Page 1 of 11

e Rule Number: 08-110	Iearing Location: Madison	
		Jearing Date: January 21, 2009
<u> </u>	touring Butor various 21, 2007	
, and the second	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
City and State		riginey response
John Mielke, Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. Madison	Development work group has completed their work, therein synchr proposed rules and the work group's recommendations reducing the	onizing the clikelihood of
Dan Gengler, Wisconsin Fire Protection Coalition, Madison	protecting public health, safety and welfare. Considers the \$100 registration fee to be a negligible cost in the fabetter safety and accountability among construction trades. Raises the concern that the lack of enforcement mechanisms in the	ce of ensuring
Brad Boycks, Wisconsin Builders Association Madison	 Concern that the \$100 cost to register will add an addition consumers when the industry is down. Questions the department's authority for the administration of the failure of 2007 SB228 and AB446. 	administering the registration program and provides supplemental revenue to help cover the costs of administering the various building programs under its responsibilities. The department does not consider the \$100 fee for a 4-year registration to be significant fiscal impact for a construction business or a substantial cost that will eventually be passed along to the building customer. The department considers the failure of the two bills to only represent the failure of specific legislative direction and mandates to the department. As cited in the Rule Analysis, the department has broad statutory authority under chapters 101, 145 and 560, Stats., to regulate the building trades. A housing report under s. 227.115, Stats., is only required when "a proposed rule directly or substantially affects the
ľ	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State John Mielke, Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. Madison Dan Gengler, Wisconsin Fire Protection Coalition, Madison Brad Boycks, Wisconsin Builders Association	Building Contractor Registration Presenter, Group Represented, City and State John Mielke, Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. Madison Brad Boycks, Wisconsin Builders Association Madison Brad Boycks, Wisconsin Builders Association Wisconsin Builders Association Madison Brad Boycks, Wisconsin Builders Association Occurrent that the \$100 cost to register will add an addition consumers when the industry is down. Ouestions the department's authority for the administration of the failure of 2007 SB228 and AB446. Believes that housing report should be available pursuant

Page 2 of 11

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-110 Hearing Location: Madison			
			ring Date: January 21, 2009
Relating to: Building Contractor Registration			
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
	Boycks continued	 Suggests the creation of a website that people can sign up at the benotified about department updates. If the proposal is implemented, asks if the department will be fund the \$100,000 builder training and \$600,000 consumer training so that the work on similar topics by DWD or the leging implemented, would create confusion and compliance problem department's proposed registration. 	The funding of these training initiatives is dependent upon several variables and factors and is not solely associated with the revenue of this registration which reaches across several building relating programs. If legislation is enacted or DWD rules implemented that overlap with these proposed rules, the department will take the necessary steps to review and, if warranted, initiate rule-
oral #1	Mark Reihl,	The union supports the proposed rule.	Position noted.
	Wisconsin State Council of Carpenters, Madison	Believes that there is an industry problem with individuals being misc as independent contractors.	lassified
		Raises the following concerns regarding enforcement and application of proposed rules to address such issues as worker misclassification: • Applications should require many specific items of information full legal name, date of birth, U.S. citizen status, home phone home address, social security number, federal employer identification number, Wisconsin tax identification number, unemployment identification number, business name, business phone, busines contact address and phone, number of workers or self-employed construction services, other construction business financial into worker's compensation insurance information, years in business or liability insurance information, agree to compliance with edetermination test, highlight penalties and fines for submitting fraudulent information. • Applications should incorporate the 9 factor test to determine an individual is an employer • Applications should highlight the penalty and fines for submit	classification of workers is primarily the responsibility of other state agencies. The proposed contractor registration may provide a data and coordination resource to those agencies, but the proposal is not intended to replace other state agencies responsibilities or programs. Lack terests, ess, bond employer agencies whether

