

**STATE OF WISCONSIN
PODIATRISTS AFFILIATED CREDENTIALING BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING :
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : **REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE**
: **CR 14-065**
PODIATRISTS AFFILIATED :
CREDENTIALING BOARD :

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

The sole purpose of this proposed rule is to correct an inconsistency regarding the renewal date for podiatrists. Currently, s. Pod 4.01 and 4.03 state the renewal date for podiatrists is November 1 of each odd-numbered year while s. 440.08 (2) (a) 60, Stats., states that the renewal date is November 1 of each even-numbered year. The statute is controlling. Therefore, the proposed rule seeks to correct s. Pod 4.01 and 4.03 to reflect the correct date. There are no new policies proposed by the rule.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Podiatrists Affiliated Credentialing Board held a public hearing on June 5th, 2015. The following people either testified at the hearing, or submitted written comments:

Tony Driessen on behalf of The Wisconsin Podiatric Medical Association
(WPMA)

The Podiatrists Affiliated Credentialing Board summarizes the comments received either by hearing testimony or by written submission as follows:

The WPMA supports this rule-making by the Podiatrists Affiliated Credentialing Board. The change proposed appropriately corrects an inconsistency regarding the license renewal date for podiatrists.

The Podiatrists Affiliated Credentialing Board will not amend the rule-making proposal as a result of public comments.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Legislative Council Staff did not suggest any recommendations in relation to this rule-making proposal.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A