

# FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

## Department of Safety and Professional Services

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 11-047

RULE NO.: Chapters Comm 41 and 45 (Become Chapters SPS 341 and 345 on January 1, 2012)

RELATING TO: Boilers and Pressure Vessels

Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)

1. Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.

Less stringent requirements are not proposed for small businesses because (1) the equipment manufacturers that the included nationwide construction standards are directed at are not small businesses, and (2) the included nationwide inspection standards would be more difficult to understand and comply with if they were modified and applied differently in Wisconsin for small businesses.

2. Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.

No such issues were raised, and no such changes were made.

3. Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.

No new substantive reporting would be imposed on small businesses.

4. Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.

None of the proposed rule changes are expected to significantly increase the current cost of installing and operating boilers and pressure vessels because the primary effect of the changes is to make chapter SPS 341 consistent with current regional and national-level standards for boilers and pressure vessels, and with current industry and regulatory practices.

5. Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

6. Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.