Page 3 of 11

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-110	Hearin	g Location: Madison
			g Date: January 21, 2009
	Building Contractor Regist	g Date. January 21, 2007	
Comments:	Presenter,	14(10)1	
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		11goney 11esp onse
		fraudulent information on the application.	
		numeron on the appreciation	
	Reihl continued	Other department contractor credentials such as the dwelling contractor shared require the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of information for the building contractor shared in the suggested specific items of the suggested specific items	
		Suggests that the department's website provide as much information as p so customers can see basic information they are considering hiring, as well other state agencies.	
		Suggests the credential term should be one year and contractors should no department when they go out of business or specific information is no lon correct.	
		Believes that the fee is too low and should be sufficient to provide staff ar resources to effectively enforce the program.	nd .
		Advocates a strong initial enforcement including fines and stop work orde get the message out.	rs to
		Suggests a verification process to check contractor information.	
		Believes that there should be a penalty for contractor who engages an unrecontractor.	gistered
		Recommends that the department seek as necessary authority or remedies effectively enforce the rule.	to
oral #5	James Boullion, Associated General Contractors of Wisconsin, Madison	Suggests that funds raised for the contractor registration should support educational programs, particularly those of high schools.	The revenue generated by contractor registration reflects the department's anticipated costs for administering the registration program and provides supplemental revenue to help cover the costs of administering the various building programs under its responsibilities. Specific statutory direction would be needed to use the money for educational programs.
		Contends the rules should specify what information applicants will be required.	

Page 4 of 11

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-110		Hearing Locat	Page 4 of 11
			January 21, 2009	
Relating to: Building Contractor Registration			Hearing Date.	January 21, 2009
Comments:	Presenter,	Tation		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State	Comments/recommendations		rightly response
Lanton 110.	City und state	submit for registration		
	Boullion continued	Contends the penalties for non-compliance must be spelled out	submit for registration. Contends the penalties for non-compliance must be spelled out in the rules.	
		Contends that penalties should not include stop work orders as affect others on the jobsite. Suggests if stop work orders are utilized that:	this can untairly	specific activity. The department anticipates use of compliance schedules for
		 Contractors be allowed at least one business day to re Only be applied to the work of the offender and not th Their procedures be uniformly applied for state certifications 	e entire job.	building contractor registrations. See previous response. Stop work orders under ch. Comm 3, can only be utilized by department inspectors.
written #1	Dennis and Jeff Rasmussen, Andry Rasmussen and Sons, Inc. Cable	Are against the rule requiring registration of their plumbing business. Feel that with the requirement of a plumber to be licensed, even if they own a business, that they are being penalized with the proposed additional fee.		There are many examples under statutes where both the business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals.
written #2	Dan Birenkott, Certified Soil Tester Sun Prairie	Opposing a registry that would cost plumbing companies \$100	every 4 years.	Position noted.
written #3	John and Dave Jentges, Steve Muskowski Jentges Excavating and Pumps, Inc Belgium	Contend that the proposed registration would have no benefit to Feel that their current individual licenses, plumbing, soil testin maintenance, and their current continuing obligations are suffici clients and local regulatory agencies.	ng, POWTS ent to serve	There are many examples under statutes where both the business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals. There is no requirement that a plumbing business must be owned or run by a master plumber.
written #4 written	James K. Thompson Sue Schambureck	Opposes the proposed contractor registry. Contends the industry regulated and questions the purpose of the registration. Profess industry are licensed by the various agencies under which their regulated. Businesses that employ those individuals are typical under some of incorporation, and are therefore registered with Emust obtain plan reviews, permits and inspections of the work. Questions the need for the proposed registration. Feels another	ionals within the discipline is ally organized oFI. Contractors they perform.	Position noted. There are many examples under statutes where both the business and individual are required to be credentialed. Position noted.
wiitteii	Suc Schainbureck	T Questions the need for the proposed registration. Teers another	ICC 18 HOL	r osition noted.

