DOA-2048 (R 10/94)  DOA-2048 (R 10/94)  CORRE		Ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code	
		Amendment No. (If Applicable)	
<b>Subject:</b> Qualifications To Apply For A Butte	rmaker License		
Fiscal Effect			
State: No State Fiscal Effect		☐ Increase Costs –	
Check below only if <b>bill</b> makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.		May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? Yes No	
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Create New Appropriation		Decrease Costs	
Local:  No local government costs  Increase Costs Permissive  Mandatory  Decrease Costs Permissive  Mandatory	3. Increase Revenues Permissive Mandatory 4. Decrease Revenues Permissive Mandatory	5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected:  Towns Villages Counties Cities Other School Districts WTCS Districts	
Fund Source Affected:	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO	□ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S		

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

## **Background**

DATCP administers Wisconsin's buttermaker licensing program under ch. 97.17, Wis. Stats. DATCP has adopted licensing rules under ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code. There have been few changes in the current program since 1929.

Under current law, butter must be made by or under the supervision of a licensed buttermaker. However, there are currently only 46 licensed buttermakers in the state. There is a growing national market for butter. Without more licensed buttermakers, Wisconsin's butter industry will be at significant risk and may be unable to take advantage of new market opportunities.

This rule will provide more flexible training and examination options to facilitate the training and licensing of qualified buttermakers. DATCP is developing this rule in consultation with the Wisconsin dairy industry, including the emerging artisan and farmstead buttermaking industry. DATCP has also consulted with the University of Wisconsin. This rule may take advantage of new training resources and technologies that were not previously available.

This rule will promote the development and diversification of Wisconsin's dairy industry. It will allow more people to enter the industry as licensed buttermakers, including makers of artisan and farmstead butter. It will help relieve an acute shortage of licensed buttermakers, which is putting the state's entire butter industry at risk. It will also ensure the safety and quality of Wisconsin butter, and maintain Wisconsin's reputation as a dairy leader, by ensuring that buttermakers are adequately trained and qualified.

## Impact of the Proposed Rule on State Government

This rule will have no significant fiscal impact on Wisconsin state or local government. This rule does not increase buttermaker license fees. This rule may increase the number of buttermaker licenses, but the increase is not expected to have a significant impact on DATCP license revenues or administrative costs. DATCP expects to absorb any increased costs with current budget and staff.

## Impact of the Proposed Rule on Local Government

None

Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Charles T. Leitzke, (608) 224-4711	William Walker, (608) 224-4353	

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect ORIGINA		LRB or Bill No/Adm. R Ch. ATCP 69, \	
DOA-2047 (R10/94) CORREC	CTED SUPPLEMENTAL	- Adm. Code	
SUBJECT Qualifications To Apply For A Buttern	maker License		
I. One-time Cost or Impacts for State an	d/or Local Government (do n	ot include in annualized fi	iscal effect):
Costs are recurring; see below.			
II. Annualized Cost:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
1. State Operations - Salaries and F	Fringes	\$-0	\$ -0
2. (FTE Position Changes)		(-0 FTE)	(-0 FTE)
3. State Operations - Other Costs		0	- 0
4. Local Assistance		0	- 0
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizati	ions	0	- 0
TO	TAL State Costs by Category	0	\$ -0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
1. GPR		0	\$ - 0
2. FED		0	- 0
3. PRO/PRS		0	- 0
			- 0 - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues -			
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re	venues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes	venues (e.g.,tax increase,decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned	venues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED	venues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue  \$ 0 0 0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0  - 0  - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS	venues (e.g.,tax increase,decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue  \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0  - 0  - 0  - 0  - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED	venues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)	Increased Revenue  \$ 0 0 0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0  - 0  - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS	venues (e.g.,tax increase,decrease in license fees)  TOTAL State Revenues	Increased Revenue  \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0  - 0  - 0  - 0  - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS		0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS • SEG/SEG-S		0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS • SEG/SEG-S		0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS • SEG/SEG-S	TOTAL State Revenues	0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \$ - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS • SEG/SEG-S  NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT	TOTAL State Revenues  STATE	0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \$ - 0
3. PRO/PRS 4. SEG/SEG-S  III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state re  • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED • PRO/PRS • SEG/SEG-S  NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT  NET CHANGE IN COSTS	TOTAL State Revenues  STATE  0 \$_00	0 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0	- 0  Decreased Revenue  \$ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \$ - 0  S - 0

2004 SESSION

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET