STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

 Type of Estimate and Analysis ✓ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected 		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number ETH 21 — Practice and Procedure		
3. Subject The procedure by which Ethics Commission staff may provid STAT. § 19.46(2)(b).	de advice on behalf of the Commission pursuant to WIS.	
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats . Appropriations Affected N/A	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors ic Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed bythe Rule The proposed rule repeals Chapter ETH 21 in its entirety. The Ethics Commission adopted a new policy governing the conditions under which the staff of the commission may respond to requests for advice on behalf of the Commission on March 7, 2017.		
10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations remay be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for converges N/A		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the dev $\ensuremath{N/A}$	elopment of this EIA.	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The Commission finds that the proposed rule will have no ec	conomic impact on small businesses.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the rule brings Chapter ETH 21 into conformit new policy governing the conditions under which staff of the of the Commission.	y with 2015 Wisconsin Act 118 and the Ethics Commission	
The alternatives would be to either leave the rule as it current current policy. Leaving the rule in place as it currently exists to how to request advice as it refers to a position that no long current policy is possible, but due to the cumbersome nature Commission decide to modify its policy in the future.	could create confusion among the regulated community as er exists. Amending the rule to reflect the Commission's	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Promulgating this rule allows the Ethics Commission to be a commission staff may respond to requests for advice on behavior	<u> </u>	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The Federal Election Commission (FEC) issues advisory opinions to any entity that is unclear about the application of FECA to its prospective activities. If the activity had been prescribed previously, the FEC will advise within the relevant advisory opinion. 52 U.S.C. § 30108; 11 CFR § 112. Informal advice is given for procedural matters such as which reports to file, or how to register a committee, but not in regards to the application of opinions or statutes.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois: The Illinois State Board of Elections issues formal advice only within a narrow scope of campaign finance law.
All other advice is given on an informal basis by staff. Ill. Admin. Code tit. 26 § 125.710

Iowa: In practice the Ethics and Disclosure Board director and staff issue informal advice which does not hold the same force as advice requested and given through the declaratory order process. Advice is only binding when it is given as a declaratory order in response to a petition regarding the applicability of statutes, policies decisions, or orders. Iowa Admin. Code r. 351—12.7(1).

Michigan: Permits staff to issue advice within the parameters of declaratory rulings and interpretive statements already issued at the discretion of the Secretary of State. For matters outside of declaratory rulings, interested persons must submit a request for a new ruling. Mich. Comp. Laws § 169.215(2).

Minnesota: Permits staff to issue nonbinding informal advice. Formal advisory opinions are issued only to parties covered by the request and are binding on the board and subsequent proceedings regarding only the party or parties involved. Minn. Stat. § 10A.02 subd. 12 (2016).

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
David P. Buerger	(608) 267-0951

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No