ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number VA2 - Emergency, correspondence and part-time study, retraining and tuition and fee reimbursement grants.	
3. Subject Grants to Nonprofit organizations.	
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS ⊠ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.485 (2) (tf).
 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues 	 Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Allows Nonprofits serving Veterans to apply for grant funding through WDVA	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. N/A	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. $N\!/\!A$	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
There should be no fiscal impact on the Business sector, Public Utility Rate Payers, local governments or the State's economy.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Provide a benefit to nonprofit organizations that are already serving Wisconsin veterans without assistance and encourage other nonprofits to provide benefit options for Wisconsin veterans.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule $N\!/\!A$	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government $N\!/\!A$	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) N/A	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Jim Parker	608-266-1843
This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Nonprofit businesses will apply for grant funds from WDVA to help offset costs of providing services to Wisconsin veterans.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses $N\!/\!A$

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

Minimum reporting standards are required without involvement in the nonprofit business operations.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The WDVA has authority to audit all grantees, see s.45.47, record-keeping and audit requirements for grant programs administered by the department.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)