Page 1 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | aring Location: M adison, WI | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response | |
| Speaker 1, Exhibit 1 | Lynn R. Lauersdorf Madison, WI | Concerned with code change proposals related to air barrier-requirements. Requests the Department consider amendment the proposed Wisconsin modifications. Provided suggested language for ss. Comm 62.1202 (1) and (2), Comm 62.1211 Comm 63.0501. | buildings contains provisions for air barriers. The 2012 edition of the IECC under chapter 5 for commercial buildings will | |
| Speaker 2, Exhibit 1 | Pat Conway International Masonry Institute Mt. Horeb, WI | Supports the comments and suggested code language submit Lynn Lauersdorf relating to air barrier requirements. | See response under Lauersdorf, speaker 1. | |
| Speaker 3 Exhibit 2 | Wayne Vandenbergh Structural Engineers Association - Wisconsin (SEA-WI) Sun Prairie, WI | Proposes adopting in its entirety Chapter 17 of the IBC so Wisconsin is no longer lagging behind other neighboring stathat have already done so. Suggest revising s. Comm 62.17 include all IBC Chapter 17 requirements for structural tests special inspections. | 00 to compliance during construction. The Department will evaluate | |
| Speaker 4 Exhibit 3 | William Babcock Wisconsin Society of Architects (AIA) Madison, WI | a. Concerned that the note added to the Department's plan submittal form, SBD 118, may not be consistent with staturule requirements, and therein confusing with unintended consequences. Offers several possible solutions: Delete the added language, "Note: Building Super Professional is also responsible for supervision of Lighting & Fire Suppression/Alarm installation (Applicable)," in its entirety. Strike the added language prior to signing the form There does not appear anything preventing architection. | rvising the If | |

Page 2 of 15

| Clearinghous | se Rule Number: 10-103 | learing Location: M adison, WI | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, | 21 and 61 to 66 | Learing Date: October 5, 2010 |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response |
| | Babcock continued | other design professionals from editing such an application form to more accurately reflect their responsibilities. • Change the language of the note to clarify the intent • "(If Applicable)" included at the end. Does it mean component is in the professional's scope of service of the component is part of the project? Different plan reviewers have different interpretations. Revise the SBD-118 form to allow different supervising professionals to check separate boxes and provide signatures restored to the installation of different building components and system [e.g. HVAC, Lighting, Fire Suppression, Fire Alarm, etc.]. the form currently allows the supervising professional to indict whether the compliance statement will apply to the "Building "HVAC," why shouldn't SBD-118 also identify the installate of other components. b. Suggests revising, if necessary, s. Comm 61.40 clarifying multiple supervising professionals may be responsible for different components of the building project. c. Believes the SBD 118 form may be inconsistent with administrative rules with respected to several issues, includin • Pre-manufactured and pre-engineered structural components. • Identification of the supervising professional relative certain types of project delivery approaches. • Signing and sealing of electrical and plumbing plans | elated ans Since cate g" or tion that b. Comm 61.40 (1) has been revised to recognize that supervision can be accomplished by one or more individuals. c. The Department has evaluated and will revise the form as appropriate. |
| Speaker 5 Exhibit 4 | Isaac Elnecave Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance Chicago, IL | a. Believes using the 2009 edition of the International Energy Conservation Code, IECC, represents a significant improvem energy efficiency. | |

Page 3 of 15

| Clearinghou | Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | Hearing Location: Madison, WI | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 2 | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| | Elnecave continued | b. Supports the inclusion of air barrier requirements into Echoes comments made by Lynn Lauersdorf and Pat Come Requests that the Department consider amendments that modifications to the Department of Energy's code change (EC147) for the 2012 edition of the International Energy Conservation Code. Provided suggested code language that incorporated the EC 147 proposal. | way. eflect proposal t | b. See response under Lauersdorf, speaker 1. Extensive suggestions and numerous modified amendments were proposed for the 2012 edition of the IECC. The Department is proposing to adopt and reference the 2009 editions of the ICC codes. To incorporate the not yet printed 2012 IECC changes would basically necessitate the Department reprinting the entire 2012 IECC as a Wisconsin modification and possibly have to create additional modifications for the IBC, IMC and IFGC to ensure code consistency. The Department plans on updating the Commercial Building Code in the future to reflect the 2012 editions of the ICC codes. The Department has the statutory mandate under s. 101.027, Stats., to review and update energy conservation standards to reflect the most current IECC. | |
| | | c. Suggests deleting s. Comm 63.0502 since it is almost to that in s. Comm 62.1211. d. Points out that under the Rule Analysis Illinois as of 1.2010 implemented a statusida energy, and | | c. The proposed Wisconsin air barrier provisions have been withdrawn in light of the developments in the IBC and IECC. See response under Lauersforf, speaker 1. d. The Rule Analysis has been revised to reflect this aspect for Illinois. | |
| Speaker 6 | Brian Stroik Building Enclosure Council of Wisconsin Board of Directors BETEL/NIBS Richfield, WI | 2010 implemented a statewide energy code. Supports the comments submitted by Lynn Lauersdorf and Conway. | l Pat | See response under Lauersdorf, speaker 1. | |
| Speaker #7 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 16 | Ronald P. Bristol State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration, Division of State Facilities Madison, WI | a. Requests an exception under IMC 607.5.5 and IBC 710 eliminate the requirement for smoke dampers on fully duce exhaust air systems if the building is equipped with an ausprinkler system as per Section 903.1.1.1 and the exhaust powered continuously as per Section 909.11 of the IBC. Committee the similar exception in NFPA 101 Chapter 8.5.4.3 (3) and New 90A chapter 5.3.5.1.4. | ted tomatic fans are Cites a | a. The Department's research indicate that requested exception does not exist within NFPA 101 or NFPA 90A with respect to the requirements relating to the protection of ducts and airtransfer openings in shaft enclosures. The cited references pertain to shaft enclosures (IBC s. 716.5.3 and IMC s. 607.5.5) and not smoke barriers (IBC s. 716.5.2 and IMC s. 607.5.2) as are the provisions referenced from within NFPA 101 and NFPA | |

Page 4 of 15

| Clearinghous | se Rule Number: 10-103 | | Hearing Location: M adison, WI |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response |
| | | | 90A. |
| | Bristol continued | b. Suggests an exception be provided un IBC 716.3.2.1 and 607.5.4.1 allowing the elimination of smoke detectors in su air ducts if within 5 feet of smoke damper or spot detectors located downstream of supply fans and ahead of any branch of Contends that the spot detector is consistent with NFPA 90 6.4.2.1. | pply acceptable methods under IBC and IMC that reflect the NFPA 72 provision where duct detectors actuate smoke dampers. |
| S peaker #8 | Matthew Mertens Northshore Fire Department Bayside, WI | a. Requests that Section 62.0904 of the IBC and a cross-refin a note in Comm 14 relating to manual-wet sprinkler syst clarified. Points out that the term "manual-wet" is not define Believes it is important for local municipalities to be allow create ordinances based on what they can do (within their rettime) to protect their citizens and customers. | ems be manual-wet sprinkler since it is no longer consistent with national fire protection philosophies or concepts. |
| | | b. Points out that IBC 62.1020 relating to rated stairwells various interpretations including allowing those stairwells t become mechanical shafts. Asks that Wisconsin clarify this language so rated stairwells are used only for egress and are used for plumbing, HVAC or electrical traces. | Department believes that sections IBC 1022.4 and 1022.5 are clear and specific as to what penetrations and mechanical |
| S peaker #9 | Brad Rowe Thermal Design Stoughton, WI | a. Requests that the Department look at the R-value tables a proposed code. Believes the three different R-values will contend engineers and designers. | * |
| | | b. Believes the proposed code changes contradict prescriptive requirements for metal building roof and walls. Contends the Wisconsin would be implementing overstated and inflated performance values if it adopts the 2009 IECC. Recommend looking at or waiting for EC 157 and ASHRAE 189. | edition of the IECC reflect the concept of a "20 to 30 %" increase energy efficiency. The Department believes that it |

Page 5 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | Н | Hearing Location: M adison, WI | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | earing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response | |
| Exhibit 5 | Len Anastasi, Air Barrier Association of America, Walpole, MA | Supports the comments submitted by Lynn Lauersdorf and Par Conway. Includes a copy of Lauersdorf and Conway's commen | | |
| Exhibit 6 | Pat Stevens, Wisconsin Builders Association, Madison, WI | a. Opposes the proposed change to require townhouses contain 20 or fewer units, less than 3-stories in height and not more th 16,000 sq. ft. to be protected by an automatic sprinkler system. b. Is concerned that requiring sprinklers will negatively impact affordability of housing and the Department should consider the cost impacts. | townhouses which occurred under the two national building codes, the International Residential Code, IRC, and the NFPA Building Construction and Safety Code several years ago. Townhouse types of side by side UDC residences can still be designed and built without sprinklers by complying with the concepts and requirements derived from Comm 20.04(6). Examples as to how to create the separation are found in the UDC appendix. b. The Department researched the impact of sprinklers for | |
| | | c. Questions whether the provision will increase safety. | c. Sprinklers are a known and proven element that will increase fire safety. | |
| | | d. Suggests that the Department include an exemption similar 2009 IRC R313.1 clarifying that an automatic sprinkler system not required when additions or alterations are made to existing townhouses that are not protected by such systems. | n is | |

Page 6 of 15

| Clearinghous | Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | ring Location: M adison, WI | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 Heari | | | ng Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| Exhibit 7 | Wade Rudolph, Sacred Heart Hospital, Eau Claire, WI | Proposes not to adopt and reference the <i>Guidelines and De Health Care Facilities</i> relating heating and ventilation states for health care facilities. Contends the guidelines were not developed when published. Believes the guidelines will reexpensive designs that are not justified. Believes that the increased ventilation rates are not substantiated and provided inical benefit to patients. | andards fully esult in | The Department has developed Wisconsin rule modifications to address the specific concerns of the <i>Guidelines and Designs for Health Care Facilities</i> . | |
| Exhibit 8 | Laura Leitch, Wisconsin Hospital Association, Madison, WI | Supports the comments submitted by Wade Rudolph. | | See response under Rudolph, exhibit 7. | |
| Exhibit 9 | Mike Mamayek, Illingworth-Kilgust Mechanical, Milwaukee, WI | Recommends the use of natural ventilation be permitted p an engineering analysis accounts for the (a) number of occi (b) the operation of the operating mechanism for each oper during the entire year, and (c) the distribution of the open each space. | ipants, iing | Natural ventilation is and has been allowed under the code and utilized without known or documented problems for decades in those uses identified as acceptable candidates for natural ventilation. No documentation has been provided to substantiate requiring an engineering analysis associated with the number of occupants, the operation or controls of windows or their distribution. Natural ventilation is generally provided by openable windows or doors that are under the control of the occupants. In essence, they are opened as needed. For other uses not included in the list, an engineering analysis is already required. | |
| Exhibit 10 | Amy Schmidt, Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI | a. Supports the energy efficiency update for the Wisconsin Commercial Building Code. b. Requests that the Department consider amendments that the Department of Energy's code change proposal (EC147 2012 edition of the International Energy Conservation Code Provided suggested code language that incorporated the Edproposal. | at reflect) for the de. | a. Support noted.b. See response under Elnecave, speaker #5. | |

Page 7 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 Hearing | | | Hearing Location: M adison, WI |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 2 | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response |
| Exhibit 11 | Bob Neale, International Code Council, Country Club Hills, IL | Supports the proposed rule. | Support noted. |
| Exhibit 12 | Ben Barrett, Wisconsin Council on Physical Disabilities, Madison, WI | Suggests that parking spaces/stalls meet current accessibilirequirements (ADA) relating to parking when the parking l restriped or expanded. | |
| Exhibit 13 | Otis Woods, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, Madison, WI | a. Opposes the adoption of the 2010 edition of the Guidelin <i>Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities</i> . Record continuing to reference 2006 AIA Guidelines until a future of the Guidelines can resolve application language issues. | nmends |
| | Woods continued | b. Supports the standard language of 2006 and 2009 edition section IBC 308 relating to CBRF's and the occupancy classifications of I-1 and I-2. Contends that allowing Class CBRF residents to be housed in buildings classified as I-1 occupancies imposes unnecessary risks for the residents who DHS definition are not mentally capable of responding to a alarm without help or verbal or physical prompting. c. Contends allowing CBRF's to be classified as something than I-2 occupancies would conflict with ch. DHS 83. d. Supports the current requirements under s. Comm 61.30 (b). Concerned about the potential of building and converting to occupancy to a Class C CBRF without the higher construction occupancy to a Class C CBRF without the higher construction. | by fire g other c. The rules do not propose to change the classification of I-2 occupancies. It does not appear that ch. DHS 83 makes a specific reference to the I-2 classification. (1) d. Support noted. First occupancy concern is addressed under s. g prior Comm 66.0101 (2). |
| Exhibit 14 (email) | Bert Fredericksen, Fredericksen Engineering, Inc | Recommends clarifying the definition of CBRF and include separate CBRF occupancy in Table 64.0403 clearly allowing use of natural ventilation and therein removing the ability of to create a different interpretation. | g the Department has clarified the use of the Guidelines under s. |

