

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original    Updated    Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

This rule modifies Ch.'s NR 10 related to the list of Wild Protected Animals, NR 16 related to Captive Wildlife – Reptile and Amphibian Possession Exemptions, NR 27 related to the list of US and Wisconsin Endangered and Threatened species, and Ch.'s NR 19, NR 21, and NR 22 related to turtle seasons and limits.

3. Subject

Preliminary economic impact analysis for public comment relating to adding Blanding's turtles (*Emydoidea blandingi*) to the list of Wild Protected Animals, and eliminating harvest and collection through the Department's list of turtle seasons and limits and captive wildlife exemptions.

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers
	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes    No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The objective of this proposed rule is to protect Blanding's turtles from the threat of harvest and collection since they were removed from the Wisconsin Threatened species list. The Blanding's turtle was delisted on January 1, 2014 per administrative rule ER-27-11. This emergency and permanent rule is a follow-up action that was proposed during the public comment for ER-27-11. As stated by the Department in the final adopted rule order for ER-27-11, that while the Blanding's turtle no longer meets the scientific criteria for listing as Threatened, the population is vulnerable to harvest and collection and should be added to the Protected Wild Animal list (NR 10.02) and harvest/collection limits.

Since 1979, when the Blanding's turtle was added to the threatened list, there has not been a pet or food trade industry for this species in the state. However, as a result of delisting, the Blanding's turtle is subject to turtle harvest regulations as all turtles not listed as threatened or endangered in NR 27 or otherwise specified have a 135-day open season between July 15 and November 30 where the public may capture and possess up to 5 individuals.

Internationally there is concern for this species because of the increasing trend in the pet trade and commercial collecting. Regionally the Blanding's turtle is state protected in Illinois, Iowa, and Minnesota. In Michigan, Blanding's turtles cannot be taken or possessed except as authorized by the Director of the Department of Natural Resources.

The proposed rule changes will continue similar possession and collection limits that the Blanding's turtle received on the State's Threatened list. As such, minimal controversy is anticipated.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Pursuant to s. 227.137, Wis. Stats., the department was required to solicit comments on the economic impact of the proposed rule. Small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114(1), Wis. Stats., were asked to identify themselves as a small business in their comments. The 2-week EIA comment period was between January 22 and February 5, 2014. No comments were received.

The department anticipates minimal economic impact, with few entities affected as collection and possession limits will not change. Interested parties may include individuals using turtles as bait or food, and parties interested in developing a pet/food trade for the Blanding's turtle in Wisconsin.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

Pursuant to s. 227.137 Wis. Stats., the department is required to solicit comments on the economic impact of the proposed rule, and if requested to coordinate with local governments in the preparation of an Economic Impact Analysis (EIA). No comments or requests to coordinate were received.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The department anticipates minimal economic impact as the proposed rule changes will continue similar possession and collection limits that the Blanding's turtle received under the protections afforded to the species on Wisconsin's Threatened species list. The Blanding's turtle was added to the Threatened species list in 1979. As such, there has not been a market for its collection and possession since then.

No changes to the permitting process for researchers and rehabilitators are expected as part of this proposed rule change. As part of the administrative rule ER-27-11, researchers will need to apply for a Scientific Collectors Permit or Research License Authorization to collect or possess a Blanding's turtle instead of an Endangered and Threatened Species Permit.

The effect of this proposed rule will be minimal with few entities affected as collection and possession limits will not change. Interested parties may include individuals using turtles as bait or food, and parties interested in developing a Blanding's turtle pet/food trade in the state.

These proposed rules do not establish any requirements on businesses or local units of government.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The objective of this rule is to protect Blanding's turtles from the threat of harvest and collection since they were removed from the Wisconsin Threatened species list. Given the population-age structure of the species, the impact of collection may be severe enough to place the Blanding's turtle's long term survival in the state in jeopardy, causing the species to be considered for addition back to the state's endangered and threatened species list. The proposed rule changes will continue similar possession and collection limits that the Blanding's turtle received on the State's Threatened list. As such, minimal controversy is anticipated.

---

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

#### 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of this rule proposal will be the same as the short term implications in protecting the Blanding's turtle from the threat of harvest and collection and keeping them off the Wisconsin Threatened species list. These rule proposals will continue harvest and collection limits that the Blanding's turtle received while listed on the State's Threatened species list.

---

#### 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no federal regulations that would be in conflict with the proposed rule changes. The proposed changes would support the United States Fish and Wildlife Service proposed addition of the Blanding's turtle to The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) list:  
<http://www.fws.gov/international/cites/cop16/blandings-turtle.html>.

---

#### 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are similar laws in other states. The Blanding's turtle is state protected in Illinois, Iowa, and Minnesota. In Michigan, Blanding's turtles shall not be taken or possessed except as authorized by the Director of the Department of Natural Resources.

---

<b>17. Contact Name</b> Terrell Hyde	<b>18. Contact Phone Number</b> 608-264-9255
---	---

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-