ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number ETF 10, 20 and 40		
3. Subject Technical and minor substantive changes in existing ETF administrative rules		
4. Fund Sources Affected	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
⊠ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	Increase Costs	
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
State's Economy		
Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers		
Cocar Government on its Public of inty Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
\square Yes \square No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
The objective of this technical rule is to make technical updates to existing ETF rules, create consistency with statutes		
recently amended by the legislature, and make other minor substantiave changes.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
Information, including the proposed rule language, will be made available by posting on the ETF website and the		
Wisconsin administrative rules website and by submitting the information to the Governor's Office of Regulatory		
Compliance.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None		
12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
No substantive impact is anticipated.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule ETF 10.01 (3i) is amended to remove the language referencing the benefit adjustment contribution, since that type of		
contribution to the Wisconsin Retirement System was eliminated by 2011 Wisconsin Act 10.		
ETF 10.63 establishes various deadlines by which reports are due to be filed with the department. The proposed rule		
allows employers more flexibility in the deadlines for submitting monthly reports to ETF and will allow the ETF		
Secretary to respond rapidly to future changes and needs. The need for flexibility arose because of changes made by 2011 Wisconsin Act 10.		
ETF 10.86 is created to allow a member, beneficiary, or distributee of an estate who has beenefits paid via electronic		
deposit into a financial institution account owned by him/her to designate a representative payee, nursing home, religious order or other entity that is approved by ETF.		
ETF 20.515 (1) and (2) are repealed and replaced with language to comply with 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 eligibility		
requirements, including two paragraphs concerning educational support personnel employees.		
requirements, including two paragraphs concerning educational support personner employees.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ETF 40.10 is amended to comply with 2011 Wisconsin Act 133 and permit governmental employers who are not participating employers in the Wisconsin Retirement System to be covered in the local governmental health insurance plan offered by the Group Insurance Board.

The alternative would be to fail to comply with recent legislative changes, to have little flexibility in establishing deadlines for filing reports with ETF, and to not offer options for a member, beneficiary, or distributee of an estate to meet their financial obligations.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Implementation will bring the affected ETF rules into compliance with recent legislative changes and create flexibility for employers, members, beneficiaries and distributees of estates.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Not applicable

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Periodically, retirement systems in adjacent states promulgate technical rules to update existing administrative rules.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
David H. Nispel, General Counsel	608-264-6936

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Not applicable

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses Not applicable

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

Small business analysis is not applicable.

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

Not applicable

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

Not applicable

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No