STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINIST RATION DOA 2049 (R 07/2011)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	
Type of Estimate and Analysis	
x Original Updated Corrected	
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number	
SPS 341, Boilers and Pressure Vessels; and SPS 345, Mechanical Refrigeration	
Subject	
Boilers and pressure vessels	
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
☐ GPR ☐ FED x PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S	None
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
x No Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
Would implementation and compliance costs be created final \$\psi_20\$ minion.	
☐ Yes x No	
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
The industry standards that are adopted by reference in chapter SPS 341 are out-of-date because the sponsoring organizations have replaced them with newer editions.	
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
The proposed rule revisions would not impose significant additional costs or other impacts on a substantial number of businesses.	
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule	
Keeping Wisconsin's requirements consistent with current industry standards avoids having manufacturers, suppliers, inspectors and insurers of the regulated equipment face a regulatory environment here that differs from their practices elsewhere.	
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule	
No adverse impacts are expected, and any uncertainties that could otherwise result by being inconsistent with corresponding regional and national-level practices will be avoided.	
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
The proposed rules for nuclear power plants would achieve consistency with the requirements applied by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.	
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	
Minnesota already applies the latest edition of the ASME <i>Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code</i> , and the other three neighboring states are expected to soon do so as well.	
Name and Phone Number of Contact Person	
Sam Rockweiler, 608.266.0797	

File reference: 165–41–Boilers and Pressure Vessels