STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA 2049 (R 07/2011)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES	
FISCAL ESTIMATE AND	
ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS Type of Estimate and Analysis	
Type of Estimate and Analysis	
Original Updated Corrected	
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number	
PI 32, Grants for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Programs	
Subject	
2011 Wisconsin Act 32 Changes	
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S	
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues	Increase Costs
☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☑ Decrease Costs
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors	
Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
\square Yes \square No	
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
Policy Problem Addressed by the Kule	
First, the proposed rule change would realign PI 32 with the Wisconsin Statutes. 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 deleted Sections 20.255(2) (dm) and 115.361, Stats. Thus, this rule change would eliminate the references to those statutory sections in the rule.	
Second, this rule change would eliminate PI 32.05, which provides a detailed description for the Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse (AODA) Program Advisory Council required under s. 115.36(2)(e). The requirements in PI 32.05 are no longer needed because 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 deleted one of the AODA appropriations (s. 20.255(2)(dm), Stats.), which had the majority of the AODA grant funds. Given the reduced grant appropriations, the DPI believes that the size of the AODA Council can be reduced accordingly.	
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
Local: None.	
State: If the size of the AODA Council is reduced, DPI will have to expend fewer resources staffing the AODA Council.	
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule	
This rule change aligns PI 32 with current statutes. Additionally, the requirements in PI 32.05 are no longer needed because 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 deleted one of the AODA appropriations (s. 20.255(2)(dm), Stats.), which had the majority of the AODA grant funds. Given the reduced grant appropriations, the DPI believes that the size of the AODA Council can be reduced accordingly	
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule	
The size of the AODA Council will reflect the amount of AODA funding the Council oversees.	

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

No information.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

No information.

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Katie Schumacher, Department of Public Instruction Administrative Rules Coordinator, (608) 267-9127.