency budget.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is extending an initiative to address prescription drug abuse. Not implementing the rule would be inconsistent with the statewide initiative to address this issue.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is increased physician awareness of prescription drug abuse.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois:

Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation establish continuing medical education requirements for physicians licensed in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1285.110). The rules do not require continuing education related to prescribing opioids.

Iowa:

Rules of the Iowa Board of Medicine establish continuing education requirements for physicians licensed in Iowa (653 IAC 11). The rules require a licensee who regularly provides primary health care to patients in Iowa to complete at least two hours of credit for chronic pain management every five years. "A licensee who regularly provides primary health care to patients" means all emergency physicians, family physicians, general practice physicians, internists, neurologists, pain medicine specialists, psychiatrists, and any other physician who regularly provides primary health care to patients [653 IAC 11.4(1) d. (1)].

Michigan:

Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs establish continuing medical education requirements for physicians licensed in Michigan (Mich Admin Code, R 338.2441 to R 338.2443). The rules require a minimum of 3 hours of continuing education to be earned in the area of pain and symptom management (Mich Admin Code, R 338.2443). Continuing education hours in pain and symptom management may include any of the following:

- Public health burden of pain.
- Ethics and health policy related to pain.
- Michigan pain and controlled substance laws.
- Pain definitions.
- Basic sciences related to pain including pharmacology.
- Clinical sciences related to pain.
- Specific pain conditions.
- Clinical physician communication related to pain.
- Management of pain, including evaluation and treatment and nonpharmacological and pharmacological management.
- Ensuring quality pain care.
- Michigan programs and resources relevant to pain.

Minnesota:

Rules of the Minnesota Board of Medical Practice establish continuing education requirements for physicians licensed in Minnesota (Minnesota Rules, chapter 5605). The rules do not require continuing education related to prescribing opioids.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No