ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original Updated Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 321, 324, and 320 to 325 Appendix of the Uniform Dwelling Code		
3. Subject Prescriptive deck standards for One- and Two-FamilyDwellings		
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Image: No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Image: Indeterminate Image: Decrease Existing Revenues	Could	ase Costs I Absorb Within Agency's Budget ease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule revisions would update Wisconsin's Uniform Dwelling Code and increase ease of use. They clarity and refine portions of the code that were ambiguous or confusing.		
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Representatives of the following: building contractors engaged in construction of housing; building inspectors; the manufactured housing industry; the construction material supply industry; and remodeling contractors engaged in the remodeling of housing. This proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, associations representing businesses, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period. 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. This rule does not affect local government units.		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, lo cal government units, or the state's economy as a whole. 		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Dwelling construction would be guided by more up-to-date standards and information.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Clarity and ease of use of the regulations would be improved.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.		
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number
Dan Smith		608-261-4463

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.