STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number HAS 3		
3. Subject Hearing Instrument Specialists exam		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
	☐ Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
	cific Businesses/Sectors	
'	ic Utility Rate Payers	
	Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than S	·	
☐ Yes ☐ No	20 million:	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Clearinghouse Rule 15-097 designates an exam developed by the International Hearing Society. This proposed rule will modify provisions which are impacted as a result of the CR 15-097 modification. In addition, the proposed rule will update the exam provisions to reflect current practices and remove obsolete provisions.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not have a economic or fiscal impact.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is to clarify the examination procedures.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementation is clarity of p	procedures for each exam.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Governmen None	t	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States Illinois : Illinois utilizes practical and written examinations f Studies, International Hearing Society or an examination approaches the statement of the statement	rom the International Institute for hearing Instrument	

Health. A score on each exam or section of an exam shall be 70 percent. A failed examination may be retaken. Results

of a practical examination may be appealed to the Hearing Instrument Consumer Protection Board.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa utilizes the national standardized licensing examination and the passing score is established by the International Hearing Society. The examination may not be taken more than three times.

Michigan: Michigan requires a written examination to test knowledge with a practical demonstration. The rules do not address conduct in the examination, passing grades, or review and claim of error procedures.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires a written examination and practical demonstration. The rules do not address conduct in the examination, passing grades, or review and claim of error procedures.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No