Page 8 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | Hearing Location: Madison, WI | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | Hearing | Iearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| Exhibit 15 | Ronald Buchholz, Fitchburg, WI | a. Believes clarification is needed as to the application of building code requirements for CBRF's as residential rather than institutional occupancies. | | a. It is the Department understanding that revisions concerning occupancy classifications involving CBRF's are to occur under the 2012 edition of the IBC. The Department will evaluate those IBC revisions during the next update of the Wisconsin Commercial Building Code. | |
| | | b. Contends that the original intent of the original legislar to allow residents to live in a residential setting (CBRF) than an institutional one such as a nursing home or hospital intent was to allow this for all classes of CBRF's. c. Advocates clarifications are needed for sections IBC 308 308.3 the class C CBRF's need not be institutional type occupancies. | rather al. The | b. See response under a. The direction under s. 101.127, Stats., does indicate that building code standards are to be "homelike" for certain types of CBRF's c. See response under a. | |
| Exhibit 16 | Randy Dahmen, Waunakee, WI | a. Suggests an exemption be provided under s. Comm 61. (b) not requiring the submission of fire alarm plans for proinvolving 20 or fewer alarm units similar to that for sprint b. Requests clarification under s. Comm 61.30 (2) whether melt system requires HVAC plan submittal, and further modifications under s. Comm 2.31, if needed? c. Recommends that a "catch basin" be recognized as equiran "oil separator" under section IBC 4122.6. d. Suggests a modification under sections IBC 7.16.6.2.1 IMC 607.6.2.1 exemptions so as to refer to "fire rated floor/ceiling roof assembly cavities. e. Suggests a modification under s. IBC 1405.3 also exemptions so as to refer to "fire rated floor/ceiling roof assembly cavities. e. Suggests a modification under s. IBC 1405.3 also exemptox sills in needing a vapor barrier. Note, if acceptable, s. 63.0402 may no longer be necessary. | jects ders. er a snow valent to and | a. The draft has been revised to incorporate a plan review exemption for fire alarms. b. The definition under s. Comm 61.04 has been revised to clarify the issue. c. A catch basin is just a type of drain inlet and is not equivalent to an oil separator which separates and retains the oil for proper disposal. d. Section IBC 716.6.3 is titled "Nonfire-resistance-rated floor assemblies" which to the Department in its understanding of the IBC format is intuitively clear as to the subject matter. e. Agree, the provisions relative to s. IBC 1405 have modified. | |

Page 9 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | Hearing Location: M adison, WI | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 2 | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: | Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| | | f. Suggests notes be added to IBC Table 2902 recognizing water tanks with separate sanitized disposable cups and sa disposable cups serving lavatories and sinks not located w toilet rooms are acceptable alternatives to drinking fountaing. Suggests a note be added to IBC Table 2902 indicating acceptance the number of sanitary fixtures based upon a reactual capacity versus a capacity calculated on square footath. Suggests that measurements referenced under s. Comm (2) (a) be clarified in terms of "horizontally" or "vertically". | nitized ithin ns. g the ssonable ge. | g. The Wisconsin Commercial Building Code already allows for the establishment of reasonable actual capacities within IBC Chapter 10 (refer to the exception found in IBC s. 1004.1) since the 2006 IBC was put into effect in 2008. h. Currently, section Comm 62.1200 (2) (a) 3. and 4. clarifies certain aspects of the statutory specified measurements. In light of the fact that the specific gravity of carbon monoxide is equal to that of air, the Department does not believe further clarification is unnecessary. | |
| | Dahmen continued | i. Requests references to "additions", "renovations" and "be eliminated from ss. Comm 66.0607 (1), 66.0711 and 60 leaving only the reference to "alterations". j. Requests the inclusion of a reference to IECC 101.4.5 to Comm 66.0711 and 66.0808 for unconditioned spaces control conditioned space. k. Requests the inclusion of wording similar to IECC 101 under IEBC chapter 9 when an occupancy change increases usage. l. Suggests that a Wisconsin modification be created clarify reference to "area" to mean "fire area" under section IEBC 912.2.1. | 6.0808, ander ss. werted to 1.4.4 energy fying the | i. Comm 66.0607 has been revised to eliminate the referenced terminology; Comm 66.0711 and 66.0808 do not contain this terminology. j. The suggestion does indentify a problem with the application of current requirements as applied to alterations and changes in occupancies. k. The suggestion would be inconsistent with the direction provided under IEBC sections 901.2 and 912. IEBC section 912 references IEBC chapter 8, including section 808. l. The suggestion would potentially mandate modifications beyond the space undergoing a change of use. This would be inconsistent with the overall intent and objectives of the IEBC to maintain existing conditions at their current level of compliance and the new construction conform to current requirements. | |