Page 5 of 11

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-110 Hearing		Hea	ring Location: Madison
Rule Numbe	Rule Number: Chapter Comm 5 Hearing Date:		ring Date: January 21, 2009
Relating to:	Building Contractor Regist	ration	
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
(email) #5	Madson Tiling and Excavating, Inc. Manitowoc	necessarily justifiable.	
	Schambureck continued	Contends that if a POWTS installation business must have a MPRS listed in it's advertising and the MPRS is necessary for operation, the seem that it's already been credentialed by the Department.	business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals.
		Asks how we (MPRS) are different than HVAC contractors, elevator etc., who do not need to register?	contractors, The credentials cited are examples of business credentials
written (email) #6	Randy M Soper, Mike's Plumbing, Heating, & Electric, Inc. Pulcifer	Opposes the additional fee to plumbing contractors. Contending they license fees that are required to pay on an annual basis and this registry would be on top of those.	
written (email) #7	Mark A Ethrhiem, Onalaska	Believes the proposed rule is bad. If the purpose is to a mailing list, creating a web site, ask everyone to register for free and if they fail to years time then \$200 a \$200 late fee. Fails to see how this is going t anything more than raise big bucks for the department.	do so in a
written (email) #8	Abe J Degnan, Degnan Design Builders, Inc	Contends contractor registration should not be implemented through administrative rule in light of the failure of SB228 and AB446. Contends since DWD is working on a similar rule, the department should be strongly as the strongly and the strongly and the strongly as th	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
		collaborate rather than introduce competing or redundant rules. Indicates the his company carries the Dwelling Contractor certification Dwelling Contractor Qualifier certification.	
written (email) #9	Mike Check Mike Check Builders	 Opposes the proposed contractor registration rule, contending: The cost is a factor in this economy The legislature failed to pass two previous bills that were si nature and content. There are means of communicating changes to codes and proupdates rather than registering interested parties. 	fessional
written	Chris Nelson	The company does not approve or support the proposed contractor regi	stration Position noted.

Page 6 of 11

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-110		Hearing Location	on: Madison	
				January 21, 2009	
Relating to: Building Contractor Registration			Treating Date. 3	antially 21, 2009	
Comments:	Presenter,	141011			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Exhibit No.	City and State	Comments/recommendations		rigorey response	
(email) #10	Nelson Construction Service Balsam Lake	rule to add additional burden on small business and contractors.		Businesses holding a Dwelling Contractor certification are exempted from needing the Building Contractor registration.	
written (email) #11	James Lobin, Eagle View Glass Works Hudson	Prefers that his new rule did not pass, contending it only makes g bigger and really does nothing for us.	government	Position noted.	
written (email) #12	Steve Thoner, Kruger Thoner Builders Ltd. Ellsworth	In home town of Ellsworth, a building permit for a 1200 sq. ft. home would be \$8400. This fee already puts "affordable housing" out of reach for many. Adding more fees to the building industry has to stop.		Businesses holding a Dwelling Contractor certification are exempted from needing the Building Contractor registration.	
written (email) #13	Steven Clavette, Trustway Homes/Stone and Banister Remodeling Pewaukee	Asks to forgo this fee and work with the builders to reduce our costs and get buyers back in our models.		Businesses holding a Dwelling Contractor certification are exempted from needing the Building Contractor registration.	
written (email) #14	Lisa Krusick, Integrity Log & Country Homes	Opposes the contractor registration rule being offered as currently drafted.		Position noted.	
written (email) #15	James, Carol and Timothy De Young, Countryside Plumbing & Heating	Advocate for the efforts to help build the sagging building and remodeling industry rather than add more overhead costs to further discourage new construction and remodeling. Indicate that it is a great idea to have everyone in the trades registered – suggest that to make it easier and less expensive by providing a web site that people can voluntarily sign up on.		Businesses holding a HVAC Contractor registration are exempted from needing the Building Contractor registration.	
		Have no problem trying to require all types of contracting businesses to be uniformly governed and registered. But think some additional thought should be given to the scope and fees associated with making this requirement.			
written #16	John C. Seidl, Seidl Construction, Inc. Luxemburg	Feels the proposed registration is a bad idea, especially in this do economy. Uses subcontractors that have a proven track record that give a good job at a fair price.		Businesses holding a Dwelling Contractor certification are exempted from needing the Building Contractor registration.	
written	Ed Ellingson	Opposed to contractor registry, contending plumbers are already li	icensed through	There are many examples under statutes where both the	

