ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 – Motor vehicle and general aviation fuel taxation; intoxicating liquors; and cigarette tax Subject General provisions of excise taxation and enforcement Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected \square GPR \square FED \square PRO \square PRS \square SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ⊠ No ☐ Yes Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the department's administrative costs. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) N/A

FISCAL ESTIMATE FOR	IVI			2012 Session
		LRB#		
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED		INTRODUCTION#		
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUF	PPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #	Chapter Tax 4, Chap Chapter Tax 9	oter Tax 8, and
Subject Proposed order of the De	partment of Povenue	rolating to general p	rovisions of oxciso tax	ation and onforcement
Fiscal Effect	partificit of Revenue	e relating to general pi	OVISIONS OF EXCISE LAX	ation and emorcement
State: ☑ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below of sum sufficient appropria ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	ation	appropriation or affects a sting Revenues		May be Possible to Absorb Budget □ Yes □ No
□ Decrease Existing Appropriate	on 🗆 Decrease Ex	isting Revenues		
☐ Create New Appropriation			☐ Decrease Costs	
Local: ☑ No Local Government Costs				
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ 3. ☐ Increase		se Revenues	5. Types of Local Gove	rnmental Units Affected:
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandato	ry 🗆 Peri	missive Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ V	illages Cities
2. Decrease Costs	4. □ Decre	ase Revenues	☐ Counties ☐ Ot	thers
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandato	ry Peri	missive Mandatory	☐ School Districts	☐ WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected	<u>, </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 Appropriations	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate: The proposed rule order modifies several sections in Chapter Tax 4 (Motor vehicle and general aviation fuel taxation), Chapter Tax 8 (Intoxicating Liquors), and Chapter Tax 9 (Cigarette tax). It makes several changes to reflect current law and the technology now available to administer current law. The proposed rule updates department contact information and updates examples to utilize current tax rates. The revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the department's administrative costs.				
Long-Range Fis cal Implications:				
Agency/Prepared by Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Date				
Wisconsin Department of Revenu		sconsin Department of F		- Date
Jacek Cianciara	Pa	ul Ziegler		Nov. 12, 2012

608 266-5773

608 266-8133

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET 2012 Session Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect ☑ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED LRB# Admin. Rule # Chapter Tax 4, Chapter Tax 8, and **INTRODUCTION#** ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL Chapter Tax 9 Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to general provisions of excise taxation and enforcement. I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: **Increased Costs Decreased Costs** A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe \$ -(FTE Position Changes) FTE) FTE) State Operations-Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations \$0 \$ -0 TOTAL State Costs by Category Increased Costs **Decreased Costs** B. State Costs by Source of Funds **GPR FED** PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state Increased Rev. Decreased Rev. revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) **GPR Taxes** \$ **GPR** Earned **FED** PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S **TOTAL State Revenues** \$-0 **NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT STATE** LOCAL NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$0 \$ NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$0 \$ Agency/Prepared by Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Date

Wisconsin Department of Revenue

Nov. 12, 2012

Paul Ziegler

608 266-5773

Wisconsin Department of Revenue

Jacek Cianciara

608 266-8133