Page 10 of 15

| Clearinghous | Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | earing Location: M adison, WI | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | r: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: 0 | Commercial Buildings | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | Agency Response | |
| | | m. Requests the addition of language similar to s. Comm 6 for IEBC chapter 13. n. Requests references to IEBC 605, 706 and 906 when usin IEBC chapter 13. | sections IEBC 1301.1 and 1301.2.4 assures a building will not be less sanitary than prior to a change in occupancy. | |
| | | o. Suggests the exemptions under s. Comm 63.0101 be exp to include: Buildings with a peak design rate of energy usage than 3.4 btu/h-ft2 of floor area for space conditioni purposes. Buildings that do not contain conditioned space. | o. The exemptions are addressed under section IECC 101.5.2. The suggestion was proposed to and considered by the HVAC advisory council prior to the creation of the public hearing draft | |
| | | p. Suggests replacing the definition of "conditioned space" "unconditioned space" under section IECC 202 in order to a heating design concerns/problems for conditioned space adjaconditioned space. | address HVAC advisory council prior to the creation of the public | |
| | | q. Suggests using consistency terminology "daylight zone" "daylit area" between the IECC definition and the s. Comm 63.505 (1) (b). | | |
| | Dahmen continued | r. Suggests specifically not recognizing reflective insulation section IECC 303.1.4 in fulfilling building envelop requires | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | s. Suggests that section IECC 403.2.1 be modified to mate section IECC 503.2.7 to address certain CBRF's ductwork outside of the building such as rooftop units. t. Believes that the R-values under sections IECC 403.2.1 | sufficiently clear as to insulation requirements for residential rooftop units. and t. No technical justification is provided for the suggestion. The | |
| | | 503.2.7 should be the same for consistency.u. Proposes that the requirement for pool covers be eliminated because the removal process poses a health hazard. | IECC Chapters 4 and 5 differ on many insulating values for residential buildings versus commercial buildings. u. The requirement has been eliminated under the fire prevention code update package, CR 09-104. | |

