## **Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection**

Ben Brancel, Secretary

**DATE:** April 29, 2015

**TO:** Bruce Hoesly, Legislative Reference Bureau

1 East Main Street, Suite 200

**FROM:** Ben Brancel, Secretary

**SUBJECT:** Ch. ATCP 142 – CRANBERRY MARKETING ORDER (Clearinghouse Rule #14-

042)

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) hereby submits the following rule for publication:

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE #: 14-042

SUBJECT: Cranberry Marketing Order

ADM. CODE REFERENCE: ATCP 142

DATCP DOCKET #: 13-R-15

We are enclosing a copy of the final rule, as adopted by DATCP. We are also providing the following information for publication with the rule, as required by s. 227.114(6), Stats.

## **Business Impact Analysis (Summary)**

Cranberry growers are all small businesses. In 2012 the growers collectively produced a total crop of 4,830,000 barrels valued at approximately \$231 million. The price per barrel in 2012 was \$47.80. At the assessment rate of 10 cents per barrel the assessment in 2012 equaled approximately .02 percent of the crop value. If the Cranberry Board assess at the maximum rates, the assessment, based on 2012 price per barrel, will equal .031 % of the crop value in 2015 and 2016, .042% in 2017 and 2018 and .052% thereafter. The result is a modest cost increase for each grower. However, if the use of assessments produces the kind of market share increase that the industry has seen in recent years the increased assessment cost will be more than made up for by increased sales.

Bruce Hoesly Legislative Reference Bureau April 29, 2015 Page 2

## **Comments from Legislative Committees (Summary)**

On February 10, 2015, DATCP transmitted the above rule for legislative committee review. The rule was assigned to the Assembly Committee on Agriculture and the Senate Committee on Agriculture, Small Business, and Tourism. Neither committee took action. The Senate referred the rule to the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) on March 26, 2015 and the Assembly referred it to JCRAR on March 25, 2015. JCRAR took no action on the rule.