Page 7 of 11

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-110 Hearing Locat		Hearing Location	on: Madison		
Rule Numbe	Rule Number: Chapter Comm 5 Hearing Date:		Hearing Date: J	January 21, 2009	
Relating to:	Building Contractor Regist	ration			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
(email) #17	Cumberland	the department and this would be yet another fee for business that is of no benefit to us.		business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals.	
written (email) #18	John Stinson, Northland Seamless Gutters, Inc. Hayward	Asks that the contractor registration rule be reconsidered, in light the SB228 and AB446 did not pass. Contends that this is a hidden fee that the industry does not need at this time.		See response under Boycks, oral #3.	
written (email) #19	Gary L. Roehrig, Roehrig & Savola Builders, Inc.	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Points out the similar legislation last year was not enacted by the legislature.		See response under Boycks, oral #3.	
written #20	Patrick Essie, Wisconsin Precast Concrete Association, Madison	Understands that in a few instances where manufacturers assemble concrete products on building sites that they would be required to register under the rules. The association is opposed to new fees for contractor registry; contends that their businesses do not need to be further regulated in that the members have product approvals on file with the department and therein lists available to the department. Raises the question whether manufacturers of agricultural buildings and silos would be required to register.		The proposed registration exempts manufacturers; the rules focus on construction/installation businesses. Agricultural buildings and structures do not fall under the scope of the commercial building code and therefore contractors involved exclusively in their construction would be exempt under the proposed rules.	
written #21	Patrick Essie, Wisconsin Onsite Water Recycling Association, Inc. Madison	The association is opposed to the creation of a contractor registry WOWRA is comprised of septic system installers who hold may restricted service license. The business is not allowed to operate master plumber restricted service license. The proposed rule creates many exemptions from registration, sur	ster plumber without the	Opposition noted. Individuals who install plumbing are statutorily required to be licensed; however, there are no laws or rules currently requiring plumbing businesses to be "operated" by licensed plumbers. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals. The registry data already exists for those contracting	

Page 8 of 11

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-110	Heari	g Location: Madison
	r: Chapter Comm 5	g Date: January 21, 2009	
	Building Contractor Regist	<u> </u>	
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
		contractors, electrical contractors and HVAC contractors. Asks why the exempted and others are not. If there is already a dwelling contractor lic place why the need to create a contractor registry of which the contractor even required to be a part of – the logic in this seems flawed.	ense in credentials. The department will coordinate the various
written (email) #22	Pamela, Van Dera	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their failure to enacted.	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
written (email) #23	Douglas Schnell, Schnell Electric, Inc. Saint Nazianz	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their failure to enacted.	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
written (email) #24	Craig Smidel, Extreme Audio	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their failure to enacted.	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
written (email) #25	Mark Pekarske, Pekarske Builders, Inc. Reedsville	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their failure to enacted.	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
written (email) #26	Lee Gosda, Saddle Ridge Corporation, Portage	Suggests that the department concentrate on Trades that are active and of their names from permits, rather than charge a fee for all to register, activactive. Suggests a free web site to establish so any one can go for whatever inforthey desire. Foresees more fees coming down the pike and excuses why the department operate or police this action without more costs, added employees and necessary.	Commercial buildings permits are a discretionary municipal requirement and permits rarely identify all the subcontractors involved in a project. See response under Boycks, oral #3.
, ,,,,	D. G.	work, less projects, for the trades.	
written #27	Ron Cutter, Cutter Vac,	Opposes the contractor registration rule.	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
	Fond du Lac	Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their failure to enacted.	be

