STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapter 76, Title Loans			
3. Subject Title Loans			
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☑ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapte s. 20.144	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $U(1)(g)$	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues		se Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget ase Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 requires the Department to promulgate rules to approve the sources used to determine market value for vehicles in title loans			
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Industry representatives and consumer groups			
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None			
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None			
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Provides guidance and clarification to the industry related to title lending			
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Continued guidance and clarification for the industry			
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Michigan and Iowa have no related rules. Illinois has rules covering title lending, but the rules do not cover market value sources. Minnesota has a limited number of rules regarding licensed regulated lenders, but nothing that specifically addresses this subject.			
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number	
Susan Dietzel		608-267-0399	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No