| STATE OF WISCONSIN |
|-------------------------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF ADMINIST RATION |
| DOA 2049 (R 07/2011) |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

| ECONOMIC IMPAC | ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Type of Estimate and Analysis | | | |
| ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected | | | |
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number | | | |
| PI 34, Teacher Education Program Approval and Licenses | | | |
| Subject | | | |
| Charter School Teaching License | | | |
| Fund Sources Affected | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected | | |
| ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S | | | |
| Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule | 1 | | |
| ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues | ✓ Increase Costs ✓ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ✓ Decrease Costs | | |
| The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) | | | |
| ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ | cific Businesses/Sectors lic Utility Rate Payers | | |
| Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? | | | |
| ☐ Yes No | | | |
| Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule | | | |
| 2013 Wisconsin Act 20 created s. 115.28(7)(g), Stats., which requires the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) to grant a charter school teaching license to any person who has a bachelor's degree and demonstrates, based on criteria established by the DPI, that the person is proficient in the subject or subjects he or she intends to teach. PI 34 will be modified to include the criteria that a person must meet to demonstrate that the person is proficient in the subject or subjects he or she intends to teach. | | | |
| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | | | |
| Local: The effect on local school districts is indeterminate. It is unclear how this change to the way charter school teachers are licensed will affect the supply of teachers for charter schools and non-charter schools. State: | | | |
| Implementing a new framework for issuing charter school teaching licenses may slightly increase costs, but those costs could be absorbed by the department. | | | |
| Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule | | | |
| This proposed rule change will include the proficiency criteria established by DPI that a person must meet in order to be granted a charter school teaching license. The Department is required to establish criteria by 2013 Wisconsin Act 20. | | | |
| Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule | | | |
| The Department will establish criteria for determining whether an educator is proficient in the subject the educator intends to teach for the purposes of being granted a charter school teaching license. The Department is required to do this under 2013 Wisconsin Act 20. | | | |

| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government |
|--|
| No information. |
| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) |
| No information. |
| Name and Phone Number of Contact Person |
| Katie Schumacher, Department of Public Instruction Administrative Rules Coordinator, (608) 267-9127. |