| | | | LRB or Bi | ll No./Adm. Rule No. |
|--|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 (R06/99) L ORIGINAL CORRECTED | | UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL | Che Comm | |
| Subject | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct ap Absorb Or affects a sum sufficient appropriation X | opropriati on | ☐ Within | Increase Costs Agency's Budge | - M ay be Pos sib le to t Yes No |
| Decrease Existing Appropriation | Increase Existir Decrease Existi | • | Decrease Cost | s |
| Local: No local government costs 1. Increase Costs Juits Affected: | | e Revenues X | | of Local Governmental |
| Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Towns Villages Cities 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenues ffected Ch. 20 Appropriations Counties Others | | | | |
| 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease RevenueAffected Ch. 20 Appropriations Counties Others none | | | | |
| Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate | | | | |
| 2005 Wisconsin Act 347 directs the department to implement a maintenance reporting program for private onsite wastewater treatment systems, POWTS. This program includes activities undertaken by governmental units (counties) to insure compliance with POWTS maintenance requirements. Many counties have existing POWTS maintenance reporting programs in place. There is a broad range of technical ability and sophistication of these programs. The programs range from a paper based reporting system to a fully integrated electronic reporting system. The number of POWTS entered into databases range from 0 to all known POWTS within the jurisdictional area. Four counties currently do not participate in the Wisconsin Fund program and therefore do not have some form of maintenance reporting which is a requirement for participation. | | | | |
| Costs for implementation of a POWTS maintenance reporting program will vary depending on the level of program currently in place and are therefore indeterminable. Governmental units are able to implement fees, by ordinance, to support the implementation or expansion of a POWTS maintenance reporting program. | | | | |
| Governmental units have other existing sources of POWTS revenue such as Sanitary Permits. It is unknown whether governmental units may pass direct costs associated with a maintenance reporting program to owners. | | | | |
| A proposed change will shift the required plan review for holding tanks to be performed at the local level by all governmental units. Many governmental units currently perform this review. The department anticipates that there will be a loss of \$60,000 in annual revenue as a result of the shift. | | | | |
| It is not anticipated that there will be additional costs for others to comply with these rule revisions. The | | | | |
| Long-Range Fiscal Implications None anticipated for department operations. For governmental units, ongoing costs associated with operation of a reporting program database and compliance follow-up will occur. | | | | |
| Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Commerce/Roman Kaminski, (715) 345- | Authori | zed Signature/Telephone N | О. | Date |