STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

 may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions. 			
SPS 332, Public Employee Safety and Health Standards 4. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost Decrease Cost Decrease Cost The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Dublic Utility Rate Payers Swall Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Under section 101 .055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessary to maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes, by reference, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards — except for maximum—permissible—aposousre limits for air contaminatis in this rail acroin down and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminates limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. 10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, as sociations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. 12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule 14. Long Ra	••		
Public Employee Safety and Health Standards 4. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED MPR PRS SEG SEG.S 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Increase Cost Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors 1. Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Under section 101.055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessary to maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes y Peterence, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards - except for maximum-permissible-exposure limits for air contaminants, which are carried florward from the 1992 edition. This rulemaking would primarily update this chapter to include the July 1, 2010, edition of these OSHA standards and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminants limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. 10. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. 12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public U			
GPR FED MPRO PRS SEG SEG-S 20.165(2)(j) 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Implementing the Rule MPRO MP			
No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Scould Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost			
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Dublic Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes	☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
 ☐ Yes ☐ No 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Under section 101.055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessary to maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes, by reference, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards – except for maximum-permissible-exposure limits for air contaminants, which are carried forward from the 1992 edition. This rulemaking would primarily update this chapter to include the July 1, 2010, edition of these OSHA standards, and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminants limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Fed	☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers		
9. Policy Problem Addressed bythe Rule Under section 101.055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessary to maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes, by reference, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards – except for maximum-permissible-exposure limits for air contaminants, which are carried forward from the 1992 edition. This rulemaking would primarily update this chapter to include the July 1, 2010, edition of these OSHA standards, and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminants limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.			
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions. 	Under section 101.055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private-sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessaryto maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes, by reference, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards – except for maximum-permissible-exposure limits for air contaminants, which are carried forward from the 1992 edition. This rulemaking would primarily update this chapter to include the July 1, 2010, edition of these OSHA standards, and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminants limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that		
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.		
The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards. 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13. 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees		
See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.			
17. Contact Name 18. Contact Phone Number 608-266-0797			