

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
FISCAL ESTIMATE AND
ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS**

Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

ERC 70-74, 80

Subject

Initial Annual Union Certification Elections

Fund Sources Affected

Chapter 20 , Stats. Appropriations Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect

Increase Existing Revenues

Increase Costs

Indeterminate

Decrease Existing Revenues

Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget

Decrease Costs

The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy

Specific Businesses/Sectors

Local Government Units

Public Utility Rate Payers

Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

How to conduct statutorily mandated union certification elections

Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No economic or fiscal impact-implementation costs are mandated by statute and borne by petitioning unions.

Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Provides framework for conducting mandatory union certification elections.
Absent a framework, employers, employees and unions would not know how to proceed

Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

None

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Not Applicable-no comparable issues

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Not Applicable-no comparable issues

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Peter Davis 608 266-2993