ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS Type of Estimate and Analysis ✓ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapters Tax 1 and 11 – General administration and sales and use tax Subject Sales tax law changes made by 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 and other legislation Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected \square GPR \square FED \square PRO \square PRS \square SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ⊠ No ☐ Yes Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect statutory changes. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of the proposed rule changes was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2011 Wisconsin Act 32. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 1 and 11 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) N/A