Subject Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG B No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Decrease Costs Voold Inplementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than S20 million? Public Utility Rate Payers Voold Inplementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than S20 million? Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Government Units Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Fispected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule is solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Altemative(s) to Implementing the Ru	ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE		
B Original Updated □Corrected Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Section Tax 11.07 – Property used in qualified research and property used to raise research animals Subject			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Section Tax 11.07 – Property used in qualified research and property used to raise research animals Subject Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State 's Economy Sectory Specific Businessee/Sectors State 's Economy Specific Businessee/Sectors Ves No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Public Utility Rate Payers The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Government Units and the State's Economy as Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating subs and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal implementing and compliance costs by ord the statutes in inteprenest. No c	Type of Estimate and Analysis		
Section Tax 11.07 – Property used in qualified research and property used to raise research animals Sobject Subset			
Subject Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Feconomy Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Feconomy Decrease Costs Decrease Costs State's Feconomy Public Utility Rate Payers Public Utility Rate Payers Wold Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Public Utility Rate Payers Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule So The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Government Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementing the Rule Chaffications and guidance provided by	Auministrative Rule Chapter, The and Number		
Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Public Utility Rate Payers Ves No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Government Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule is solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule Chaifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may	Section Tax 11.07 – Property used in qualified research and property used to raise research animals		
Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20 , Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG Fiscal Effect Implementing the Rule Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Woild Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Public Utility Rate Payers. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Government Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementation and compliance costs for businesses, local government Units, and individuals. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Charlfactions and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local go	Subject		
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG S □ Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ State's Economy □ Decrease Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Istate's Economy □ Specific Businesses/Sectors □ Decrease Costs □ Iocal Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology		
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect	Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
Mo Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ ☐ Yes Mo Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S		
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Decrease Costs □ Decrease Costs □ He Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) □ Decrease Costs □ State's Economy □ Decrease Bysecific Businesses/Sectors □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule ised does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compar			
□ State's Economy □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues		
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ □ Yes ⊠ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule			
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Michigan and Minnesota)			
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		1011 :	
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change.		
was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets.		
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.		
governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.		
No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law.		
No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	No long-range implications are anticipated.		
N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
	N/A		
N/A	Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois,	Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	
	N/A		