Page 9 of 11

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-110 Hearing Loc			Hearing Location: Madison
Rule Number: Chapter Comm 5 Hearing D		Hearing Date: January 21, 2009	
Relating to:	Building Contractor Regist	ration	
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
written #28	James Macejkovic, Building Service Inc. Milwaukee Maceikovic continued	Does not support the rule in its current form. Is concerned whether information collected will be verified; conte that there is a great potential for fraud and abuse leading to a false security to potential clients. Suggests: • A task force to identify what information is to be collected. • A formal review process to • E-verify with Homeland Security to make sure security number and name match. • Verify the address. • Verify phone number. • Cross check workers compensation insurance. • Check references. • Identifying by rule the penalties for false information. • Identifying as a contractor responsibility the use of regist legitimate subcontractors.	ted. The proposed contractor registration may be a resource to those agencies, but the proposal is not intended to replace other state agencies responsibilities or programs.
written (email) #29	Pat	Opposes the registration requirements for selected subcontractors. Contends that the department should be simplifying regulations a small business.	Opposition noted.
written (email) #30	Paul Soletski, Bay Lake Builders & Development	Does not think that this is the time to proceed with contractor re Questions the department's authority to take portions of proposed SB228 and AB446, that were not enacted and move forward. Raises a concern of DWD or the legislature enacting similar rule Suggests the creation of a web site that people can sign up on at to be notified of changes to codes or get other professional update Suggests revising the content of the listed contracted businesses a	I legislation, s. no or low cost s.

Page 10 of 11

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-110	Hearing Locati	ion: Madison	
Rule Number: Chapter Comm 5 Hearing Date:				January 21, 2009
Relating to: Building Contractor Registration				
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			
		apply and phase the registration in as the economic time will b	ear this expense.	
		Suggests allowing the dwelling contractor to enforce or strongly registration which would benefit the dwelling contractor's integration consumer as having certified trades on each jobsite.		
written #31	Lonny Van Goethem, Van Goethem Septic Systems, Inc. Kewaunee	Opposes the contractor registration rule. Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their enacted.	àilure to be	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
written (email) #32	Robert Charnitz	As a licensed master plumber-restricted sewer, does not see the need for a business license.		There are many examples under statutes where both the business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals.
		Suggest the department request all master plumbers to provide the name of the business they run, thereby, eliminating the need for a revenue generating license. Already pay a \$10 business tax registration with DOR.		There is no requirement that a plumbing business must be owned or run by a master plumber.
written #33	Steve Treu,	Opposes the contractor registration rule.		See response under Boycks, oral #3.
	E & B Insulation Sparta	Recalls the proposals of SB228 and AB446 last year and their enacted.	ailure to be	
written #34	Timothy Voeller, Bielinski Homes Waukesha	Opposes the proposed contractor registration rule. Questions the department's authority for the administrative rule failure of 2007 SB228 and AB446.	e in light of the	See response under Boycks, oral #3.
		Believes that the work on similar topics by DWD or the legisl implemented, would create confusion and compliance problems department's proposed registration.	with the	
l		Suggests the creation of a website that people can sign up at no	cost to be	

Page 11 of 11

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-110 Hearing Loca			Hearing Locati	ion: Madison	
			Hearing Date:	te: January 21, 2009	
Relating to:	Building Contractor Regist	ration			
Comments:	Presenter,				
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Exhibit No.	City and State				
		notified about department updates.			
written #36	Charles F Tuschl, Tuschl Septic Systems	Does not favor the proposed contractor registration.		Position noted.	
	Whitelaw	As the owner of a septic system installation firm, already holds a master plumber restricted license and a designer's license. Also holds a POWTS inspectors license. Believes that additional fees for licenses or registrations are taxation with representation.		There are many examples under statutes where both the business and individual are required to be credentialed. Obligations for businesses are separate and distinct from those for individuals. There is no requirement that a plumbing business must be owned or run by a master plumber.	
written (email) #37	Kelli Newman, Gary Brunclik Construction	Is concerned that as a general contractor they have been losing jowork for cash, don't carry insurance, pay unemployment taxes of Ask how are those who comply with the rules supposed to comply there needs to be a focus on regulating these individuals.	r payroll taxes.	Through data sharing and coordinating efforts with other state agencies the department hopes to promote a more "level playing field".	