Page 11 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | Hearing Location: M adison, WI | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Numbe | er: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing | g Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: 0 | Relating to: Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: | Presenter, | | | | |
| Oral or | Group Represented, | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| Exhibit No. | City and State | | | | |
| | | v. Suggests updating the note under IECC 404/Comm 63 | | u. The requirement has been eliminated under the fire prevention | |
| | | reflect the correct year and REScheck edition and revising | to reflect | code update package, CR 09-104. | |
| | | the application to 3 stories "above grade". | | | |
| | | w. Is unclear as to the purpose and application of s. Comr | | v. Although there is some overlap with section IBC 1405 which | |
| | | 63.0502 as reworded whether this is addressing vapor reta | rders | deals with exterior walls, the provisions under s. Comm | |
| | | (covered under section IBC 1405) or building wraps. x. Believes that there may be an inconsistency with section | on IECC | 63.0502 covers also above-grade floors and ceilings. x. The rules addressed exhaust ducts and vents, respectfully. | |
| | | 503.2.4.4 with respect to section IFGC 503.15 especially | | The rules are different and the provisions under section IFGC | |
| | | kitchen hood systems. | Ю | 503.15 would govern the concern. | |
| | | y. Suggests that the requirement for commissioning HVA | C | y. The concern is addressed by the global language under s. | |
| | | systems under section IECC 503.2.9 be eliminated. Quest | | Comm 61.03 (15). | |
| | | whether these provisions are redundant with s. Comm 64. | | | |
| | | z Requests Table 63.0503 be modified to read "Economizers on | | z The table has been replaced with text that clarify the triggers | |
| | | all split cooling systems and groundwater source cooling systems | | for economizers. | |
| | | \geq 54,000 Btu/h, packaged rooftop units and on all other consystems \geq 33,000 Btu/h." | ooling | | |
| | | aa. Suggests that s. Comm 63.0505 be worded to recogni | ze the | aa. Photosensors are a type of lighting control and would be an | |
| | | use of photosensors. | | acceptable type of control under the current rule. | |
| | | bb. Indicates that Comcheck under s. Comm 63.0506 doe | | bb. Agree, the note has been modified. | |
| | | calculate total building compliance and should modify the | | | |
| | Dahmen continued | cc. Requests clarification for the application of s. Comm (| 55.0400 | cc. The commenter did not include enough detail to understand | |
| | | as it applies to outdoor equipment and structures. | | the source of the confusion or to understand which provisions | |
| | | | | need modification to create the clarity believed to be lacking. Many structures without roofs would still fall under the scope of the code. | |
| | | dd. Suggests referencing the 2009 edition of NFPA 54. | | dd. The reference to the 2009 edition of NFPA 54 will be made with the update of the Gas Systems Code Comm 40, that will coordinate the references in the Dwelling Code and the Commercial Building Code. | |

Page 12 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | Hearing Location: M adison, WI | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Rule Number | r: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, | 21 and 61 to 66 | Hearing | Iearing Date: October 5, 2010 | |
| Relating to: C | Commercial Buildings | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | |
| | | ee. Requests a definition for "service area" under section II 502.14 to clarify the application relative to Table 64.0403. | | ee. Section IMC 502.14 provides an exception for the operation of motor vehicles in any area of a building, not just a service area, when the operation is for the sole purpose of moving the vehicle into or out of the area. The exception exists for all areas. | |
| | | ff. Contends that s. Comm 64.0301 (3) (b) 2. should just reference engineers, but also architects and HVAC designer gg. Finds it unclear as to the choice between IMC 403 and 64.0403 (1) and (4) of whether it is one or the other or a model. hh. Suggests that the exception under s. Comm 64.0401 (be applicable to air change requirements under s. Comm 66 (6) (d). ii. Is confused whether the HVAC provisions apply to procequipment; cites the former code provisions under s. Comm Jable 64.0403 concerning exhaust requirements for salons. kk. Indicates that s. Comm 64.0403 (8) (b) 4. a. makes reto Comm Table 64.0403 concerning air change rates, but the proposed Table does not contain any rates. II. Indicates that there is an inconsistency between IMC Tand Comm Table 64.0403 concerning dwelling kitchen extrates. | rs. d Comm nix of (1) also 4.0403 cessing m 64.21. d and r nail ference the | gg. In light of the modification to Table 64.0403, the application of Comm 64.0403 is clear. hh. Sections Comm 64.0401, 64.0403 and Table 64.0403 have been modified to address other hearing comments, making this suggestion moot. ii. Section Comm 64.21 dealt with the location of equipment based upon the type of fuel source which is no longer addressed under the HVAC provisions. jj. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. kk. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. II. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. | |
| | Dahmen continued | mm. Suggests modifying footnote g in Comm Table 64.0 eliminating the provision of providing outdoor air contends this addressed under sections IMC 403.1 and 508 and does appear in IMC Table 403. | ing that | mm. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. | |

Page 13 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | Hearing | Hearing Location: Madison, WI | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | | Hearing | earing Date: October 5, 2010 | | | |
| Relating to: C | Relating to: Commercial Buildings | | | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | | | |
| | | nn. Indicates that there is an inconsistency between Com 64.0403 and sections IBC 406.3.3.1 and 406.3.12 concert percent of wall area openings needed not to require ventila oo. Believes that reference to footnote i under Comm Tab for private dwelling garages is applicable. pp. Suggests that footnote c should be added to Comm Tables. | ning the ation. sole 64.403 | nn. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. oo. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. pp. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table | | | |
| | | 64.0403 for enclosed parking garages. qq. Suggests that footnote c should be added to Comm 764.0403 for toilet rooms and bathrooms. rr. Suggests that wording be added to s. Comm 64.0403 to define shower and locker room areas by solid and comp separations. | (6) (c) 3. | 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. qq. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. rr. Adding such wording could lead users of the code to believe that every shower and locker room has to be separated from one another by solid walls and doors, preventing the use of the common shower rooms commonly found in the team locker room areas of schools, colleges, arenas and other similar occupancies. | | | |
| | | ss. Suggests that janitor closets require exhausts for air querioses. tt. Suggests that wording "per chemical or septic toilet" to the end of s. Comm 64.0407 which would be consister Comm 64.0401 (1) (b) 2. | be added | ss. Suggestion does not indicate what safety or health risk needs to be addressed. tt. Although the openable window area limit found within Comm 64.0401 (1) (b) 2. reflects a single fixture room, that was based on aligning with the language that existed in the previous edition of the Wisconsin Commercial Building Code. The intent of the language proposed in the draft for this provision is the same that existed in the previous edition of the WCBC. They have been dealt with differently in the WCBC previous iterations of the Wisconsin modifications and there is no justification for them to be changed to be consistent with one another. | | | |

Page 14 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | | Hearing Location: Madison, WI | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | | Hearing | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | | |
| Relating to: Commercial Buildings | | | | | | |
| Comments: Oral or Exhibit No. | Presenter, Group Represented, City and State | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | | |
| | Dahmen continued | uu. Contends that allowing natural ventilation will result indoor air quality problems. Contends the model codes in recognizing natural ventilation is based upon warmer sout climates and will not work in wintertime. Contends the contends as to the control of the windows will result in provents. Requests an additional footnote to Comm Table 64.00 recognizing the acceptable use of recirculative kitchen hood systems. ww. Believes that the capacity for seasonal occupancies shased upon 67 people per 1,000 sq, ft. not 15 sq. ft. per providing temperate adding something similar to s. Comm 64.1 2002) addressing maintaining minimum interior temperate providing tempered outside aid when the total volume of 1/2 air change or greater. yy. Requests a modification for IMC 502.14 also recognize Comm Table 64.0403 for garage mechanical ventilation. zz. Suggest the exception 3 under IMC 502.14 be eliminated. | hern code's blems. 403 d nould be erson in 4 (pre- cures in exhaust is | the current code requirements. No documentation has been provided to substantiate claims associated with the control of windows. vv. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. ww. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. xx. Maintaining minimum interior temperatures is addressed under s. IMC 309. The previous requirement under s. Comm 64.14 did not pertain to maintaining minimum temperatures; it did establish a temperature range for tempered air, but it could be as low as 50° F. yy. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. z. No technical justification was provided that a mechanical exhaust ventilation system or a source capture system is warranted for those instances described by exception 3. | | |
| | Dahmen continued | aaa. Suggests adding a provision recognizing the use of hextension for vehicle tailpipes as an acceptable exhaust me under section IMC 502.14. bbb. Suggests adding a provision under section IMC 507 recognizing ventless electric dishwashers. | ethod | aaa. The rules have revised to incorporate this alternative method. bbb. Creating a provision to address one type of dishwasher or a laundry list of types is not necessary given the plethora of kitchen appliances and dishwashers that can be met by the provision indicating the Class II hood is not needed where the designer determines the heat and moisture loads are incorporated into the design of the HVAC system. | | |

Page 15 of 15

| Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 | | | | Hearing Location: Madison, WI | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 | | | Hearing Date: October 5, 2010 | | | |
| Relating to: Commercial Buildings | | | | | | |
| Comments: | Presenter, | | | | | |
| Oral or | Group Represented, | Comments/Recommendations | | Agency Response | | |
| Exhibit No. | City and State | | | | | |
| Exhibit 16 | Amy Waggoner | Requests the addition of a provision to address the securing | g of | The details associated with the protection of some windows are | | |
| (email) | Coverra Insurance Services, Inc | hotel window screens which pose a risk to children falling | out. | found within IBC s. 1405.13.2 Window screens are designed | | |
| | | | | and intended to keep debris and/or insects out of a building. | | |
| | | | | The Department does not agree that a modification is in order | | |
| | | | | requiring hotels to have specially designed and secured window | | |
| | | | | screens capable of holding back individuals. | | |